



AFTER@LHCb Projecting AFTER@LHC onto the reality

Jean-Philippe Lansberg

IPN Orsay, CNRS/IN2P3, Univ. Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay

"LHCb Ion and Fixed Target physics" workshop, CERN, January 9-10, 2017

 $Thanks \ to \ the \ AFTER@LHC \ Study \ group: \ http://after.in2p3.fr/after/index.php/Current_author_list \ arcspace{-1.5}{Current_author_list} \ arcspace{-1.5}{Current_author_list} \ arcspace{-1.5}{Current_author_list} \ arcspace{-1.5}{Current_author_list} \ brackspace{-1.5}{Current_author_list} \ brackspace{-1.5}{Curren$

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 1 / 25

• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

Part I

Assets, Kinematics, Possible Implementations and Luminosities

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 2 / 25

A B > A B > A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

4 decisive features

• • • • • • • • • • • •

- accessing the high *x* frontier
- achieving high luminosities,
- varying the atomic mass of the target almost at will,
- polarising the target.

$$[|x_F| \equiv \frac{|p_z|}{p_{z \max}} \to 1]$$

- accessing the high *x* frontier
- achieving high luminosities,
- varying the atomic mass of the target almost at will,
- polarising the target.
- 3 physics cases

$$[|x_F| \equiv \frac{|p_z|}{p_{z \max}} \to 1]$$

- accessing the high *x* frontier
- achieving high luminosities,
- varying the atomic mass of the target almost at will,
- polarising the target.
- 3 physics cases
- High-*x* gluon, antiquark and heavy-quark content in the nucleon & nucleus

$$[|x_F| \equiv \frac{|p_z|}{p_{z \max}} \to 1]$$

- accessing the high *x* frontier
- achieving high luminosities,
- varying the atomic mass of the target almost at will,
- polarising the target.
- 3 physics cases
- High-*x* gluon, antiquark and heavy-quark content in the nucleon & nucleus
- Transverse dynamics and spin of gluons inside (un)polarised nucleons

$$[|x_F| \equiv \frac{|p_z|}{p_{z \max}} \to 1]$$

4 decisive features

- accessing the high *x* frontier
- achieving high luminosities,
- varying the atomic mass of the target almost at will,
- polarising the target.
- 3 physics cases
- High-*x* gluon, antiquark and heavy-quark content in the nucleon & nucleus
- Transverse dynamics and spin of gluons inside (un)polarised nucleons
- Heavy-ion physics between SPS & RHIC energies towards large rapidities

 $[|x_F| \equiv \frac{|p_z|}{p_{z \max}} \rightarrow 1]$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

4 decisive features

- accessing the high *x* frontier
- achieving high luminosities,
- varying the atomic mass of the target almost at will,
- polarising the target.
- 3 physics cases
- High-*x* gluon, antiquark and heavy-quark content in the nucleon & nucleus
- Transverse dynamics and spin of gluons inside (un)polarised nucleons
- Heavy-ion physics between SPS & RHIC energies towards large rapidities

All this can be realised at CERN in a parasitic mode with the most energetic beams ever !

Nota: all (past) colliders with $E_p \ge 100$ GeV have had a fixed-target program (Tevatron, HERA, SPS, RHIC)

 $[|x_F| \equiv \frac{|p_z|}{p_{z \max}} \to 1]$

Energy range

7 TeV proton beam on a fixed target

c.m.s. energy:	$\sqrt{s} = \sqrt{2m_N E_p} \approx 115 \mathrm{GeV}$	Rapidity shift:	115 GeV	。 《
Boost:	$v = \sqrt{s} / (2m_N) \approx 60$	$y_{c.m.s.} = 0 \rightarrow y_{lab} = 4.8$	•	<u>.</u>
2.76 TeV Pb beam on a fixed target				3
c.m.s. energy: $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = \sqrt{2m_N E_{Pb}} \approx 72 \text{GeV}$		Rapidity shift:	A 72 GeV	*
Boost:	$\gamma \approx 40$	$y_{c.m.s.} = 0 \rightarrow y_{lab} = 4.3$	2	

Energy range

7 TeV proton beam on a fixed target



Such \sqrt{s} allow, for the first time, for systematic studies of *W* boson, bottomonia, p_T spectra, associated production, ..., in the fixed target mode

Energy range

7 TeV proton beam on a fixed target



Such \sqrt{s} allow, for the first time, for systematic studies of *W* boson, bottomonia, p_T spectra, associated production, ..., in the fixed target mode

Effect of boost :

[particularly relevant for high energy beams]

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• LHCb and the ALICE muon arm become backward detectors $[y_{c.m.s.} < 0]$

Energy range

7 TeV proton beam on a fixed target



Such \sqrt{s} allow, for the first time, for systematic studies of *W* boson, bottomonia, p_T spectra, associated production, ..., in the fixed target mode

Effect of boost :

[particularly relevant for high energy beams]

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- LHCb and the ALICE muon arm become backward detectors $[y_{c.m.s.} < 0]$
- With the reduced \sqrt{s} , their acceptance for physics grows and nearly covers half of the backward region for most probes $[-1 < x_F < 0]$

Energy range

7 TeV proton beam on a fixed target



Such \sqrt{s} allow, for the first time, for systematic studies of *W* boson, bottomonia, p_T spectra, associated production, ..., in the fixed target mode

Effect of boost :

[particularly relevant for high energy beams]

- LHCb and the ALICE muon arm become backward detectors $[y_{c.m.s.} < 0]$
- With the reduced \sqrt{s} , their acceptance for physics grows and nearly covers half of the backward region for most probes $[-1 < x_F < 0]$
- Allows for backward physics up to high x_{target} (≡ x₂)
 [uncharted for proton-nucleus; most relevant for p-p[↑] with large x[↑]].

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

LHCb acceptance as a function of the colliding modes



At lower energies, LHCb has a wider relative physical acceptance !

Nota: In most of the cases, the luminosity is limited by the detector or by the parasiticity

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 6 / 25

- Internal gas target (see next slide)
 - · can be installed in one of the existing LHC caverns, and coupled to existing experiments
 - · currently validated by the LHCb collaboration via a luminosity monitor (SMOG)
 - · bears on the high LHC particle current
 - proton flux: $3.4 \times 10^{18} \text{ s}^{-1}$ & lead flux: $3.6 \times 10^{14} \text{ s}^{-1}$

Nota: In most of the cases, the luminosity is limited by the detector or by the parasiticity

- Internal gas target (see next slide)
 - · can be installed in one of the existing LHC caverns, and coupled to existing experiments
 - · currently validated by the LHCb collaboration via a luminosity monitor (SMOG)
 - · bears on the high LHC particle current
 - proton flux: $3.4 \times 10^{18} \text{ s}^{-1}$ & lead flux: $3.6 \times 10^{14} \text{ s}^{-1}$
- Internal wire target [used by Hera-B on the 920 GeV HERA p beam and by STAR at RHIC]

Nota: In most of the cases, the luminosity is limited by the detector or by the parasiticity

- Internal gas target (see next slide)
 - · can be installed in one of the existing LHC caverns, and coupled to existing experiments
 - $\cdot~$ currently validated by the LHCb collaboration via a luminosity monitor (SMOG)
 - · bears on the high LHC particle current
 - proton flux: $3.4 \times 10^{18} \text{ s}^{-1}$ & lead flux: $3.6 \times 10^{14} \text{ s}^{-1}$
- Internal wire target [used by Hera-B on the 920 GeV HERA *p* beam and by STAR at RHIC]
- Beam line extracted by a bent crystal
 - the most ambitious solution
 - · provides a new facility with 7 TeV proton beam
 - the LHC beam halo is recycled
 - proton flux: $5 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$ & lead flux: $2 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$

Nota: In most of the cases, the luminosity is limited by the detector or by the parasiticity

[see S. Radaelli's talk at the PBC workshop] [civil engineering]

