Institutional Repositories - Policy and Risk Management

OAI5, Geneva, 19th April 2007

Bill Hubbard
SHERPA Manager
University of Nottingham



or, how to run a repository and keep your balance

Outline

∆ Presentation

- Why Policies? Why Risks?
- Basic issues
- Risk Management

△ Group work

- Examine basic issues
- Apply risk analysis ideas to some real-life areas



Who we are . . .

- △ Repository administrators
- △ Academics or depositors
- △ Service Providers
- ∆ Publishers
- ∆ Funders
- △ Librarians/information professionals



Why policies? Why take risks?

- ∆ What policies and formal processes and work-flows do we need to define?
- △ Why do we need these defined?
- △ What risks are involved?
- ∆ It's a complex and often unexplored environment, with few established working practices. It pays to think things through - but not at the expense of inertia! Risk analysis can help to reassure you when moving forward . . .

SHER

Have to create policies and processes to:

- ∆ Establish repository
- A Integrate it into institutional information strategy and environment
- △ Present clear vision to stakeholders
- ∆ Satisfy academic departmental requirements
- ∆ Engage academics
- △ Populate it
- ∆ Keep legal

Have to create policies and processes to:

- △ Maintain internal relations with senior management, academics, management information teams
- △ Manage work-flow
- △ Manage work-load
- △ Manage preservation and long-term use
- △ Allow managed development for the future more content, more types of content, more interlinkling, more use, more re-use
 SHER

Have to create policies and processes to:

- △ Ensure internal support and status of work is sufficient for stability and staffing
- ∆ Be seen to be successful
- △ Avoid upsetting any stakeholders or balance the annoyance!
- ∆ Manage the risks
- ∆ Keep your balance, health and sanity!
- △ . . . Quite a task!



How do we do it?

- ∆ This is a new area new choices, new consequences
- ∆ No-one has been this way before, so we need to help each other
- ∆ Share best practice
- △ Help others the better each repository works, the better yours will be used



First steps

- ∆ Think through basic issues
- △ Decide on purposes and priorities
- △ Analyse risks and responses possible consequences of choices and actions
- A Present purposes and priorities, choices and consequences to management board for approval
- ∆ Use your approved analysis to decide choices of action

SHER

 ∆ This does not remove risks or take away difficult decisions, but allows you to proceed with clarity and confidence

Risk Management

- △ Assess risks openly
- △ Decide on Risk Tolerance
 - compare to benefits, institutional exposure etc
- ∆ Establish Risk Responsibilities
 - ensure management accepts risk
 - establish a Risk Owner for each identified Risk
- △ Follow Risk Management Process

adapted from

C. Bently, Prince2 A Practical Handbook



Risk Management Process

- ∆ Identification
- ∆ Evaluation
 - probability of occurrence high/medium/low
 - impact if it occurs high/medium/low
- ∆ Responses
- ∆ Selection of response
 - cost of response vs cost of risk occurring
- △ Plan and resource
- △ Monitor and report



Evaluation criteria

- ∆ Time
- △ Quality of work
- △ Quality in OA vision
- ∆ Benefit

△ People & Perspectives

- Library staff
- Funders
- Academics
- Publishers
- Senior Management
- Repository administrators
- Service Providers



Risk Responses

∆ Prevention

do it differently or take counter-measures

∆ Reduction

reduce chance of occurrence or limit impact

∆ Transference

pass risk to third-party - ie by contract or insurance

∆ Acceptance

eg tolerate chance of occurrence or accept low impacts

△ Contingency

action plan for use if needed adapted from



C. Bently, Prince2 A Practical Handbook

Into practice . . .



Thinking through basic issues

- ∆ What is the purpose of the repository?
- ∆ Who does the repository serve?
- ∆ What is relationship of academics to central administration?
- ∆ To what extent are repository managers leading, driving or following? What should we be doing? What will we be supported in doing?
- △ Where is the support and where is the money?
- ∆ What current polices do you have and how do they play out?

 SHERF

Some test issues and processes

- ∆ Ingest processes
- △ Copyright
- ∆ Preservation
- ∆ Repository policies
- ∆ Repository content



Ingest Processes

- △ Academic deposit
- ∆ Mediated deposit
- △ Paid-for 3rd party deposit
- △ In-house, mixed economy



Copyright and deposit

- △ Publishers CTAs
- △ RoMEO
 - RoMEO Colours
 - developing API
- △ Anomalies, ambiguities and contradictions
- △ Colleagues experiences
- ∆ What are we risking?
- △ Why are we risking anything?
 - Can the risk be transferred?



Preservation

- △ What preservation policies are needed?
- △ What rights do we need for repository management?
- △ What risks do we run?
- △ What impact does these risks have?



Repository Policies

△ Collection Policies

- metadata
- author's final version
- past employees

∆ Metadata

– commercial re-use?

∆ Full-text

- what rights are there for re-use?
- commercial re-use?



Repository content

- △ Preprints
- △ Postprints
- ∆ Datasets
- ∆ Learning objects
- ∆ Videos
- △ Sound files

- ∆ Theses
- ∆ Dissertations
- △ Royalty publications
- ∆ Conference papers
- ∆ Technical reports
- ∆ Grey literature



Finally . . .



Some responses from SHERPA

- △ OpenDOAR
 - www.opendoar.org tools
- △ RoMEO
 - www.sherpa.ac.uk/romeo
- **△** JULIET
 - www.sherpa.ac.uk/juliet
- △ UKCoRR
 - www. watch this space . . .
- ∆ Leading RSP
- △ Involved with DRIVER



Share . . .

- △ Share best practice
- ∆ Share mistakes too!
- ∆ Share problems you are not the only one!
- △ Open Access is a truly collaborative environment take advantage of this to make your life easier, less risky - and calmer!









www.sherpa.ac.uk

bill.hubbard@nottingham.ac.uk



SHERPA Partners

- University of Nottingham
- University of Birmingham
- University of Bristol
- University of Cambridge
- University of Durham
- University of Edinburgh
- University of Glasgow
- London LEAP Consortium
- University of Newcastle
- University of Oxford
- White Rose Partnership
- The British Library
- Arts & Humanities Data Service

London LEAP Consortium

- Birkbeck College
- Goldsmiths College
- Imperial College
- Institute of Cancer Research
- Kings College
- London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE)
- Royal Holloway
- Queen Mary

- School of Oriental and African Studies (SOAS)
- School of Pharmacy (SoP)
- University College, London (UCL)

White Rose Partnership

- University of Leeds
- University of Sheffield
- University of York





