

[mm]

Federico Alessio, CERN on behalf of the LHCb Collaboration



Current LHCb detector

LHCb proved itself to be the Forward General-Purpose Detector at the LHC:

- forward arm spectrometer with unique coverage in pseudorapidity (2 < η < 5, 4% of solid angle)
- catching 40% of heavy quark production cross-section
- precision measurements in beauty and charm sectors
 - Δp / p = 0.4% at 5 GeV/c to 0.6% at 100 GeV/c
 - ✓ impact parameter resolution 20 µm for high-pT tracks
 - ✓ decay time resolution 45 fs for $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ and $B_s \rightarrow D_s \pi$











Phase-I Upgrade of LHCb

The amount of data and the physics yield from data recorded by the current LHCb experiment is limited by its detector.

While LHC accelerator will keep steadily increasing ...

- energy / beam $(3.5 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 6.5 \text{ TeV} \rightarrow 7 \text{ TeV})$
- luminosity (peak $8 \times 10^{33} \rightarrow 2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \rightarrow \text{HL-LHC}$)

... but LHCb will stay limited in terms of

- data bandwidth: limited to 1.1 MHz / 40 MHz max
- physics yields for hadronic channels at the hardware trigger
- detectors degradation at higher luminosities



Factor ~40 between LHCb and ATLAS/CMS instantaneous luminosity!

Current limitations

First-level hardware trigger is limited at higher luminosities for hadronic channels:

- almost a factor 2 between di-muon events and fully hadronic decays
- due to trigger criteria based on $p_{\rm T}$ and $E_{\rm T}$ to reduce trigger rate to the bandwidth limited to 1.1 MHz





At higher luminosities \rightarrow harsher cuts on p_T and E_T

- waste luminosity while not retaining amount of data
- increases complexity of track reconstruction
 - higher computational times in processing farm
- ageing and fast degradation of sub-detectors
 - \circ designed to operate 5 yr at 2x10³² cm⁻²s⁻¹
 - currently reaching 5 years at >3x10³² cm⁻²s⁻¹ and still two years to go...



Physics motivations

Beyond Flavour Physics:

from exploration studies \rightarrow to precision studies

- $BR(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ down to ~10% of SM
- CKM γ angle to <1°
- $2\beta_s$ to precision <20% of SM value
- charm CPV search below 10⁻⁴

but also beyond heavy flavour physics:

- search for lepton-flavour violating tau decays
- low mass Majorana neutrinos
- electroweak physics
- long-lived new particles
- QCD



CDF/D0

0° 103

Fixed Target

LHC 14 TeV Kinematics



Expect to collect a total of ~8 fb⁻¹ of data up to 2018 and 50 fb⁻¹ of data after 2018 \rightarrow moving towards theory precision measurements!

Type	Observable	Current	LHCb	Upgrade	Theory
		precision \star	2018 *	$(50{\rm fb}^{-1})$	uncertainty
B_s^0 mixing	$2\beta_s \ (B^0_s \to J/\psi \ \phi)$	0.10 [9]	0.025	0.008	~ 0.003
	$2\beta_s \ (B^0_s \to J/\psi \ f_0(980))$	0.17 [10]	0.045	0.014	~ 0.01
	$A_{ m fs}(B^0_s)$	$6.4 \times 10^{-3} \ [18]$	$0.6 imes 10^{-3}$	$0.2 imes 10^{-3}$	$0.03 imes 10^{-3}$
Gluonic	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to \phi\phi)$	_	0.17	0.03	0.02
$\operatorname{penguin}$	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to K^{*0}\bar{K}^{*0})$	_	0.13	0.02	< 0.02
	$2\beta^{\text{eff}}(B^0 \to \phi K^0_S)$	0.17 [18]	0.30	0.05	0.02
Right-handed	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to \phi\gamma)$	_	0.09	0.02	< 0.01
currents	$ au^{\mathrm{eff}}(B^0_s \to \phi \gamma) / \tau_{B^0_s}$	_	5~%	1%	0.2%
Electroweak	$S_3(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6 \text{GeV}^2/c^4)$	0.08 [14]	0.025	0.008	0.02
$\operatorname{penguin}$	$s_0 A_{\rm FB}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-)$	25% [14]	6~%	2%	7~%
	$A_{\rm I}(K\mu^+\mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6 {\rm GeV^2/c^4})$	0.25 [15]	0.08	0.025	~ 0.02
	$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)$	25% [16]	8%	2.5%	$\sim 10\%$
Higgs	$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s o \mu^+\mu^-)$	$1.5 \times 10^{-9} [2]$	$0.5 imes 10^{-9}$	0.15×10^{-9}	0.3×10^{-9}
$\operatorname{penguin}$	$\mathcal{B}(B^0 ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^0_s ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$	_	$\sim 100\%$	$\sim 35\%$	$\sim 5\%$
Unitarity	$\gamma \ (B \to D^{(*)} K^{(*)})$	$\sim 10 12^{\circ} [19, 20]$	4°	0.9°	negligible
$\operatorname{triangle}$	$\gamma \ (B_s^0 \to D_s K)$	_	11°	2.0°	negligible
angles	$\beta \ (B^0 \to J/\psi \ K_S^0)$	0.8° [18]	0.6°	0.2°	negligible
Charm	A_{Γ}	2.3×10^{-3} [18]	0.40×10^{-3}	$0.07 imes 10^{-3}$	—
CP violation	ΔA_{CP}	2.1×10^{-3} [5]	$0.65 imes 10^{-3}$	0.12×10^{-3}	—