- Internal gas target (see next slide)
 - · can be installed in one of the existing LHC caverns, and coupled to existing experiments
 - $\cdot~$ currently validated by the LHCb collaboration via a luminosity monitor (SMOG)
 - · bears on the high LHC particle current
 - proton flux: $3.4 \times 10^{18} \text{ s}^{-1}$ & lead flux: $3.6 \times 10^{14} \text{ s}^{-1}$
- Internal wire target [used by Hera-B on the 920 GeV HERA p beam and by STAR at RHIC]
- Beam line extracted by a bent crystal
 - the most ambitious solution
 - provides a new facility with 7 TeV proton beam
 - the LHC beam halo is recycled
 - proton flux: $5 \times 10^{8} \text{ s}^{-1}$ & lead flux: $2 \times 10^{5} \text{ s}^{-1}$
- Beam splitted by a bent crystal
 - intermediate option which reduces the civil enginneering
 - might be coupled to an existing experiment
 - · similar fluxes

Nota: In most of the cases, the luminosity is limited by the detector or by the parasiticity

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

[see S. Radaelli's talk at the PBC workshop] [civil engineering]

[see P. Robbe's talk]

- Internal gas target (see next slide)
 - · can be installed in one of the existing LHC caverns, and coupled to existing experiments
 - $\cdot~$ currently validated by the LHCb collaboration via a luminosity monitor (SMOG)
 - · bears on the high LHC particle current
 - proton flux: $3.4 \times 10^{18} \text{ s}^{-1}$ & lead flux: $3.6 \times 10^{14} \text{ s}^{-1}$
- Internal wire target [used by Hera-B on the 920 GeV HERA *p* beam and by STAR at RHIC]
- Beam line extracted by a bent crystal
 - the most ambitious solution
 - provides a new facility with 7 TeV proton beam
 - · the LHC beam halo is recycled
 - · proton flux: $5 \times 10^{8} \text{ s}^{-1}$ & lead flux: $2 \times 10^{5} \text{ s}^{-1}$
- Beam splitted by a bent crystal
 - intermediate option which reduces the civil enginneering
 - might be coupled to an existing experiment
 - · similar fluxes
- Similar luminosities with an internal gas target or a crystal-based solution

$$\begin{array}{ccc} pp & pA & PbA \\ \mathcal{O}(10 \ fb^{-1}yr^{-1}) & \mathcal{O}(0.1 - 1 \ fb^{-1}yr^{-1}) & \mathcal{O}(1 - 50 \ nb^{-1}yr^{-1}) \end{array}$$

Nota: In most of the cases, the luminosity is limited by the detector or by the parasiticity

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

[see P. Robbe's talk]

[civil engineering]

[see S. Radaelli's talk at the PBC workshop]

SMOG(-like) system

HERMES(-like) system

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 7 / 25

SMOG(-like) system

- · SMOG: System for Measuring Overlap with Gas
- · Designed for precise luminosity determination
- Noble gas directly injected in the VELO

SMOG(-like) system

- · SMOG: System for Measuring Overlap with Gas
- · Designed for precise luminosity determination
- Noble gas directly injected in the VELO

- · Injection of gas in an open-end storage cell
- · Used e.g. at DESY for 10 years

SMOG(-like) system

- · SMOG: System for Measuring Overlap with Gas
- · Designed for precise luminosity determination
- Noble gas directly injected in the VELO
- ✓ p(He,Ne,Ar), Pb(Ne,Ar) tested : completely parasitic [up to one week, so far]
- ✓ New pressure monitoring to be installed
- ✓ Could be coupled to ALICE: ideal demonstrator

- · Injection of gas in an open-end storage cell
- · Used e.g. at DESY for 10 years

SMOG(-like) system

- · SMOG: System for Measuring Overlap with Gas
- · Designed for precise luminosity determination
- Noble gas directly injected in the VELO
- ✓ p(He,Ne,Ar), Pb(Ne,Ar) tested : completely parasitic [up to one week, so far]
- ✓ New pressure monitoring to be installed
- ✓ Could be coupled to ALICE: ideal demonstrator

- · Injection of gas in an open-end storage cell
- · Used e.g. at DESY for 10 years
- Dedicated pumping system [turbo-molecular pumps]
- ✓ Pressure in the cell significantly higher [diameter ≤ 2cm in the closed position]
- ✓ Polarised H and D can be injected ballistically with high polarisation
- ✓ Polarised ³He or unpolarised heavy gas (Kr, Xe) can also be injected

SMOG(-like) system

- · SMOG: System for Measuring Overlap with Gas
- · Designed for precise luminosity determination
- Noble gas directly injected in the VELO
- ✓ p(He,Ne,Ar), Pb(Ne,Ar) tested : completely parasitic [up to one week, so far]
- ✓ New pressure monitoring to be installed
- ✓ Could be coupled to ALICE: ideal demonstrator
- No specific pumping system: limits the injected gas [pressure and duration]
- X No possibility to use polarised gases
- ✗ Gas flows in the beampipe; pressure profile not optimised
- ✗ Kr and Xe maybe only at end of a run

HERMES(-like) system

- · Injection of gas in an open-end storage cell
- · Used e.g. at DESY for 10 years
- Dedicated pumping system [turbo-molecular pumps]
- ✓ Pressure in the cell significantly higher [diameter ≤ 2cm in the closed position]
- ✓ Polarised H and D can be injected ballistically with high polarisation
- ✓ Polarised ³He or unpolarised heavy gas (Kr, Xe) can also be injected

SMOG(-like) system

- · SMOG: System for Measuring Overlap with Gas
- · Designed for precise luminosity determination
- Noble gas directly injected in the VELO
- ✓ p(He,Ne,Ar), Pb(Ne,Ar) tested : completely parasitic [up to one week, so far]
- ✓ New pressure monitoring to be installed
- ✓ Could be coupled to ALICE: ideal demonstrator
- No specific pumping system: limits the injected gas [pressure and duration]
- ✗ No possibility to use polarised gases
- ✗ Gas flows in the beampipe; pressure profile not optimised
- ✗ Kr and Xe maybe only at end of a run

HERMES(-like) system

- · Injection of gas in an open-end storage cell
- · Used e.g. at DESY for 10 years
- Dedicated pumping system [turbo-molecular pumps]
- ✓ Pressure in the cell significantly higher [diameter ≤ 2cm in the closed position]
- ✓ Polarised H and D can be injected ballistically with high polarisation
- ✓ Polarised ³He or unpolarised heavy gas (Kr, Xe) can also be injected
- X Not compatible with an injection inside ALICE; only upstream
- ✗ May need complementary vertexing capabilities

SMOG(-like) system

- · SMOG: System for Measuring Overlap with Gas
- · Designed for precise luminosity determination
- Noble gas directly injected in the VELO
- ✓ p(He,Ne,Ar), Pb(Ne,Ar) tested : completely parasitic [up to one week, so far]
- ✓ New pressure monitoring to be installed
- ✓ Could be coupled to ALICE: ideal demonstrator
- No specific pumping system: limits the injected gas [pressure and duration]
- ✗ No possibility to use polarised gases
- ✗ Gas flows in the beampipe; pressure profile not optimised
- ✗ Kr and Xe maybe only at end of a run



HERMES(-like) system

- · Injection of gas in an open-end storage cell
- · Used e.g. at DESY for 10 years
- ✓ Dedicated pumping system [turbo-molecular pumps]
- ✓ Pressure in the cell significantly higher [diameter ≤ 2cm in the closed position]
- ✓ Polarised H and D can be injected ballistically with high polarisation
- ✓ Polarised ³He or unpolarised heavy gas (Kr, Xe) can also be injected
- X Not compatible with an injection inside ALICE; only upstream
- X May need complementary vertexing capabilities

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 7 / 25

SMOG(-like) system

- · SMOG: System for Measuring Overlap with Gas
- · Designed for precise luminosity determination
- Noble gas directly injected in the VELO
- ✓ p(He,Ne,Ar), Pb(Ne,Ar) tested : completely parasitic [up to one week, so far]
- ✓ New pressure monitoring to be installed
- ✓ Could be coupled to ALICE: ideal demonstrator
- No specific pumping system: limits the injected gas [pressure and duration]
- ✗ No possibility to use polarised gases
- ✗ Gas flows in the beampipe; pressure profile not optimised
- ✗ Kr and Xe maybe only at end of a run



HERMES(-like) system

- · Injection of gas in an open-end storage cell
- · Used e.g. at DESY for 10 years
- ✓ Dedicated pumping system [turbo-molecular pumps]
- ✓ Pressure in the cell significantly higher [diameter ≤ 2cm in the closed position]
- ✓ Polarised H and D can be injected ballistically with high polarisation
- ✓ Polarised ³He or unpolarised heavy gas (Kr, Xe) can also be injected
- X Not compatible with an injection inside ALICE; only upstream
- ✗ May need complementary vertexing capabilities

The simulations showed in Part III are based on this set-up coupled to a LHCb like detector

[Much more in E.Steffens' talk]

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 7 / 25

Part II

Physics Motivation

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 8 / 25

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

High-*x* frontier

Advance our understanding of the high-x gluon, antiquark and heavy-quark content in the nucleon & nucleus

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

High-*x* frontier

Advance our understanding of the high-x gluon, antiquark and heavy-quark content in the nucleon & nucleus

• Very large PDF uncertainties for $x \gtrsim 0.5$.

