

Life-cycle and Reliability of accelerators

JUAS 2017

part 1: life-cycle

part 2: reliability

Samuel Meyroneinc

Centre de Protonthérapie – Orsay

Institut Curie

9th March 2017




institutCurie

Accelerators as ...

... systems

...stories



Life-cycle and reliability & of accelerators

Summary of this morning

Introduction

**Life cycle
summary**

**Reliability
summary**

documents

- print-out on some main slides

In a digital form, some specific documents

- 4 annexes

to be read and discussed during the lecture

- 1 exercise (2 files)

Tomorrow on Indico

- the ppt files

The Institut Curie Group is a dedicated cancer center working on treatment, and basic, translational, clinical research

➤ Hospital Group (2153 pers.)

- Paris Hospital
Proton therapy center in Orsay (ICPO)
- René-Huguenin Hospital in Saint-Cloud

➤ Research Center (1077 pers.)

- 15 units in Paris and Orsay which are associated with the CNRS, Inserm, and universities.

▪ Translational Research Department

to the transfer of scientific innovations to the bedside to improve patient care and/or to research designed to improve understanding of cancer by performing preclinical studies,

All are in the Paris country



Centre de Protonthérapie d'Orsay



1991-2010: 5000 patient treatments
From 2010: treatments with an upgraded facility
2017: 45 patients treated per day



Gantry room

The project 2006-2010 : extension and renovation of the facility

New accelerator
+ gantry
+ beamlines

Existing
Facility



New medical
wing




Cyclotron&Beamlines



Robotic & Imaging



R&D physics & Technology




IT&Control

YOU ?

Your 2 questions

- In which accelerator project,
I will be involved ? and interested ?
- Will I be efficient for this project , for this job?



Users (customers)

The typical steps of lifecycle of Accelerators (one of the naming possible)

- Desire- Need
- Preliminary design
- Detailed design
- Construction-installation
- Tests & Commissioning
- Operations- Maintenances
- Stop



Desire-
Need

Preliminary
design

Detailed
design


Construction-
Installation

Tests
Com.

Operations
Maintenances

Stop

The typical duration of the steps



A story: the rodhotron


annex 1

**read the principles
estimate dates and maturity level**

About the planning



Australian Synchrotron Construction Timeline



- 2001**
Australian Synchrotron Project funding announced by the Victorian Government
- 2002**
Formation of scientific and machine advisory committees
Site launch and preparation
- 2003**
Machine design announced
Building and associated facilities contract awarded
Construction started
Injection system contract awarded
- 2004**
All particle accelerator systems contracts awarded
Beamline design process starts
Formation of industry advisory committee
- 2005**
Building complete
Machine assembly starts
- 2006**
Installation and commissioning of machine and beamlines begins
Selection of operator
- 2007**
Commissioning of first beamlines complete
31 July: Australian Synchrotron formal opening

EUROPEAN SPALLATION SOURCE

ESS in Lund/Sweden

- Brightest neutron source worldwide
- 17 European member states
- First Neutrons: 2019
- Full power operation: 2025
- Decommissioning: 2065
- Investment: 1800 MEURO
- Sustainable energy concept
- 95% overall reliability

ARW2013, Annika Nordt, Melbourne, 2013-04-17

Predictives vs Retrospectives

- Retrospective (the « reality »)
 - history, knowledge, informations
 - lessons, ...
- Predictive (the « planned »)
 - plan, share vision, anticipate, ...
 - Adaptative ...

Desire-need



institut**Curie**

Step « desire-need »


Formulate the desires

Idea-concept-feasibility-willingness

Formulate the needs


Request, requirement, specifies

Description of the need




Desire-Need

Need of an accelerator 5 MeV - 500 mA (stable +/- 2%)



Increase flux of neutrons



Preliminary Design

Detailed Design




Difference between Preliminary design/ Detailed design

Preliminary design

Obtaining the dimensioning data

Detailed design

All the data required for the construction



Preliminary design

What we want

What we can

What we know


Etude FCC

Tunnel quasi-circulaire de périmètre 80 à 100 km

Hadrons

16 T \Rightarrow 100 TeV pour 100 km

20 T \Rightarrow 100 TeV pour 80 km



e+ e-

Energie de collision 90 à 350 GeV

Très haute luminosité

Paramètres FCC-hh comparés à LHC

parameter	LHC	HL-LHC	FCC-hh
c.m. energy [TeV]	14		100
dipole magnet field [T]	8.33		16 (20)
circumference [km]	36.7		100 (83)
luminosity [$10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$]	1	5	5 [$\rightarrow 20?$]
bunch spacing [ns]	25		25 {5}
events / bunch crossing	27	135	170 {34}
bunch population [10^{11}]	1.15	2.2	1 {0.2}
norm. transverse emitt. [μm]	3.75	2.5	2.2 {0.44}
IP beta-function [m]	0.55	0.15	1.1
IP beam size [μm]	16.7	7.1	6.8 {3}
synchrotron rad. [W/m/aperture]	0.17	0.33	28 (44)
critical energy [keV]	0.044		4.3 (5.5)
total syn.rad. power [MW]	0.0072	0.0146	4.8 (5.8)
longitudinal damping time [h]	12.9		0.54 (0.32)



Preliminary Design

Detailed Design



What we know-what we can (internal, external)

