# PULSTAR systematics studies apparatus of the SNS nEDM experiment



#### Kent Leung<sup>1,2</sup>

On behalf of the SNS nEDM & PULSTAR Collaboration

<sup>1</sup>North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC

<sup>2</sup>Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory, Durham, NC







#### PULSTAR systematics studies apparatus summary

- Provide a test bed with one full-sized cell at final temperature (0.3 K) with no electric field
- Use external source of ultracold neutrons rather than neutron beam from NCSU PULSTAR UCN source (1MW reactor + solid D<sub>2</sub>)
- System will have shorter cooldown and turnaround times
- Study how to manipulate the spins of polarized ultracold neutron and polarized <sup>3</sup>He spins.
- Measure the correlation functions that describe UCN and <sup>3</sup>He motion, which are used to analytically calculate false EDM effects such as ("geometric phase")

#### SNS nEDM





**PULSTAR** apparatus

#### Experiment schematic

- Ultracold neutrons from The "HMI" DR used tested in June 2016 to provide 1500mW at 0.3K (will increase with newly added pumps)
- Polarized <sup>3</sup>He produced by a MEOP system
- 3-position "vestibule valve". *Far-left position*: load in polarized UCNs and polarized <sup>3</sup>He
- *Far-right*: hold UCNs in <sup>3</sup>He in cell and study
- *Middle*: unload depolarized <sup>3</sup>He via diffusion and differential evaporation to charcoal adsorption pump (CP)

UCNs

- CP isolation double valve system for when heating CP for purging
- Scintillation light read out with wavelength shifting (WLS) fibers with silicon photomultipliers (SiPMs)



#### Apparatus Design



#### Apparatus Design



#### Apparatus Design



### **Experimental Program**

To study the various systematic and test spin "gynmastics" of n-<sup>3</sup>He-superfluid <sup>4</sup>He scheme [*Golub & Lamoreaux, Physics Reports 237, 1-62 (1994)*]





- Simultaneous  $\pi/2$  flip of <sup>3</sup>He and neutron
- Pseudomagnetic field
- Measurement of <sup>3</sup>He correlation functions => allow analytic calculations of false EDM systematic effects
- Study techniques for Critical Spin-Dressing
- <sup>3</sup>He imaging
- Measurement cell deuterated plastic coating ultracold neutron storage properties and <sup>3</sup>He  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  before installation in full SNS nEDM apparatus

### Simultaneous $\pi/2$ flip of <sup>3</sup>He and n

• Two species have different:  $\gamma_3$  = 20.37894 Hz/mG ,  $\gamma_n$  = 18.32472 Hz/mG ,  $\gamma_3$  /  $\gamma_n$  = 1.112



Chu & Peng, *NIM A 795* (2015): off-resonance simultaneous  $\pi/2$  pulse for two species only exists if ratio x = B<sub>0</sub>/B<sub>1</sub>< 1.4

> Solution for x = 17 , B<sub>0</sub> = 10mG,  $\omega_{0,3}$  = 203.7Hz  $\omega_{0,n}$  = 183.2Hz,  $\omega_{\pi/2}$  = 191.5Hz, T<sub> $\pi/2$ </sub> = 166 ms



## Simultaneous $\pi/2$ flip of <sup>3</sup>He and n





#### Systematic effects

Extracted frequency from one cell:

$$\omega = (\gamma_3 - \gamma_n)B_0 \pm \frac{2ed_nE}{\hbar}$$

Determine B<sub>0</sub> from precession of <sup>3</sup>He comagnetometer with SQUIDs (i.e. measure  $\gamma_3 B_0$ ) so can determine  $d_n \cdot \sigma_\omega \sim 3 \mu Hz$  per cycle.

$$\omega = \gamma_3 B_{0,3} - \gamma_n B_{0,n} \pm \frac{2ed_n E}{\hbar}$$

What if the average field seen by the <sup>3</sup>He is different to that seen by neutron? i.e.  $B_{0,3} \neq B_{0,n}$ 

Not possible to detect UCNs' NMR signal ( $\rho_{\rm UCN}$  < 1000 cm<sup>-3</sup>, <sup>3</sup>He:<sup>4</sup>He = 10<sup>-10</sup>,  $\rho_3$  = 10<sup>12</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>) so no knowledge of B<sub>0,n</sub> is possible.