Outdated estimations, already doing better (γ at ~7° already...)

• For more up-to-date results, see F. Muheim presentation @ ALPS2017

LHCb physics reach with a Phase-I upgrade

$\sigma(\text{BR}(\text{B}_{\text{d}} \rightarrow \mu \mu) / \text{BR}(\text{B}_{\text{s}} \rightarrow \mu \mu))$









Run 3

Run 4

Run 2

Run 1

Run 5



Phase-I upgrade strategy

Straightforward idea: remove the first-level hardware trigger





Implications of upgrade strategy

Removal of first-level hardware trigger implies

- read out every LHC bunch crossing
 - trigger-less Front-End electronics
 - multi-Tb/s readout network
- fully software flexible trigger
 - full event information available to improve trigger decision
 - maximize signal efficiencies at high events rate
- → higher luminosities: redesign (incompatible) sub-detectors for a peak luminosity of 2x10³³ cm⁻²s⁻¹ (x5-x10 more than today)
- more data by increasing bandwidth: redesign readout architecture to record 40 MHz events







New LHCb Vertex Detector

- Pixel Silicon detector modules cooled down with fluid (bi-phase CO_2) which passes under the chips in etched microchannels ($\Delta T = 4-7$ °C between fluid and sensor)
- Getting closer to beam to improve IP resolution!





New Upstream Tracking Stations

R&D upstream:

- Replace current TT with UT (Upstream Tracker), also based on Si-strips
 - reduced thickness
 - o finer granularity
 - improved coverage (innermost cut-out at 34 mm)
 - much less material budget (<5% X₀)







New UT + New VELO



500

96.7

 $\delta p/p = 0.3$

7.2

16.6

0.39

60



New LHCb Sci-Fi detector

Build a completely new detector based on Scintillating Thin Fibers

- Blue-emitting multi clad fibers, laid down as a mat
- 2.5m long, 250 um diameter (2.8 ns decay time)
- 12 layers of modules in different layout (x-u-v-x)
- read out with SiPM (at -40C): new trigger-less FE







 $Gap = 250 \mu r$



Upgraded Particle ID

Present Ring-Imaging Cherenkov (RICH) detector will be upgraded:

• Current RICH1 (aerogel C_4F_{10}) + RICH2 (CF₄) to maintain excellent Particle ID!

Main changes:

Exchange Hybrid-PhotoDetectors (HPD) with Multi-AnodePMTs

- Hamamatsu R11265 with 80% active area
- + new Front-End electronics at 40 MHz







LHCb Phase-II upgrade

Just recently submitted an EoI to install an upgraded LHCb detector that can operate up to a peak instantaneous luminosity of 2x10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹

- between x50-x100 more than today and x10 more than Phase-I upgrade
- to be ready for LHC Run V and to fully exploit HL-LHC

Improve even more the Phase-I LHCb precision:

- Comprehensive measurement programme of observables in a wide range of b->s I⁺I⁻ and b-> d I⁺I⁻ employing both muon and electron modes
- Measurement of the CP-violation phases γ and ϕ_s with a precision of 0.4° and 3 mrad





LHCb Phase-II upgrade For an exhaustive list see

Improve even more the Phase-I LHCb precision:

- Measurement of B (B⁰ -> $\mu^+\mu^-$) / B (B_s $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$) with 20% uncertainty
- CP-violation studies in charm with 10⁻⁵ precision •