**Internal: experience, skills (people, teams),
methods, ...**

**External: we can ask to do
(partnership, collaborations, sub-contract, ...)**

Development – the V cycle

***Needs
Analysis***

***Operations
maintenance***

***System
Specification***

***System
Validation***

***Sub-systems
Design***

***Sub-systems
Validation***

***Preliminary
Design***

***Tests &
integration***

***Detailed
Designed***

***sub-system
Tests***

Realisation

Construction-installation




institutCurie

Construction-Installation

The Building




The Equipment

(the overall: the « facility »)



Radiation – protection

- calculation of shieldings
- source points (to provide)



The building-the infrastructure

- The instrument is the « overall »
- Building first: 1st milestone “Building Occupancy Date”
- Building and ancillaries are specific and complex
- Interfaces, large numbers of areas
- To be designed for users, maintenance, upgrades, ...
- Cost ?
- Cost = 30%to 50% of the total cost

- 1 Good point : designers&builders often with more experience than Large Instruments stakeholders (ex: The building world as the reference for the naming of steps)
- 1 Bad point : many features are no more ajustable after first design

Construction-Installation

The Equipment





Figure 6-1: Cut-away diagram of an XFEL vacuum vessel.

Example of the cryo-modules X-Fel







Figure 12-1 : schedule of the assembly according with the availability of cavity.

Tests and Commissioning

Tests, Tests, Tests, ...


The commissioning: « The process during which components and systems, after construction, are made operational and verified to be in accordance with design assumptions and performance criteria”.



Commissioning paper

Annex 2: titles + summary

Then you are in operations



The 4 dimensions

4 main dimensions during life-cycle

- **Politics**
- **Money-Fundings**
- **Customers/Providers**
- **Regulatories**

Dimension 1: politics

Politics (and associated communication)

Politics and Science

When

- early stages of a project
- inaugurations
- significant steps
- governance



Why ?

- driving the policy of science
- Image and communication
- funding or not

Dimension 2: fundings-money

International collaborations 1 843M€ construction


Suède et Danemark – hosting countries:


47.5% Construction
15% Operations
100% Cash

Partners countries:

52.5% Construction
85% Operations
70%/30% in kind / in cash

Estimated running budget <140M€
Life-time ~40 years





Fundings and budgets

1. For studies

2. for construction (investment)

3. for operations

salaries

fees (consumables, running costs...)



upgrades

1. in cash


2. in kind (contribution)

Dimension 3: customer/provider

Who is the customer of an accelerator ?




provider



customer

Hirsch index or Hirsch number



52

Level of delivery	The supplier is delivering	The customer is expecting (so testing, accepting)	Example in particle accelerator
Parts	Part of hardware, part of software	technological data	Power supply
System	A global system	Individual technological & physics performances	RF Cavity
Systems Integrated	Many systems integrated	Global performances	BEAM
Facility	Conditions to perform the whole « job »	Resultst: experiments or production achieved	Users of Synchrotron
Societal	Service or science advances	New society	Higgs boson completing the standard model

Dimension 4: regulatories

Regulatories (why ?)

Why

Risks on personal (workers)

radiation protection, fire, mechanical ...

Risks on environment

2 kinds of approach:

Authorization


Control

Internal/ external

internal: safety officer, radiation officer , procedures, rules

external: national authorities, control office, norms

End of the life-cycle



Stop

Consignate

Lock-out all the networks and clearances

Dismantle

“Decommissioning”

The process by which the facility is permanently taken out of operation at the end of the plant lifecycle with adequate regard for the health and safety of workers and the public, and protection of the environment.

A little history on management of facilities

years	Facilities considered	Classical management of the end of the facility
Before the 19th century	buildings, « classical » factories, etc ...	abandon, reconversion, demolition. The garbage are put in ... the trash
from 1970	Begining of the complex factories, including nuclear facilities	Dismantlement considered at the end of the use. The garbage are stocked.
1970-2000	Begining of the end of some nuclear facilities	Authorities introduce the question of the dismantlement at the begining of the facility
From 2000	all	Sustainable approach

Life-cycle

- generalities
- specificities of accelerators

Life cycle



Life-cycle

- **Incompressible data : the time**
- **Glossary of steps, different naming, meaning, and approaches**
- **Which model, who decides, indicators, ... (scalable, achievable, understable)**

some of the definitions

Main term	Other terms and notions	goal
Desire-need	Feasibility -exploration	Express of interest
Preliminary design		Data to dimension
Detailed Design		All the data ready to build
Construction/ installation	Realisation-Production Building /Equipement Academic/Industrial	From design to real
Test/ commissioning	Acceptance/Qualification	Before starting the operations
Operations	Maintenance/upgrade	Use
Stop	Decommissioning Dismantle	Clean & clear (re-use)



Politics

Money-Fundings

Customers/Providers

Regulatories

Specificities of accelerators

Many parameters linked to the **beam** (IS, magnetic field, vacuum, RF, ...)

Large: money (threshold), politics, time, building...

Long Duration (knowledge management, quality, obsolescence, ...)

Science: uncertainties-risk, complexity,...

International (language, culture, politics, interface, regulatory, ...)

Radiation: risk, safety, long-term, regulatory, ...

Dimensions of analysis :

Technologies/Physics

Academics / industrials

Projects/Operations