### Systematic effects

Extracted frequency from one cell:

$$\omega = (\gamma_3 - \gamma_n)B_0 \pm \frac{2ed_nE}{\hbar}$$

Determine B<sub>0</sub> from precession of <sup>3</sup>He comagnetometer with SQUIDs (i.e. measure  $\gamma_3 B_0$ ) so can determine  $d_n \cdot \sigma_\omega \sim 3 \mu Hz$  per cycle.

 $\omega = \gamma_3 B_{0,3} - \gamma_n B_{0,n} \pm rac{2ed_n E}{\hbar}$  What if the average to that see

What if the average field seen by the <sup>3</sup>He is different to that seen by neutron? i.e.  $B_{0,3} \neq B_{0,n}$ 

Not possible to detect UCNs' NMR signal ( $\rho_{\rm UCN}$  < 1000 cm<sup>-3</sup>, <sup>3</sup>He:<sup>4</sup>He = 10<sup>-10</sup>,  $\rho_3$  = 10<sup>12</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>) so no knowledge of B<sub>0,n</sub> is possible.

#### What can cause $B_{0,3} \neq B_{0,n}$ ?

#### Pseudomagnetic effect

Neutron optical potential in a medium  $\propto \Sigma \rho(b_{coherent} + b_{incoherent} \cdot s \cdot l)$  s = neutron spin I = nuclei spin  $^{3}$ He+n:  $b_{\uparrow\uparrow} = 4.29 \text{ fm } \& b_{\uparrow\downarrow} = 10.07 \text{ fm}.$ Produces effective potential like magnetic field:  $-\mu_{n} \cdot H_{pseudomagnetic}$  $\Delta f = 660 \,\mu\text{Hz}$   $\Delta f \text{ average } = 0$ 

5%  $\pi/2$  pulse inaccuracy  $\rightarrow \Delta f$  = 33  $\mu$ Hz

$$\bar{v}_{^{3}\mathrm{He}} = \sqrt{\frac{2k_{B}T}{m^{*}}} \approx 30 \mathrm{\ m/s} \quad \bar{v}_{\mathrm{UCN}} \approx 4 \mathrm{\ m/s}$$

<sup>3</sup>*He average position different* 

effective mass

Gravitational offset  $\Delta h \approx 1 \text{ mm}$ . Only a problem if there's a spurious B<sub>0</sub> field gradient in vertical direction

Study both in PULSTAR apparatus! Scan  $\rho_3$  with MEOP + introduce gradient fields with additional coils

#### Double cell systematic effect cancellation

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \pm 350 \text{kV HV electrode} & B_0 \\ \hline \odot \end{array}$ 



$$\omega = \gamma_3 B_{0,3} - \gamma_n B_{0,n} \pm \frac{2ed_n E}{\hbar}$$

Simultaneously measure with 2x cells with opposite E-field but same  $B_0$  and take frequency difference:

 $\Delta\omega = \omega_+ - \omega_-$ 

 $= (\gamma_3 B_{0,3})^+ - (\gamma_3 B_{0,3})^- + (\gamma_n B_{0,n})^+ - (\gamma_n B_{0,n})^- + \frac{4ed_n E}{\hbar}$ 

This cancels out most systematics and drifts.