CERN-LHCC-2017-003





Conclusion

LHCb is currently taking data successfully and efficiently

• Well-earned title as Forward General Purpose Detector at the LHC

Two upgrade plans are set out to increase the amount of data and physics yields

- Phase-I upgrade aim at collecting 10x more data with 20x more hadronic events
- Phase-II upgrade aim at collecting 100x more data with particular emphasis in muon channels, time resolution and efficient/challenging pileup discrimination

Both upgrades are technologically challenging

• But LHCb can shine light in many areas in flavour physics to extreme and world-leading precision

We have exciting times ahead in LHCb!

Thank you for your attention!



Backup



Outline

- Brief Introduction to LHCb
- Motivations for upgrading the LHCb detector
 - o Timeline plans
- Phase-I Upgrade:
 - o Physics prospects
 - Strategy and detector changes
 - o Detector changes
- Phase-II Upgrade:
 - Physics prospects
 - Strategy and detector changes
- Conclusions



Is it feasible?

YES! We already tried in 2012: took some data at 10³³ (5x designed values)



ALPS2017, 17-21 April 2017, Austria



Current LHCb Vertex Detector

Current Vertex Detector (VELO) is at the heart of LHCb tracking, triggering and vertexing

- Excellent performance, reliable, cluster efficiency >99.5%, best hit resolution down to <4µm
- Movable device! ~50mm to ~5mm close to LHC beams when in collisions (autonomously...)





New LHCb Vertex Detector

Future VELO must maintain same performance, but in harsher conditions

- Low material budget, cope with > radiation damage, deal with > multiplicities
- Trigger-less readout ASICs and provide fast and efficient reconstruction at HW level
- → Recent technology reviews favored the choice of a

Si-pixel detector with microchannel cooling





Current LHCb Tracking system

Present Tracking System will be upgraded:

• VELO + TT (Si-strip) + DIPOLE (no change) + IT (2% inner area, Si) / OT (Straw Tubes)



Sidenote: R&D in increasing Dipole field (x1.8 Bdl)

Current pattern-recognition based on current tracking system would not be efficient in upgraded scenario

- Too high occupancy in central region
- R&D for different solutions
 - ➔ for downstream and upstream tracking





R&D donwstream:

- Various options still on the table
 - \circ $\,$ all aimed at reducing the occupancy in the inner region







Baseline option!

Enlarged, thinner and lighter IT

- → Based on Si-strip
- → New OT straw tubes in central region

Replace central region with Central Tracker (Sci-Fi detector)

→ Based on Scintillating fibers and SiliconPM





Upgraded Calorimeters

HCAL

Present Calorimeters detectors will be kept:

- ECAL (Shashlik 25 X₀ Pb + scintillator)
- HCAL (TileCal Fe + scintillator)
- → PreShower / ScintillatingPadDetector (PS/SPD) will be removed

Main changes:

PMT gain will be reduced by a factor 5

• to reduce ageing due to higher luminosities

Front-End electronics will be redeveloped

- to be compatible with the reduced gain (R&D)
- to be compatible with trigger-less readout







Upgraded Muon Detectors

Present Muon detector will be kept:

- 4 layers (M2-M5) of Multi-Wire Proportional Chambers (MWPC)
- → first layer of Muon Detector (M1 used in first-level trigger, with GEMs) will be removed

Main changes:

Front-End electronics will be redeveloped

to be compatible with trigger-less readout

R&D:

Replace inner part of M2 (closest to IP) with GEMs detectors

• to have higher-granularity







Upgraded Readout Architecture

Reminder: remove the first-level hardware trigger

→ accept all LHC bunch crossing: trigger-less Front-End electronics!





Trigger-less Front-Ends



- 1. Need to compress (zero-suppress) data already at the FE to reduce data throughput
 - reduce # of links from ~80000 to ~12500 (20 MCHF to 3.1 MCHF)
- 2. Use separate link bandwidth efficiently for data
 - Pack data across data link continuously with elastic buffer before link
- 3. Compact links merging Timing, Fast (TFC) and Slow Control (ECS).
 - Extensive usage of the CERN GBT development
- → Support data driven readout (asynchronous) + big latencies!