[could be crucial to characterise possible BSM discoveries]

• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • • □ ▶



High-*x* frontier

Advance our understanding of the high-x gluon, antiquark and heavy-quark content in the nucleon & nucleus

• Very large PDF uncertainties for $x \gtrsim 0.5$.

[could be crucial to characterise possible BSM discoveries]

· Proton charm content important to high-energy neutrino & cosmic-rays physics


High-*x* frontier

Advance our understanding of the high-x gluon, antiquark and heavy-quark content in the nucleon & nucleus

• Very large PDF uncertainties for $x \gtrsim 0.5$.

[could be crucial to characterise possible BSM discoveries]

- · Proton charm content important to high-energy neutrino & cosmic-rays physics
- EMC effect is an open problem; studying a possible gluon EMC effect is essential
- · Relevance of nuclear PDF to understand the initial state of heavy-ion collisions



Best to take data at large x and small scale, than at large scale: advantange of low E; provided HT are under control

AFTER@LHCb

High-*x* frontier

Advance our understanding of the high-x gluon, antiquark and heavy-quark content in the nucleon & nucleus

• Very large PDF uncertainties for $x \gtrsim 0.5$.

[could be crucial to characterise possible BSM discoveries]

- · Proton charm content important to high-energy neutrino & cosmic-rays physics
- EMC effect is an open problem; studying a possible gluon EMC effect is essential
- Relevance of nuclear PDF to understand the initial state of heavy-ion collisions
- Search and study rare proton fluctuations

where one gluon carries most of the proton momentum



Best to take data at large x and small scale, than at large scale: advantange of low E; provided HT are under control 🖕 👘 😑

AFTER@LHCb

Advance our understanding of the dynamics and spin of gluons and quarks inside (un)polarised nucleons

Advance our understanding of the dynamics and spin of gluons and quarks inside (un)polarised nucleons

Possible missing contribution to the proton spin: Orbital Angular Momentum $\mathcal{L}_{g;g}$:

 $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma + \Delta G + \mathcal{L}_g + \mathcal{L}_q \quad \text{[First hint by COMPASS that } \mathcal{L}_g \neq 0\text{]}$



Advance our understanding of the dynamics and spin of gluons and quarks inside (un)polarised nucleons

Possible missing contribution to the proton spin: Orbital Angular Momentum $\mathcal{L}_{g;q}$:

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma + \Delta G + \mathcal{L}_g + \mathcal{L}_q$$

Test of the QCD factorisation framework

[First hint by COMPASS that $\mathcal{L}_g \neq 0$]

[beyond the DY A_N sign change]



Advance our understanding of the dynamics and spin of gluons and quarks inside (un)polarised nucleons

Possible missing contribution to the proton spin: Orbital Angular Momentum $\mathcal{L}_{g;g}$:

 $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma + \Delta G + \mathcal{L}_g + \mathcal{L}_q \quad \text{[First hint by COMPASS that } \mathcal{L}_g \neq 0\text{]}$

Test of the QCD factorisation framework

[beyond the DY A_N sign change]

Determination of the linearly polarised gluons in unpolarised protons

[once measured, allows for spin physics without polarised proton, e.g. at the LHC]



Heavy-ion collisions towards large rapidities

• A complete set of heavy-flavour studies between SPS and RHIC energies [needed to calibrate the quarkonium thermometer $(J/\psi, \psi', \chi_c, \Upsilon, D, J/\psi \leftarrow b + pairs)$]



- A complete set of heavy-flavour studies between SPS and RHIC energies [needed to calibrate the quarkonium thermometer $(J/\psi, \psi', \chi_c, \Upsilon, D, J/\psi \leftarrow b + \text{pairs})$]
- Test the formation of azimuthal asymmetries: hydrodynamics vs. initial-state radiation



- A complete set of heavy-flavour studies between SPS and RHIC energies [needed to calibrate the quarkonium thermometer $(J/\psi, \psi', \chi_c, \Upsilon, D, J/\psi \leftarrow b + \text{pairs})$]
 - Test the formation of azimuthal asymmetries: hydrodynamics vs. initial-state radiation
- Explore the longitudinal expansion of QGP formation



- A complete set of heavy-flavour studies between SPS and RHIC energies
 - [needed to calibrate the quarkonium thermometer $(J/\psi, \psi', \chi_c, \Upsilon, D, J/\psi \leftarrow b + \text{pairs})$]
- · Test the formation of azimuthal asymmetries: hydrodynamics vs. initial-state radiation
- · Explore the longitudinal expansion of QGP formation
- Test the factorisation of cold nuclear effects from p + A to A + B collisions



Part III

A selection of projected performances

What is not covered by lack of time

- Azimuthal anisotropies [Heavy-Ion, Spin]
 Photon related observables [High-x, Spin, Heavy-Ion]
 W boson [High-x, Spin]
 Antiproton and related x-section measurements for astroparticle MC tuning [High-x]
- *C*-even quarkonia

[High-x, Spin, Heavy-Ion]

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 13 / 25

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

• Unique acceptance (with a LHCb-like detector) compared to existing DY *pA* data used for nuclear PDF fit (E866 & E772 @ Fermilab).



- Unique acceptance (with a LHCb-like detector) compared to existing DY *pA* data used for nuclear PDF fit (E866 & E772 @ Fermilab).
- Same acceptance for *pp* collisions



- Unique acceptance (with a LHCb-like detector) compared to existing DY *pA* data used for nuclear PDF fit (E866 & E772 @ Fermilab).
- Same acceptance for *pp* collisions
- Extremely large yields up to $x_2 \rightarrow 1$ [plot made for *p*Xe with a Hermes like target]



- Unique acceptance (with a LHCb-like detector) compared to existing DY *pA* data used for nuclear PDF fit (E866 & E772 @ Fermilab).
- Same acceptance for *pp* collisions
- Extremely large yields up to $x_2 \rightarrow 1$ [plot made for *p*Xe with a Hermes like target]
- · Combinatorial Background well under control



- Unique acceptance (with a LHCb-like detector) compared to existing DY *pA* data used for nuclear PDF fit (E866 & E772 @ Fermilab).
- Same acceptance for *pp* collisions
- Extremely large yields up to $x_2 \rightarrow 1$ [plot made for *p*Xe with a Hermes like target]
- · Combinatorial Background well under control
 - combinatorial background easily subtracted using the large like-sign yields



- Unique acceptance (with a LHCb-like detector) compared to existing DY *pA* data used for nuclear PDF fit (E866 & E772 @ Fermilab).
- Same acceptance for *pp* collisions
- Extremely large yields up to $x_2 \rightarrow 1$ [plot made for *p*Xe with a Hermes like target]
- Combinatorial Background well under control
 - · combinatorial background easily subtracted using the large like-sign yields
 - · left over charm and beauty interesting on their own [although accessible by other means]



- Unique acceptance (with a LHCb-like detector) compared to existing DY *pA* data used for nuclear PDF fit (E866 & E772 @ Fermilab).
- Same acceptance for *pp* collisions
- Extremely large yields up to $x_2 \rightarrow 1$ [plot made for *p*Xe with a Hermes like target]
- · Combinatorial Background well under control
 - · combinatorial background easily subtracted using the large like-sign yields
 - · left over charm and beauty interesting on their own [although accessible by other means]
 - one could refine with mixing event techniques [needed for PbA systems]