• For pseudomagnetic effect, if  $\rho_3$  the same in both cells and  $\pi/2$  pulse similar then

 $(\gamma_n B_{0,n})^+ = (\gamma_n B_{0,n})^- \rightarrow \text{subtraction cancels}$ 

- If spurious magnetic fields product a non-constant  $B_0$  within each cell but same or horizontally symmetric  $B_0$  in both cells:  $(\gamma_3 B_{0,3})^+ = (\gamma_3 B_{0,3})^- \& (\gamma_n B_{0,n})^+ = (\gamma_n B_{0,n})^- \rightarrow$  subtraction cancels
  - If spurious magnetic fields produce different B<sub>0</sub> within each cell and/or not-symmetric between two cells: use SQUID signal for:  $B_{0,3}^+ \& B_{0,3}^-$  to correct  $B_{0,n}^+ \& B_{0,n}^-$

 $\rightarrow$  cancels at low orders

False EDM from interaction BO gradient & E x v motional field

 $\Delta \omega = \omega_+ - \omega_-$ 

Subtraction between two cells fails if frequency shift changes with E-direction

Pendlebury PRA A 70, 032102 (2004)

Assume a B<sub>0</sub> field gradient along axis of cylindrical cell  $\rightarrow$  produces a radial field

interaction of B gradient with E x v field:



# False EDM from interaction B<sub>0</sub> gradient & E x v motional field example



Exv field changes sign with direction

- On average particle population are 50% in each clockwise/counter-clockwise
- E $\otimes$ , E x v field adds with B-radial for clockwise motion  $\rightarrow$  clock-wise rotating B field

# False EDM from interaction B<sub>0</sub> gradient & E x v motional field example



Exv field changes sign with direction

- On average particle population are 50% in each clockwise/counter-clockwise
- $E\otimes$ ,  $E \times v$  field adds with B-radial for clockwise motion  $\rightarrow$  clock-wise rotating B field
- E⊙, E x v field adds with B-radial for counter-clockwise motion → counter clock-wise field

# False EDM from interaction B<sub>0</sub> gradient & E x v motional field example



Exv field changes sign with direction

- On average particle population are 50% in each clockwise/counter-clockwise
- $E\otimes$ ,  $E \times v$  field adds with B-radial for clockwise motion  $\rightarrow$  clock-wise rotating B field
- E $\odot$ , E x v field adds with B-radial for counter-clockwise motion  $\rightarrow$  counter clock-wise field
- Occurs for both <sup>3</sup>He and UCN but different in size in general due v differences and motion difference: <sup>3</sup>He has with mean-free-path  $\lambda$  = 0.77 (0.45K/T)<sup>15/2</sup> [cm], while UCNs are ballistic)
- Must be controlled for 10-<sup>28</sup> e.cm level experiments

## ${ m T_1}$ and ${ m T_2}$ measurements $ightarrow \delta \omega_{ m vE}$

The density matrix contains orientation of spin:  $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \rho_z & \frac{\rho_x}{2} + i\frac{\rho_y}{2} \\ \frac{\rho_x}{2} - i\frac{\rho_y}{2} & 1 - \rho_z \end{pmatrix}$ 

Time evolution governed by: 
$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -i[H_0 + H_1(t), \rho]$$
 where  $H_1(t) = \sum_{x,y,z} \frac{\omega_{x,y,z}(t)}{2} \sigma_{x,y,z}$ 

The <sup>3</sup>He polarization relaxation  $\frac{1}{T_1} = \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B_x(t) B_x(t+\tau) e^{-i\omega_0 \tau} d\tau + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B_y(t) B_y(t+\tau) e^{-i\omega_0 \tau} d\tau \right)$ same density matrix as  $\delta \omega_{vE}$ :

$$\delta\omega_{vE} = \frac{\gamma^2 E}{c^2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \cos(\omega_0 \tau) \langle B_x(0) v_x(\tau) + B_y(0) v_y(\tau) \rangle$$

For a linear gradients  

$$\frac{\partial B_{x,y}}{\partial x,y} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial z} = -a \qquad \frac{1}{T_1} = \frac{\gamma^2 a^2}{2} [S_r(\omega_0)] \qquad \delta \omega_{vE} - \frac{ab}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^2 S_r(\omega)}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)} d\omega$$
Lamoreaux & R. Golub, PRA **71**, 032104 (2005)  
Pignol & Roccia PRA **85**, 042105 (2012)

Pignol & Roccia PRA **85**, 042105 (2012) R. Golub et al. PRA **92**, 062123 (2015) Chris Swank, PhD thesis

Power spectrum of position autocorrelation function

→ measure T1 and T2 times without E-field and known added B gradients in PULSTAR to derive [S<sub>r</sub>( $\omega_0$ )], which can then be used predict size of  $\delta\omega_{\rm vE}$ .

ightarrow Do this for full-sized measurement cells with same wall conditions (the actual final cells).