Future LHC DAQs in numbers

	Event-size [kB]	Rate [kHz]	Bandwidth [Gb/s]	Year [CE]
ALICE	20000	50	8000	2019
ATLAS	4000	200	6400	2022
CMS	2000	200	3200	2022
LHCb	100	40000	32000	2019

Courtesy N. Neufeld

- Exploit the economies of scale → try to do what everybody does but smarter!
- Some overlapping trends across experiments, at least conceptually
 - o custom-made Readout Boards with fast optical links and big&powerful FPGAs
 - ✓ ideally with fast interface to PCs (PCIe Gen3 or future...)
 - ✓ ideally with some co-processing (GPUs...)
 - o commercial network technologies following market trends in terms of BW & costs
 - ✓ distributed vs data-center-like network. Ethernet vs InfiniBand.



New LHCb DAQ

Currently two options under R&D:

- Very compact, high density, FPGAs-based ATCA card with >0.5 Tb/s throughput onboard (distributed approach)
 - Profit from interconnectivity on backplane
 - o High link density on board
 - Technologically-dependent (Ethernet)
 - Custom-made
- PCIe Gen3 NIC cards, with FPGAs and ~150 Mb/s throughput to host PC (data-center approach)
 - o Technologically-independent
 - host PC acts as FARM PC already: open choice for interface technology as late as possible
 - Commercially available
 - Put everything in a box, keep distances short, reduce costs for interconnects and network switches



OR





	Observed	Character	LUCI	TT	(T)	
Type	Observable	Current	LHCb	Upgrade $(50 \text{ g} - 1)$	Theory	
		precision	2018	(50 fb 1)	uncertainty	
D0 D0						
	$\mathcal{D}(D^+ \to \pi^+\mu^+\mu^-)/\mathcal{D}(D^+ \to \Lambda^+\mu^+\mu^-)$	$23\ 70\ [10]$	0 70	$2.3~7_{0}$	\sim 10 $_{ m 00}$	
Higgs	$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	1.5×10^{-9} [2]	0.5×10^{-9}	$0.15 imes 10^{-9}$	0.3×10^{-9}	
penguin	$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	_	$\sim 100\%$	$\sim 35\%$	$\sim 5\%$	
Unitarity	$\gamma \ (B \to D^{(*)} K^{(*)})$	$\sim 10 12^{\circ} [19, 20]$	4°	0.9°	negligible	
triangle	$\gamma (B_s^0 \to D_s K)$	_	11°	2.0°	negligible	
angles	$\beta \ (B^0 \to J/\psi \ K_S^0)$	0.8° [18]	0.6°	0.2°	negligible	
Charm	A_{Γ}	2.3×10^{-3} [18]	0.40×10^{-3}	0.07×10^{-3}	_	
CP violation	$\Delta A_{C\!P}$	$2.1 \times 10^{-3} [5]$	$0.65 imes 10^{-3}$	$0.12 imes 10^{-3}$	_	



-1							
L	Type	Observable	Current	LHCb	Upgrade	Theory	
			precision	2018	$(50{\rm fb}^{-1})$	uncertainty	
Τ	B_s^0 mixing	$2\beta_s \ (B^0_s \to J/\psi \ \phi)$	0.10 [9]	0.025	0.008	~ 0.003	
L		$2\beta_s \ (B^0_s \to J/\psi \ f_0(980))$	0.17 [10]	0.045	0.014	~ 0.01	
		$A_{ m fs}(B^0_s)$	$6.4 \times 10^{-3} \ [18]$	$0.6 imes 10^{-3}$	0.2×10^{-3}	0.03×10^{-3}	
4	Gluonic	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 o \phi\phi)$		0.17	0.03	0.02	
	Primary goal of LHCb is to probe NP in B, mixing						
	\rightarrow B \rightarrow 1/we dominated by b \rightarrow c char s tree diagram and sensitive to the weak						
	$r D_s \sim 0.000$ where $Q = arr(1/1)/(1/100)$						
	pnase $\beta_s = arg(-v_{ts}v_{tb}^{-}/v_{cs}v_{cb}^{-})$						
	If no anomalous effect is seen in this channel, then it is necessary to control						
	experimental systematics from an experiment point of view						
	NUCh we are do will address such such as at at						
	→ LHCD upgrade will address such systematics						
Ľ	Unitarity	$\gamma \ (B \to D^{(*)} K^{(*)})$	$\sim 10 12^{\circ} [19, 20]$	4°	0.9°	negligible	
1	$\operatorname{triangle}$	$\gamma \ (B_s^0 \to D_s K)$	_	11°	2.0°	negligible	
	angles	$\beta \ (B^0 \to J/\psi \ K_S^0)$	0.8° [18]	0.6°	0.2°	negligible	
	Charm	A_{Γ}	2.3×10^{-3} [18]	0.40×10^{-3}	0.07×10^{-3}	_	
	CP violation	ΔA_{CP}	2.1×10^{-3} [5]	0.65×10^{-3}	0.12×10^{-3}	—	