- Unique acceptance (with a LHCb-like detector) compared to existing DY *pA* data used for nuclear PDF fit (E866 & E772 @ Fermilab).
- · Same acceptance for *pp* collisions
- Extremely large yields up to $x_2 \rightarrow 1$ [plot made for *p*Xe with a Hermes like target]
- · Combinatorial Background well under control
 - · combinatorial background easily subtracted using the large like-sign yields
 - · left over charm and beauty interesting on their own [although accessible by other means]
 - one could refine with mixing event techniques [needed for PbA systems]
- No existing measurements at RHIC



Drell-Yan performances for spin analyses [LHCb-like detector]

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 14 / 25

• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • • □ ▶

Drell-Yan performances for spin analyses [LHCb-like detector]

DY pair production on a transversely polarised target is the aim of several experiment (COMPASS, E1039, STAR, E1039)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

Drell-Yan performances for spin analyses []

[LHCb-like detector]

- DY pair production on a transversely polarised target is the aim of several experiment (COMPASS, E1039, STAR, E1039)
- Check the sign change in A_N DY vs SIDIS: hot topic in spin physics !

Relevant parameters for existing and proposed polarized DY experiments.

S.J. Brodsky, F. Fleuret, C. Hadjidakis, JPL, Phys. Rep. 522 (2013) 239

V. Barone, F. Bradamante, A. Martin, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 65 (2010) 267.

Experiment	particles	energy (GeV)	√s (GeV)	x_p^{\dagger}	L (nb ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)
AFTER	$p + p^{\uparrow}$	7000	115	$0.01 \div 0.9$	1
COMPASS	$\pi^{\pm} + p^{\uparrow}$	160	17.4	$0.2 \div 0.3$	2
COMPASS (low mass)	$\pi^{\pm} + p^{\uparrow}$	160	17.4	~ 0.05	2
P1039	$p + p^{\uparrow}$	120	15	$0.1 \div 0.3$	400-1000
P1027	$p^{\dagger} + p$	120	15	$0.35 \div 0.85$	400-1000
RHIC	$p^{\uparrow} + p$	collider	500	$0.05 \div 0.1$	0.2
J-PARC	$p^{\uparrow} + p$	50	10	$0.5 \div 0.9$	1000
PANDA (low mass)	$\tilde{p} + p^{\dagger}$	15	5.5	$0.2 \div 0.4$	0.2
PAX	$p^{\uparrow} + \tilde{p}$	collider	14	$0.1 \div 0.9$	0.002
NICA	$p^{\uparrow} + p$	collider	20	$0.1 \div 0.8$	0.001
RHIC Int.Target (1,2)	$p^{\dagger} + p$	250	22	$0.2 \div 0.5$	(2,60)

• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

Drell-Yan performances for spin analyses [

[LHCb-like detector]

- DY pair production on a transversely polarised target is the aim of several experiment (COMPASS, E1039, STAR, E1039)
- Check the sign change in A_N DY vs SIDIS: hot topic in spin physics !
- With a highly polarised gas target, from an exploration phase to a consolidation phase

•••	Relevant	parameters fo	r existing an	d propose	d polarized D	Y experiments.
-----	----------	---------------	---------------	-----------	---------------	----------------

S.J. Brodsky, F. Fleuret, C. Hadjidakis, JPL, Phys. Rep. 522 (2013) 239

V. Barone, F. Bradamante, A. Martin, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 65 (2010) 267.

Experiment	particles	energy (GeV)	√\$ (GeV)	x_p^{\dagger}	L (nb ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)
AFTER	$p + p^{\uparrow}$	7000	115	$0.01 \div 0.9$	1
COMPASS	$\pi^{\pm} + p^{\uparrow}$	160	17.4	$0.2 \div 0.3$	2
COMPASS (low mass)	$\pi^{\pm} + p^{\uparrow}$	160	17.4	~ 0.05	2
P1039	$p + p^{\uparrow}$	120	15	$0.1 \div 0.3$	400-1000
P1027	$p^{\dagger} + p$	120	15	$0.35 \div 0.85$	400-1000
RHIC	$p^{\uparrow} + p$	collider	500	$0.05 \div 0.1$	0.2
J-PARC	$p^{\uparrow} + p$	50	10	$0.5 \div 0.9$	1000
PANDA (low mass)	$\tilde{p} + p^{\dagger}$	15	5.5	$0.2 \div 0.4$	0.2
PAX	$p^{\uparrow} + \tilde{p}$	collider	14	$0.1 \div 0.9$	0.002
NICA	$p^{\uparrow} + p$	collider	20	$0.1 \div 0.8$	0.001
RHIC Int.Target (1,2)	$p^{\dagger} + p$	250	22	$0.2 \div 0.5$	(2,60)



J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 14 / 25

Drell-Yan performances for spin analyses

[LHCb-like detector]

- DY pair production on a transversely polarised target is the aim of several experiment (COMPASS, E1039, STAR, E1039)
- Check the sign change in A_N DY vs SIDIS: hot topic in spin physics !
- With a highly polarised gas target, from an exploration phase to a consolidation phase
- With a ³He[†] target, access to the quark Sivers effect in the neutron via DY:



S.J. Brodsky, F. Fleuret, C. Hadjidakis, JPL, Phys. Rep. 522 (2013) 239

V. Barone, F. Bradamante, A. Martin, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 65 (2010) 267.

Experiment	particles	energy (GeV)	√\$ (GeV)	x_p^{\dagger}	L (nb ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)
AFTER	$p + p^{\uparrow}$	7000	115	$0.01 \div 0.9$	1
COMPASS	$\pi^{\pm} + p^{\uparrow}$	160	17.4	$0.2 \div 0.3$	2
COMPASS (low mass)	$\pi^{\pm} + p^{\uparrow}$	160	17.4	~ 0.05	2
P1039	$p + p^{\dagger}$	120	15	$0.1 \div 0.3$	400-1000
P1027	$p^{\dagger} + p$	120	15	0.35 ÷ 0.85	400-1000
RHIC	$p^{\uparrow} + p$	collider	500	$0.05 \div 0.1$	0.2
J-PARC	$p^{\uparrow} + p$	50	10	$0.5 \div 0.9$	1000
PANDA (low mass)	$\tilde{p} + p^{\dagger}$	15	5.5	$0.2 \div 0.4$	0.2
PAX	$p^{\uparrow} + \tilde{p}$	collider	14	$0.1 \div 0.9$	0.002
NICA	$p^{\uparrow} + p$	collider	20	$0.1 \div 0.8$	0.001
RHIC Int.Target (1,2)	$p^{\dagger} + p$	250	22	$0.2 \div 0.5$	(2,60)



J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 14 / 25

Drell-Yan performances for nuclear matter analysis

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 15 / 25

Image: Image:

Drell-Yan performances for nuclear matter analysis

• New constraints on quark nPDF with DY in *pA* collisions [See

[See also I. Schienbein's and F. Arleo's talks]

Drell-Yan performances for nuclear matter analysis

• New constraints on quark nPDF with DY in *pA* collisions [See also

[See also I. Schienbein's and F. Arleo's talks]

· Stat. uncertainties smaller than nPDF: discrimating power

[only 1 bin out of 5 shown; global syst. : pp vs pA lumi.]