## Critical spin-dressing

• Spin-dressing involves application of a transverse RF-field, which produces an effective (high frequency limit  $\omega_{dress} \gg \gamma B_0$ ):

occurs when

$$\gamma' = \gamma J_0 \left( \frac{\gamma B_{\rm dress}}{\omega_{\rm dress}} \right)$$

Chu et al. PRC 84, 022501 (2011): demonstrated for <sup>3</sup>He gas room temperature gas, higher fields

Oth order Bessel function

• "Critical dressing"  $\gamma'_n = \gamma'_3$ 

$$: \frac{\gamma_3 B_{\rm dress}}{\omega_{\rm dress}} \approx 1.323$$

• For  $f_{dress} = 2 \text{ kHz}$  (c.f.  $\gamma_3 B_0 \approx \gamma_n B_0 \approx 200 \text{Hz}$ ) need  $B_{dress} = 0.812 \text{ G}$ 





#### Critical dress-spin mode

$$\theta_{n3} = |\gamma_n - \gamma_3| B_0 t \pm \frac{ed_n |E|}{\hbar} t$$

EDM signal changes with time as 1<sup>st</sup> harmonic.

• Sit at angle  $\theta_0 \approx 45 \text{deg}$  (shown in rotating frame of <sup>3</sup>He)



### **Dilution Refrigerator**



#### Cryostat





#### Cryostat



## 3He removal system



## Charcoal Pump Isolation System

Gas heat switch for cooling and heating charcoal pump



Non-magnetic double valve design actuated by rope at room temperature.

- Could not have direct line
- Lower rope to close bottom valve first, wait for pump out volume between valves, and then lower rope more to close top valve.
- Pumped volume provides thermal isolation when purging Charcoal pump valve when is heated to ~10K





## 3-position vestibule valve



#### Testing leakage through valve



Kapton Origami Bellows: Have now increased diameter to 0.7" and length to 4"



## 3-position vestibule valve



Pessimistic kinetic theory shows need to move valve from far left (filling 3He) and far right (close cell) within 1sec



Bellows tested and shown can handle this speed for >1000 cycles at room temperature

Polarized 3He input line not shown

## Measurement cell production

#### Measurement cell production facilities at NCSU



Example of a cell being produced. Under UV lamp showing the florescent properties of the TPB-doped deuterated plastic coating



#### PULSTAR UCN source



#### @ NCSU's 1MW PULSTAR Reactor





#### D2O tank



#### PULSTAR UCN source

Methane and solid D2 insert



Outside of core, condensed Flammable gasses and grown sD2 crystals this year



#### MEOP system









#### **PULSTAR** collaboration

K. Leung, I. Berkutov, R. Golub, D. Haase, A. Hawari, P. Huffman, E. Korobkina, A. Lipman, A. Reid, S. Sosothikul, H. Stephens, C. White and A. Young; North Carolina State University



R. Alarcon and R. Dipert Arizona State University

P.-H. Chu, H. Gao, and Y. Zhang Duke University

> L. Bartoszek Bartoszek Engineering

C. Crawford and W. Korsch University of Kentucky

C. Swank California Institute of Technology



#### SNS nEDM collaboration

#### THANK YOU!

Arizona State University

**Brown University** 

**Boston University** 

UC Berkeley

California Institute of Technology

Duke University

Harvard University

Indiana University

University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

University of Kentucky

Los Alamos National Laboratory Massachusetts Institute of Technology Mississippi State University North Carolina State University Oak Ridge National Laboratory Simon Fraser University University of Tennessee Valparaiso University University of Virginia Yale University