



Authentication and Authorisation for Research and Collaboration

## **Architecture**

Guidelines for the expression of group membership

**Nicolas Liampotis**

CERN

29 November 2016

# Group membership information

---

- What is it used for:
  - SPs authorise user access to protected resources
- Where does it come from:
  - Home IdP
  - Community-based Attribute Authorities (COmanage, Grouper, HEXAA, Perun, Unity, VOMS, ...)

# Challenges

---

- Standardising the way group membership information is expressed:
  - **Syntactically**: Uniform formatting (e.g. use of URNs within a specific namespace and a set of rules for the NSS portion)
  - **Semantically**: Common representation of equivalent concepts (e.g. “admin” and “manager” should be communicated to end SPs as “manager”)
- Indicating the entity that is **authoritative** for each piece of group membership information
- Expressing **VO membership** and **role** information
- Supporting **group hierarchies** in group membership information

# Recommendations (I)

---

- Centralised harmonisation of group membership information → Proxy layer
- Compatibility with existing group information models (SCIM, VOOT, VOMS)
- Scoping of group membership information:
  - Prevent clashes between group name values
  - Allow for easy filtering that can be used by SPs for quick authorisation decisions
- Use of eduPersonEntitlement attribute (vs. isMemberOf) for communicating group membership information:
  - Express more complex info:
    - VO associated with the specified group
    - user's role within that group
    - issuing entity (authority)
  - Compliance with the R&S entity category

# Recommendations (II)

---

- Use of valid URIs, either URLs or URNs:
  - Why URLs?
    - Legitimate URL values are globally unique
    - Easy to delegate their management via use of subdomains
    - Can resolve to actual web pages documenting the values
  - Why URNs?
    - More commonly used by existing IdPs/AAs/Federations
    - Easy to scope using the “@” character which is not reserved in the URN scheme → Services can filter entitlements with minimal parsing and configuration (Shibboleth/SimpleSAMLphp support “@”-based filtering out of the box)

# Implementation examples (I)

---

- Niels' proposal:

```
urn:<namespace>:<service-  
fqdn>:[<entitlementName>]: [<entitlementValue>]
```

- <authority-fqdn>: FQDN of the authoritative source for the entitlement value; either service- or IdP-specific

- <service-fqdn>: name of the service being targeted (RECOMMENDED to be expressed as the service FQDN)

- <entitlementName>: name of entitlement

- <entitlementValue>: value of entitlement

- GN4-1 JRA3 proposal (anyUri@scope):

```
urn:<namespace>:<authority-  
fqdn>:{target}:{service}:[<entitlementName>]: [<entitlem  
entValue>]@[authority-domain]
```

# Implementation examples (II)

---

- EGI AAI scheme:

urn:<namespace>:<authority-fqdn>:[<group>[:<subgroup>:...]]:<role>@<vo>

- <authority-fqdn>: FQDN of the authoritative source for the entitlement value
- <vo>: name of the Virtual Organisation
- <group>: name of a group in the identified <vo> (optional)
- <subgroup>: the list of <subgroup> components represents the hierarchy of subgroups in the <group> (optional)
- <role>: the <role> component is scoped to the rightmost (sub)group; if no group information is specified, the role applies to the VO

# Thank you Any Questions?

nliam@grnet.gr



<https://aarc-project.eu>