J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 16 / 25

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

• Extremely good prospects to measure charm

크

- · Extremely good prospects to measure charm
 - down to zero p_T
 - over a wide rapidity coverage
 - with extremely high statistiscal precision in *pp*, *pA* and *AA* collisions



[total x-section]

 $[x_F \rightarrow -1]$

- Extremely good prospects to measure charm
 - down to zero p_T
 - · over a wide rapidity coverage
 - with extremely high statistiscal precision in *pp*, *pA* and *AA* collisions
- With a LHCb-like detector, the background is well under control



[total x-section]

 $[x_F \rightarrow -1]$

[see below]

- Extremely good prospects to measure charm
 - down to zero p_T
 - over a wide rapidity coverage
 - with extremely high statistiscal precision in *pp*, *pA* and *AA* collisions
- With a LHCb-like detector, the background is well under control
- Looking at $D \rightarrow K\pi$ gives direct acces to charm anticharm asymmetries



[total x-section]

 $[x_F \rightarrow -1]$

[see below]

Open charm projections

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 17 / 25

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Open charm projections

This huge data sample over a wide kinematical coverage gives a unique handle on the charm content in the proton at high x
- This huge data sample over a wide kinematical coverage gives a unique handle on the charm content in the proton at high *x*
- Longstanding debate in the QCD community: pertubative vs. non-perturbative origin



イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

- This huge data sample over a wide kinematical coverage gives a unique handle on the charm content in the proton at high *x*
- Longstanding debate in the QCD community: pertubative vs. non-perturbative origin
 - Relevant for cosmic neutrinos [not well constrained by lack of inputs]



- This huge data sample over a wide kinematical coverage gives a unique handle on the charm content in the proton at high *x*
- Longstanding debate in the QCD community: pertubative vs. non-perturbative origin
 - Relevant for cosmic neutrinos [not well constrained by lack of inputs]
- D⁰ can also be collected with a transverselypolarised target[Never measured]



• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • • □ ▶

- This huge data sample over a wide kinematical coverage gives a unique handle on the charm content in the proton at high *x*
- Longstanding debate in the QCD community: pertubative vs. non-perturbative origin
- Relevant for cosmic neutrinos [not well constrained by lack of inputs]
- D⁰ can also be collected with a transversely polarised target [Never measured]
 Gives access to the tri-gluon correlation and the gluon Sivers effect [related to L_g]



- This huge data sample over a wide kinematical coverage gives a unique handle on the charm content in the proton at high *x*
- Longstanding debate in the QCD community: pertubative vs. non-perturbative origin
- Relevant for cosmic neutrinos [not well constrained by lack of inputs]
- Differences in $A_N^{D^0}$ and $A_N^{\bar{D}^0}$ gives acces to *C*-odd correlators [No other facility can measured this]



イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

AFTER@LHCb

- This huge data sample over a wide kinematical coverage gives a unique handle on the charm content in the proton at high *x*
- Longstanding debate in the QCD community: pertubative vs. non-perturbative origin
- Relevant for cosmic neutrinos [not well constrained by lack of inputs]
- D⁰ can also be collected with a transversely polarised target
 [Never measured]

 Comparison to the trip along worsel trip and the second se
- Gives access to the tri-gluon correlation and the gluon Sivers effect [related to \mathcal{L}_g]
- Differences in $A_N^{D^0}$ and $A_N^{\bar{D}^0}$ gives acces to *C*-odd correlators [No other facility can measured this]
- · Precision at the per cent level



イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

AFTER@LHCb

- This huge data sample over a wide kinematical coverage gives a unique handle on the charm content in the proton at high *x*
- Longstanding debate in the QCD community: pertubative vs. non-perturbative origin
- Relevant for cosmic neutrinos [not well constrained by lack of inputs]
- D⁰ can also be collected with a transversely polarised target [Never measured]
- Gives access to the tri-gluon correlation and the gluon Sivers effect [related to \mathcal{L}_g]
- Differences in $A_N^{D^0}$ and $A_N^{\bar{D}^0}$ gives acces to *C*-odd correlators [No other facility can measured this]
- · Precision at the per cent level



As for *AA* collisions, nuclear modification factors vs p_T , y, centrality as well as azimuthal anisotropies (v_2) can be of course measured [no time to cover them]

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 17 / 2

• Design LHC lead-beam energy: 2.76 TeV per nucleon

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

- Design LHC lead-beam energy: 2.76 TeV per nucleon
- In the fixed target mode, PbA collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \simeq 72 \text{ GeV}$

• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

- Design LHC lead-beam energy: 2.76 TeV per nucleon
- In the fixed target mode, PbA collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \simeq 72 \text{ GeV}$
- Half way **between** BNL-RHIC (AuAu, CuCu @ 200 GeV) and CERN-SPS (PbPb @ 17.2 GeV)

- Design LHC lead-beam energy: 2.76 TeV per nucleon
- In the fixed target mode, PbA collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \simeq 72 \text{ GeV}$
- Half way between BNL-RHIC (AuAu, CuCu @ 200 GeV) and CERN-SPS (PbPb @ 17.2 GeV)
- Example of motivations:



- Design LHC lead-beam energy: 2.76 TeV per nucleon
- In the fixed target mode, PbA collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \simeq 72 \text{ GeV}$
- Half way **between** BNL-RHIC (AuAu, CuCu @ 200 GeV) and CERN-SPS (PbPb @ 17.2 GeV)
- Example of motivations:



- Design LHC lead-beam energy: 2.76 TeV per nucleon
- In the fixed target mode, PbA collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \simeq 72 \text{ GeV}$
- Half way **between** BNL-RHIC (AuAu, CuCu @ 200 GeV) and CERN-SPS (PbPb @ 17.2 GeV)
- Example of motivations: quarkonium sequential melting



J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

- Design LHC lead-beam energy: 2.76 TeV per nucleon
- In the fixed target mode, PbA collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \simeq 72 \text{ GeV}$
- Half way **between** BNL-RHIC (AuAu, CuCu @ 200 GeV) and CERN-SPS (PbPb @ 17.2 GeV)
- Example of motivations: quarkonium sequential melting
- Enough stat with 1-10 nb⁻¹ to perform the same study as CMS

at low energy



Our aim is to measure a complete set of heavy-flavours to use them as tools [gluon luminometers (TMDs, PDFs, nPDFs), QGP effects]

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

Our aim is to measure a complete set of heavy-flavours to use them as tools [gluon luminometers (TMDs, PDFs, nPDFs), QGP effects]

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

Our aim is to measure a complete set of heavy-flavours to use them as tools [gluon luminometers (TMDs, PDFs, nPDFs), QGP effects]

• Wide rapidity coverage; P_T up 15 GeV, down to 0 GeV

[Rapidity coverage important to pin down nuclear effects]

Our aim is to measure a complete set of heavy-flavours to use them as tools [gluon luminometers (TMDs, PDFs, nPDFs), QGP effects]

- · Wide rapidity coverage; P_T up 15 GeV, down to 0 GeV
 - [Rapidity coverage important to pin down nuclear effects]
- · Typically 10⁹ charmonia, 10⁶ bottomonia per year

Our aim is to measure a complete set of heavy-flavours to use them as tools [gluon luminometers (TMDs, PDFs, nPDFs), QGP effects]

- Wide rapidity coverage; P_T up 15 GeV, down to 0 GeV
 - [Rapidity coverage important to pin down nuclear effects]
- · Typically 10⁹ charmonia, 10⁶ bottomonia per year
- · Unique opportunity to access *C*-even quarkonia ($\chi_{c,b}$, η_c) + associated production

• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

Our aim is to measure a complete set of heavy-flavours to use them as tools [gluon luminometers (TMDs, PDFs, nPDFs), QGP effects]

- Wide rapidity coverage; P_T up 15 GeV, down to 0 GeV
 - [Rapidity coverage important to pin down nuclear effects]
- Typically 10⁹ charmonia, 10⁶ bottomonia per year
- · Unique opportunity to access *C*-even quarkonia ($\chi_{c,b}$, η_c) + associated production
- Full background simulations show very good prospects in all systems

[worst scenario (PbA) shown below]



Our aim is to measure a complete set of heavy-flavours to use them as tools [gluon luminometers (TMDs, PDFs, nPDFs), QGP effects]

- · Wide rapidity coverage; P_T up 15 GeV, down to 0 GeV
 - [Rapidity coverage important to pin down nuclear effects]
- Typically 10⁹ charmonia, 10⁶ bottomonia per year
- · Unique opportunity to access *C*-even quarkonia $(\chi_{c,b}, \eta_c)$ + associated production
- · Full background simulations show very good prospects in all systems

[worst scenario (PbA) shown below]

In PbA collisions, one can repeat the celebrated $\Upsilon(nS)$ CMS analysis in a new energy domain



J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 20 / 25

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

• A_N for all quarkonia $(J/\psi, \psi', \chi_c, \Upsilon(nS), \chi_b \& \eta_c)$ can be measured [So far, only J/ψ by PHENIX with large uncertainties]

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

• A_N for all quarkonia $(J/\psi, \psi', \chi_c, \Upsilon(nS), \chi_b \& \eta_c)$ can be measured [So far, only J/ψ by PHENIX with large uncertainties]



Image: Image:

• A_N for all quarkonia $(J/\psi, \psi', \chi_c, \Upsilon(nS), \chi_b \otimes \eta_c)$ can be measured

[So far, only J/ψ by PHENIX with large uncertainties]

Also access on polarised neutron $({}^{3}\text{He}^{\dagger})$ at the per cent level !