-Г							
	Type	Observable	Current	m LHCb	Upgrade	Theory	
1			precision	2018	$(50{\rm fb}^{-1})$	uncertainty	
1	B_s^0 mixing	$2\beta_s \ (B^0_s \to J/\psi \ \phi)$	0.10 [9]	0.025	0.008	~ 0.003	
1		$2\beta_s \ (B^0_s \to J/\psi \ f_0(980))$	0.17 [10]	0.045	0.014	~ 0.01	
		$A_{\rm fr}(B^0)$	6.4×10^{-3} [18]	0.6×10^{-3}	0.2×10^{-3}	0.03×10^{-3}	
1	Gluonic	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to \phi\phi)$	_	0.17	0.03	0.02	
1	penguin	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to K^{*0}\bar{K}^{*0})$	_	0.13	0.02	< 0.02	
		$2\beta^{\text{eff}}(B^0 \to \phi K^0_S)$	0.17 [18]	0.30	0.05	0.02	
$Right-handed \qquad 2\beta^{eff}(B^0 \rightarrow \phi_N) \qquad - \qquad 0.09 \qquad 0.02 \qquad < 0.01$							
	Charmless hadronic B decays highly sensitive to NP → Rare decay topologies such as penguin diagrams						
	→ Big experimental challenge to control SM uncertainties to the necessary						
	precision						
I	penguin	$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	- [2]	$\sim 100 \%$	$\sim 35 \%$	$\sim 5\%$	
	Unitarity	$\gamma \ (B \to D^{(*)} K^{(*)})$	$\sim 10 - 12^{\circ} [19, 20]$	4°	0.9°	negligible	
	${ m triangle}$	$\gamma \ (B_s^0 \to D_s K)$	_	11°	2.0°	negligible	
	angles	$\beta \ (B^0 \to J/\psi \ K^0_S)$	0.8° [18]	0.6°	0.2°	negligible	
1	Charm	A_{Γ}	2.3×10^{-3} [18]	0.40×10^{-3}	0.07×10^{-3}	_	
	CP violation	ΔA_{CP}	2.1×10^{-3} [5]	0.65×10^{-3}	0.12×10^{-3}	_	
- 1							



Type	Observable	Current	m LHCb	Upgrade	Theory	
		precision	2018	$(50{\rm fb}^{-1})$	uncertainty	
B_s^0 mixing	$2\beta_s \ (B^0_s \to J/\psi \ \phi)$	0.10 [9]	0.025	0.008	~ 0.003	
	$2\beta_{\rm s} \ (B^0_{\rm s} \rightarrow J/\psi \ f_0(980))$	0.17 [10]	0.045	0.014	~ 0.01	
 Only the LHCb upgrade will provide the huge statistics needed to reach the precision that is necessary to remove the SM uncertainty in NP searches. → γ measurement is ideally suited for LHCb as it's largely based on analyses that do not require flavour-tagging that exploit LHCb's unique capability to trigger on fully hadronic decay modes. → With 50 fb⁻¹, γ will be determined to better than 1° precision 						
	$\frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{\circ} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-})/\mathcal{B}(B^{\circ}_{e} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-})}{\mathcal{D}(B^{\circ}_{e} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-})}$	-	$\sim 100 \%$	$\sim 35 \%$	$\sim 5\%$	
Unitarity	$\gamma (B \to D^{(\prime)} \Lambda^{(\prime)})$	$\sim 10-12^{-1}$ [19, 20]	4-	0.9	negligible	
triangle	$\gamma (B_s^\circ \to D_s K)$	-	11~	2.0°	negligible	
angles	$\beta \ (B^{\circ} \to J/\psi \ K^{\circ}_S)$	0.8° [18]	0.6°	0.2°	negligible	
Charm	A_{Γ}	2.3×10^{-3} [18]	0.40×10^{-3}	0.07×10^{-3}	-	
<u>CP</u> violation	ΔA_{CP}	$2.1 \times 10^{-3} [5]$	0.65×10^{-3}	0.12×10^{-3}	_	