 A_N for all quarkonia $(J/\psi, \psi', \chi_c, \Upsilon(nS), \chi_b \& \eta_c)$ can be measured

[So far, only J/ψ by PHENIX with large uncertainties]

Also access on polarised neutron $({}^{3}\text{He}^{\dagger})$ at the per cent level !



Completely new perspectives to study the gluon Sivers effect

• A_N for all quarkonia $(J/\psi, \psi', \chi_c, \Upsilon(nS), \chi_b \otimes \eta_c)$ can be measured

[So far, only J/ψ by PHENIX with large uncertainties]

Also access on polarised neutron $({}^{3}\text{He}^{\dagger})$ at the per cent level !



· Completely new perspectives to study the gluon Sivers effect

- [and beyond $\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_g$]
- Di- J/ψ allow one to study the k_T dependence of the gluon Sivers function for the very first time !



AFTER@LHCb

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 21 / 25

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ

- Large-*x* gluon nPDF: unknown
- Gluon EMC effect: unknown



Image: Image:

- Large-*x* gluon nPDF: unknown
- Gluon EMC effect: unknown
- Hint from Y data at RHIC



- Large-*x* gluon nPDF: unknown
- Gluon EMC effect: unknown
- Hint from Y data at RHIC
- Strongly limited in terms of statistics after 10 years of RHIC :



- Large-*x* gluon nPDF: unknown
- Gluon EMC effect: unknown
- Hint from Y data at RHIC
- Strongly limited in terms of statistics after 10 years of RHIC :
- Quest for the gluon antishadowing with J/ψ





AFTER@LHCb

- Large-*x* gluon nPDF: unknown
- Gluon EMC effect: unknown
- Hint from Y data at RHIC
- Strongly limited in terms of statistics after 10 years of RHIC :
- Quest for the gluon antishadowing with J/ψ
- Quest for the gluon EMC effect for bottom(onium)



EMC gluon:

1.6

0.4 D=7 GeV

0.01

Q 1.2 X 02 2 0.8

PHENIX

□ STAR

quark-like strong

EPS09 LO fit range

0.1

-1

<u>م</u>

0.5

- Large-*x* gluon nPDF: unknown
- Gluon EMC effect: unknown
- Hint from Y data at RHIC
- Strongly limited in terms of statistics after 10 years of RHIC :
- Quest for the gluon antishadowing with J/ψ
- Quest for the gluon EMC effect for bottom(onium)
- One could access η_c production in *pA* collisions for the first time



- Large-*x* gluon nPDF: unknown
- Gluon EMC effect: unknown
- Hint from Y data at RHIC
- Strongly limited in terms of statistics after 10 years of RHIC :
- Quest for the gluon antishadowing with J/ψ
- Quest for the gluon EMC effect for bottom(onium)



• High stat. \rightarrow quarkonium polarisation in *pA* and *AA* collisions

 $[\rightarrow production/suppression mechanisms]$



UPC in the fixed target mode and J/ψ production

JPL, L. Massacrier, L. Szymanowski, J. Wagner

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ
JPL, L. Massacrier, L. Szymanowski, J. Wagner

- $\gamma_{\text{lab}}^{p \text{ beam}} \simeq 7450 \ (E_p = 7000 \text{ GeV})$
- $\gamma_{lab}^{Pb beam} \simeq 2940 \ (E_{Pb} = 2760 \text{ GeV})$
- $E_{\gamma}^{\text{max}} \simeq \gamma_{\text{lab}}^{\text{beam}} \times 30 \text{ MeV} (1/(R_{\text{Pb}} + R_p) \simeq 30 \text{ MeV})$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

JPL, L. Massacrier, L. Szymanowski, J. Wagner

•
$$\gamma_{\text{lab}}^{p \text{ beam}} \simeq 7450 \ (E_p = 7000 \text{ GeV})$$

- $\gamma_{lab}^{Pb \ beam} \simeq 2940 \ (E_{Pb} = 2760 \ GeV)$
- $E_{\gamma}^{\text{max}} \simeq \gamma_{\text{lab}}^{\text{beam}} \times 30 \text{ MeV} (1/(R_{\text{Pb}} + R_p) \simeq 30 \text{ MeV})$
- $\sqrt{s_{\gamma p}} = \sqrt{2m_p E_{\gamma}}$ up to 20 GeV

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

JPL, L. Massacrier, L. Szymanowski, J. Wagner

•
$$\gamma_{lab}^{p \text{ beam}} \simeq 7450 \ (E_p = 7000 \text{ GeV})$$

• $\gamma_{lab}^{Pb \text{ beam}} \simeq 2940 \ (E_{Pb} = 2760 \text{ GeV})$
• $E_y^{Pab} \simeq 2940 \ (E_{Pb} = 2760 \text{ GeV})$
• $\sqrt{s_{\gamma p}} = \sqrt{2m_p E_\gamma} \text{ up to } 20 \text{ GeV}$
• $\mathcal{L}_{PbH^{\dagger}} \simeq 0.1 \text{ pb}^{-1}; \ \mathcal{L}_{pH^{\dagger}} \simeq 10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
• $A_N^{\gamma p^{\dagger} \rightarrow J/\psi p} \propto \sqrt{t_0 - t} Im(\mathcal{E}_g^* \mathcal{H}_g) \rightarrow \text{ access to the GPD } E_g \text{ and the gluon OAM}$

. 1

æ

JPL, L. Massacrier, L. Szymanowski, J. Wagner

•
$$\gamma_{lab}^{p \text{ beam}} \simeq 7450 \ (E_p = 7000 \text{ GeV})$$

• $\gamma_{lab}^{Pb \text{ beam}} \simeq 2940 \ (E_{Pb} = 2760 \text{ GeV})$
• $E_{\gamma}^{max} \simeq \gamma_{lab}^{beam} \times 30 \text{ MeV} (1/(R_{Pb} + R_p) \simeq 30 \text{ MeV})$
• $\sqrt{s_{\gamma p}} = \sqrt{2m_p E_{\gamma}} \text{ up to 20 GeV}$
• $\mathcal{L}_{PbH^{\dagger}} \simeq 0.1 \text{ pb}^{-1}; \mathcal{L}_{pH^{\dagger}} \simeq 10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
• $A_N^{\gamma p^{\dagger} \rightarrow J/\psi p} \propto \sqrt{t_0 - t} Im(\mathcal{E}_g^* \mathcal{H}_g) \rightarrow \text{ access to the GPD } E_g \text{ and the gluon OAM}$
• In the LHCb acceptance (muon cuts):

• $\sigma[\operatorname{Pbp} \xrightarrow{1-\gamma} (\operatorname{Pb}) J/\psi(p) \times \operatorname{Br}(J/\psi \to \mu\mu)]$ via 1-photon exchanges : 16nb

• $\sigma[pp \xrightarrow{1-\gamma} (p) J/\psi(p) \times Br(J/\psi \to \mu\mu)]$ via 1-photon exchanges : 34pb

. 1

JPL, L. Massacrier, L. Szymanowski, J. Wagner

•
$$\gamma_{lab}^{p \text{ beam}} \simeq 7450 \ (E_p = 7000 \text{ GeV})$$

• $\gamma_{lab}^{p \text{ beam}} \simeq 2940 \ (E_{Pb} = 2760 \text{ GeV})$
• $E_{\gamma}^{max} \simeq \gamma_{lab}^{beam} \times 30 \text{ MeV} (1/(R_{Pb} + R_p) \simeq 30 \text{ MeV})$
• $\sqrt{s_{\gamma p}} = \sqrt{2m_p E_{\gamma}} \text{ up to 20 GeV}$
• $\mathcal{L}_{PbH^{\dagger}} \simeq 0.1 \text{ pb}^{-1}; \mathcal{L}_{pH^{\dagger}} \simeq 10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
• $A_N^{\gamma p^{\dagger} \rightarrow J/\psi p} \propto \sqrt{t_0 - t} Im(\mathcal{E}_g^* \mathcal{H}_g) \rightarrow \text{ access to the GPD } E_g \text{ and the gluon OAM}$
• In the LHCb acceptance (muon cuts):

- $\sigma[\operatorname{Pbp} \xrightarrow{1-\gamma} (\operatorname{Pb}) J/\psi(p) \times \operatorname{Br}(J/\psi \to \mu\mu)]$ via 1-photon exchanges : 16nb
- $\sigma[pp \xrightarrow{1-\gamma} (p) J/\psi(p) \times Br(J/\psi \to \mu\mu)]$ via 1-photon exchanges : 34pb
- 1600 dimuon events with the Pb beam [which we know for sure to be the y emitter]
- 340 000 dimuon events with the *p* beam [each *p* can emit; possible \mathbb{OP} contributions]

Part IV

Conclusion and outlooks

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 23 / 25

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

• THREE MAIN THEMES PUSH FOR A FIXED-TARGET PROGRAM AT THE LHC [without interfering with the other experiments]

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

THREE MAIN THEMES PUSH FOR A FIXED-TARGET PROGRAM AT THE LHC
 [without interfering with the other experiments]
 The high *x* frontier: new probes of the confinement

and connections with astroparticles

- THREE MAIN THEMES PUSH FOR A FIXED-TARGET PROGRAM AT THE LHC [without interfering with the other experiments]
 - The high *x* frontier: new probes of the confinement

and connections with astroparticles

• The nucleon spin and the transverse dynamics of the partons

- THREE MAIN THEMES PUSH FOR A FIXED-TARGET PROGRAM AT THE LHC [without interfering with the other experiments]
 - The high *x* frontier: new probes of the confinement

and connections with astroparticles

- The nucleon spin and the transverse dynamics of the partons
- The approach to the deconfinement phase transition:

new energy, new rapidity domain and new probes

- THREE MAIN THEMES PUSH FOR A FIXED-TARGET PROGRAM AT THE LHC [without interfering with the other experiments]
 - The high *x* frontier: new probes of the confinement

and connections with astroparticles

- The nucleon spin and the transverse dynamics of the partons
- The approach to the deconfinement phase transition:

new energy, new rapidity domain and new probes

• 2 ways towards fixed-target collisions with the LHC beams

- THREE MAIN THEMES PUSH FOR A FIXED-TARGET PROGRAM AT THE LHC [without interfering with the other experiments]
 - The high *x* frontier: new probes of the confinement

and connections with astroparticles

- The nucleon spin and the transverse dynamics of the partons
- The approach to the deconfinement phase transition:

new energy, new rapidity domain and new probes

- 2 ways towards fixed-target collisions with the LHC beams
 - A slow extraction with a bent crystal
 - An internal gas target inspired from SMOG@LHCb/Hermes/, ...

- THREE MAIN THEMES PUSH FOR A FIXED-TARGET PROGRAM AT THE LHC [without interfering with the other experiments]
 - The high *x* frontier: new probes of the confinement

and connections with astroparticles

- The nucleon spin and the transverse dynamics of the partons
- The approach to the deconfinement phase transition:

new energy, new rapidity domain and new probes

- 2 ways towards fixed-target collisions with the LHC beams
 - A slow extraction with a bent crystal
 - An internal gas target inspired from SMOG@LHCb/Hermes/, ...
- Webpage: http://after.in2p3.fr

[Slightly anticipating C. Vallée's talk]

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 25 / 25

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

[Slightly anticipating C. Vallée's talk]

• For the Update of the Strategy for Particle Physics, CERN has triggered the creation of a working group for the "Physics Beyond Colliders" whose mandate is to

Explore the opportunities offered by the CERN accelerator complex to address some of today's outstanding questions in particle physics through experiments complementary to high-energy colliders and other initiatives in the world.

[Slightly anticipating C. Vallée's talk]

• For the Update of the Strategy for Particle Physics, CERN has triggered the creation of a working group for the "Physics Beyond Colliders" whose mandate is to

Explore the opportunities offered by the CERN accelerator complex to address some of today's outstanding questions in particle physics through experiments complementary to high-energy colliders and other initiatives in the world.

• The kick-off workshop took place last September at CERN

• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

[Slightly anticipating C. Vallée's talk]

• For the Update of the Strategy for Particle Physics, CERN has triggered the creation of a working group for the "Physics Beyond Colliders" whose mandate is to

Explore the opportunities offered by the CERN accelerator complex to address some of today's outstanding questions in particle physics through experiments complementary to high-energy colliders and other initiatives in the world.

- The kick-off workshop took place last September at CERN
- AFTER@LHC was one of the proposed ideas (one talk)

[Slightly anticipating C. Vallée's talk]

• For the Update of the Strategy for Particle Physics, CERN has triggered the creation of a working group for the "Physics Beyond Colliders" whose mandate is to

Explore the opportunities offered by the CERN accelerator complex to address some of today's outstanding questions in particle physics through experiments complementary to high-energy colliders and other initiatives in the world.

- The kick-off workshop took place last September at CERN
- AFTER@LHC was one of the proposed ideas (one talk)
- Very recent outcome: creation of 2 WGs:
 - Accelerator [to study possible implementation of the projects at CERN]
 - Physics [to study the physics case [..] and optimize detectors including siting options.]

• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

[Slightly anticipating C. Vallée's talk]

• For the Update of the Strategy for Particle Physics, CERN has triggered the creation of a working group for the "Physics Beyond Colliders" whose mandate is to

Explore the opportunities offered by the CERN accelerator complex to address some of today's outstanding questions in particle physics through experiments complementary to high-energy colliders and other initiatives in the world.

- The kick-off workshop took place last September at CERN
- AFTER@LHC was one of the proposed ideas (one talk)
- Very recent outcome: creation of 2 WGs:
 - Accelerator [to study possible implementation of the projects at CERN]
 - Physics [to study the physics case [..] and optimize detectors including siting options.]
- Creation of 5 Accelerator sub-WGs: Beam Dump Facility, EDM ring, Conventional beams, LHC Fixed Target, Technology

• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

[Slightly anticipating C. Vallée's talk]

• For the Update of the Strategy for Particle Physics, CERN has triggered the creation of a working group for the "Physics Beyond Colliders" whose mandate is to

Explore the opportunities offered by the CERN accelerator complex to address some of today's outstanding questions in particle physics through experiments complementary to high-energy colliders and other initiatives in the world.

- The kick-off workshop took place last September at CERN
- AFTER@LHC was one of the proposed ideas (one talk)
- Very recent outcome: creation of 2 WGs:
 - Accelerator [to study possible implementation of the projects at CERN]
 - Physics [to study the physics case [..] and optimize detectors including siting options.]
- Creation of 5 Accelerator sub-WGs: Beam Dump Facility, EDM ring, Conventional beams, LHC Fixed Target, Technology
- Thus, one uniquely devoted to LHC Fixed-Target whose goal is a *CDR putting together UA9, LHC Collimation, AFTER...*

[Slightly anticipating C. Vallée's talk]

• For the Update of the Strategy for Particle Physics, CERN has triggered the creation of a working group for the "Physics Beyond Colliders" whose mandate is to

Explore the opportunities offered by the CERN accelerator complex to address some of today's outstanding questions in particle physics through experiments complementary to high-energy colliders and other initiatives in the world.

- The kick-off workshop took place last September at CERN
- AFTER@LHC was one of the proposed ideas (one talk)
- Very recent outcome: creation of 2 WGs:
 - Accelerator [to study possible implementation of the projects at CERN]
 - Physics [to study the physics case [..] and optimize detectors including siting options.]
- Creation of 5 Accelerator sub-WGs: Beam Dump Facility, EDM ring, Conventional beams, LHC Fixed Target, Technology
- Thus, one uniquely devoted to LHC Fixed-Target whose goal is a CDR putting together UA9, LHC Collimation, AFTER...
- The physics of AFTER@LHC is also included in the physics sub-WG for QCD

[the other is for BSM)]

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト

[Slightly anticipating C. Vallée's talk]

• For the Update of the Strategy for Particle Physics, CERN has triggered the creation of a working group for the "Physics Beyond Colliders" whose mandate is to

Explore the opportunities offered by the CERN accelerator complex to address some of today's outstanding questions in particle physics through experiments complementary to high-energy colliders and other initiatives in the world.

- The kick-off workshop took place last September at CERN
- AFTER@LHC was one of the proposed ideas (one talk)
- Very recent outcome: creation of 2 WGs:
 - Accelerator [to study possible implementation of the projects at CERN]
 - Physics [to study the physics case [..] and optimize detectors including siting options.]
- Creation of 5 Accelerator sub-WGs: Beam Dump Facility, EDM ring, Conventional beams, LHC Fixed Target, Technology
- Thus, one uniquely devoted to LHC Fixed-Target whose goal is a CDR putting together UA9, LHC Collimation, AFTER...
- The physics of AFTER@LHC is also included in the physics sub-WG for QCD

[the other is for BSM)]

Ideal framework to work together towards the best option for AFTER@LHC(b)

[Slightly anticipating C. Vallée's talk]

• For the Update of the Strategy for Particle Physics, CERN has triggered the creation of a working group for the "Physics Beyond Colliders" whose mandate is to

Explore the opportunities offered by the CERN accelerator complex to address some of today's outstanding questions in particle physics through experiments complementary to high-energy colliders and other initiatives in the world.

- The kick-off workshop took place last September at CERN
- AFTER@LHC was one of the proposed ideas (one talk)
- Very recent outcome: creation of 2 WGs:
 - Accelerator [to study possible implementation of the projects at CERN]
 - Physics [to study the physics case [..] and optimize detectors including siting options.]
- Creation of 5 Accelerator sub-WGs: Beam Dump Facility, EDM ring, Conventional beams, LHC Fixed Target, Technology
- Thus, one uniquely devoted to LHC Fixed-Target whose goal is a CDR putting together UA9, LHC Collimation, AFTER...
- The physics of AFTER@LHC is also included in the physics sub-WG for QCD

[the other is for BSM)]

- Ideal framework to work together towards the best option for AFTER@LHC(b)
- In parallel, we pursuit our effort to finalise the Expression of Interest

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 25 / 25

Part V

Backup slides

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 26 / 25

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Heavy-Ion Physics

- Gluon shadowing effects on J/ψ and Y production in p+Pb collisions at √s_{NN} = 115 GeV and Pb+p collisions at √s_{NN} = 72 GeV at AFTER@LHC by R. Vogt. Adv.Hi.En.Phys. (2015) 492302.
- Prospects for open heavy flavor measurements in heavy-ion and p+A collisions in a fixed-target experiment at the LHC by D. Kikola. Adv.Hi.En.Phys. (2015) 783134
- Quarkonium suppression from coherent energy loss in fixed-target experiments using LHC beams by F. Arleo, S.Peigne. [arXiv:1504.07428 [hep-ph]]. Adv.Hi.En.Phys. (2015) 961951
- Anti-shadowing Effect on Charmonium Production at a Fixed-target Experiment Using LHC Beams by K. Zhou, Z. Chen, P. Zhuang. Adv.High Energy Phys. 2015 (2015) 439689
- Lepton-pair production in ultraperipheral collisions at AFTER@LHC By J.P. Lansberg, L. Szymanowski, J. Wagner. JHEP 1509 (2015) 087
- Quarkonium Physics at a Fixed-Target Experiment using the LHC Beams. By J.P. Lansberg, S.J. Brodsky, F. Fleuret, C. Hadjidakis. [arXiv:1204.5793 [hep-ph]]. Few Body Syst. 53 (2012) 11.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Spin physics

- Transverse single-spin asymmetries in proton-proton collisions at the AFTER@LHC experiment by K. Kanazawa, Y. Koike, A. Metz, and D. Pitonyak. [arXiv:1502.04021 [hep-ph]. Adv.Hi.En.Phys. (2015) 257934.
- Transverse single-spin asymmetries in proton-proton collisions at the AFTER@LHC experiment in a TMD factorisation scheme by M. Anselmino, U. D'Alesio, and S. Melis. [arXiv:1504.03791 [hep-ph]]. Adv.Hi.En.Phys. (2015) 475040.
- The gluon Sivers distribution: status and future prospects by D. Boer, C. Lorcé, C. Pisano, and J. Zhou. [arXiv:1504.04332 [hep-ph]]. Adv.Hi.En.Phys. (2015) 371396
- Azimuthal asymmetries in lepton-pair production at a fixed-target experiment using the LHC beams (AFTER) By T. Liu, B.Q. Ma. Eur.Phys.J. C72 (2012) 2037.
- Polarized gluon studies with charmonium and bottomonium at LHCb and AFTER By D. Boer, C. Pisano. Phys.Rev. D86 (2012) 094007.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Hadron structure

- Double-quarkonium production at a fixed-target experiment at the LHC (AFTER@LHC). by J.P. Lansberg, H.S. Shao. [arXiv:1504.06531 [hep-ph]]. Nucl.Phys. B900 (2015) 273-294
- Next-To-Leading Order Differential Cross-Sections for Jpsi, psi(2S) and Upsilon Production in Proton-Proton Collisions at a Fixed-Target Experiment using the LHC Beams (AFTER@LHC) by Y. Feng, and J.X. Wang. Adv.Hi.En.Phys. (2015) 726393.
- η_c production in photon-induced interactions at a fixed target experiment at LHC as a probe of the odderon
 By V.P. Goncalves, W.K. Sauter. arXiv:1503.05112 [hep-ph].Phys.Rev. D91 (2015) 9, 094014.
- A review of the intrinsic heavy quark content of the nucleon by S. J. Brodsky, A. Kusina, F. Lyonnet, I. Schienbein, H. Spiesberger, and R. Vogt. Adv.Hi.En.Phys. (2015) 231547.
- Hadronic production of Ξ_{cc} at a fixed-target experiment at the LHC By G. Chen et al.. Phys.Rev. D89 (2014) 074020.

Feasibility study and technical ideas

- Feasibility studies for quarkonium production at a fixed-target experiment using the LHC proton and lead beams (AFTER@LHC) by L. Massacrier, B. Trzeciak, F. Fleuret, C. Hadjidakis, D. Kikola, J.P.Lansberg, and H.S. Shao arXiv:1504.05145 [hep-ex]. Adv.Hi.En.Phys. (2015) 986348
- A Gas Target Internal to the LHC for the Study of pp Single-Spin Asymmetries and Heavy Ion Collisions by C. Barschel, P. Lenisa, A. Nass, and E. Steffens. Adv.Hi.En.Phys. (2015) 463141
- Quarkonium production and proposal of the new experiments on fixed target at LHC by N.S. Topilskaya, and A.B. Kurepin. Adv.Hi.En.Phys. (2015) 760840

Fast simulation using LHCb reconstruction parameters

Projection for a LHCb-like detector

L. Massacrier, B. Trzeciak, et al., Adv.Hi.En.Phys. (2015) 986348

- Simulations with Pythia 8.185
- the LHCb detector is NOT simulated but LHCb reconstruction parameters are introduced in the fast simulation (resolution, analysis cuts, efficiencies,...)
- Requirements:
 - Momentum resolution : $\Delta p/p = 0.5\%$
 - Muon identification efficiency: 98%
- Cuts at the single muon level
 - $2 < \eta_{\mu} < 5$
 - $p_{T\mu} > 0.7 \text{ GeV}$
- Muon misidentification:
 - If π and *K* decay before the calorimeters (12m), they are rejected by the tracking
 - otherwise a misidentification probability is applied following: F. Achilli et al, arXiv:1306.0249

J.P. Lansberg (IPNO, Paris-Sud U.)

AFTER@LHCb

January 9, 2017 31 / 25