

# Plan and status of the review on the past experience with Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn dipoles

**D. Schoerling** 

7<sup>th</sup> of November 2016, Annual EuroCirCol meeting

# Mandate

- Within the FCC technology companion programme at CERN a review is requested
- First paragraph from the recommendations of the EuroCirCol review:

"There has been an impressive amount of design work carried out over a relatively short period of time. The Committee did note the **lack of reference** to previous efforts and identification of new ideas versus what might be considered extensions of earlier work. We encourage continued integration and collaboration with other high field magnet programs. Doing so would add considerable value to the program and accelerate progress toward the Collaboration's goal."

- Report on recommended follow-up R&D: Gap analysis
- The review report shall be a useful tool to understand how to best design high-field dipole magnets



# Scope of reviews under preparation

- A first report shall describe objectively all SMC and RMC magnets, which have been so far built and tested. The description shall be performed in great detail including the design, the production process and the test results to fully document the performed work and make it better accessible. Currently, Rafal Ortwein is reviewing the work done in 927 and Hugo Bajas/Jose Vicente Lorenzo Gomez the powering test results. This work could be published as CERN Yellow Report.
- A second review report shall treat all lines of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn dipole magnets for High-Energy Colliders, which have been developed at BNL, CERN, LNBL, Fermilab and Twente in the past and review the technology development dedicated to high-field superconducting magnets. This work shall conclude with the formulation of the main open R&D topics to go beyond the current state-of-the-art. Sasha Zlobin and Daniel Schoerling intend to set-up a structure and form a team for the review before the end of this year. This review could be published as a book.



# SMC/RMC production and test report

- This report summarizes in great detail (~1000 pages) the design, manufacturing and test results of the SMC/RMC program (SMC #1, #2, #3a, #3b, #4, #5, 11T #1, #2, #3, #4, #4 C201, #4 C202 (without test); RMC Fresca 101, 201, 102, 202, QXF 201, QXF 202 (without test)
- It is the first time that all available information and the large amount of test data is coherently and comprehensively analysed and presented.
- The report does not intend to provide an analysis, what the best practice is to build a Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn superconducting dipole. However, it provides a comprehensive overview of the technologies developed within the frame of the SMC/RMC program!

### Schedule

- Copyediting1 (DS): Ready until end of February 2016 -> Authors should submit first version before the end of the year.
- Copyediting2 (DS): Ready until end of March 2017
- Copyediting3 (UK): Ready until May 2017
- Expert review of final draft: Ready July 2017 for next EuroCirCol review
- Proofreading (UK): August 2017
- Publication: August/September 2017



### SMC/RMC table of contents

#### Contents

		<ol> <li>Introduction to SMC &amp; RMC review</li> </ol>	12
		1. SMC EM and Structural design	12
		1.1. Electromagnetic (EM) design	14
		1.1.1. EM design parameters	14
		1.1.2. Magnetic optimization procedure	14
		1.1.3. Magnetic optimization results	17
<i>–</i>	-	1.1.4. Final choice of coil parameters	24
Ē	n	1.1.5. SMC coil configurations	25
)esigi		1.1.6. Conclusions	
		1.2. Mechanical design	27
		1.2.1. Mechanical design parameters	
		1.2.2. Mechanical computations procedure	29
		1.2.3. 2D model in Ansys™	
		1.2.4. 3D models in Ansys <sup>TM</sup> and CAST3M	
		1.2.5. Sensitivity analysis	
		1.2.6. Loads sequence	
		1.2.7. Conclusions	
		<ol><li>SMC components and assembly procedures</li></ol>	44
		2. Sive components and assembly procedures	
		2.1. The shell	
			44
~		2.1. The shell	44 45
ð		2.1. The shell	44 45 46
മ് ഗ		2.1. The shell	44 45 46 47
NIS &	ly	2.1. The shell	44 45 46 47 48
ents &	ldly	2.1. The shell	44 45 46 47 48 49
nents &	mbly	2.1. The shell.         2.2. The yoke         2.3. X-pads         2.4. Y-pads         2.5. Coil pack assembly         2.6. Supports	
Dhents &	embly	2.1. The shell.         2.2. The yoke         2.3. X-pads         2.4. Y-pads         2.5. Coil pack assembly         2.6. Supports         2.7. Keys	
DONENTS &	sembly	2.1. The shell.         2.2. The yoke         2.3. X-pads         2.4. Y-pads         2.5. Coil pack assembly         2.6. Supports         2.7. Keys         2.8. Bladders	
nponents &	ssembly	2.1. The shell.         2.2. The yoke         2.3. X-pads         2.4. Y-pads         2.5. Coil pack assembly         2.6. Supports         2.7. Keys         2.8. Bladders         2.8.1. Bladders test device (CEA Saclay)	
imponents &	Assembly	2.1. The shell.         2.2. The yoke         2.3. X-pads         2.4. Y-pads         2.5. Coil pack assembly         2.6. Supports         2.7. Keys         2.8. Bladders         2.8.1. Bladders test device (CEA Saclay)         2.8.2. Bladders - series 1	
	S	2.1. The shell.         2.2. The yoke         2.3. X-pads         2.4. Y-pads         2.5. Coil pack assembly         2.6. Supports         2.7. Keys         2.8. Bladders         2.8.1. Bladders test device (CEA Saclay)         2.8.2. Bladders - series 1         2.8.3. Bladders - series 2	
Components &	S	2.1. The shell         2.2. The yoke         2.3. X-pads         2.4. Y-pads         2.5. Coil pack assembly         2.6. Supports         2.7. Keys         2.8. Bladders         2.8.1. Bladders test device (CEA Saclay)         2.8.2. Bladders - series 1         2.8.3. Bladders - series 2         2.8.4. Bladders test device (CERN)	
Components &	S	2.1. The shell.         2.2. The yoke         2.3. X-pads         2.4. Y-pads         2.5. Coil pack assembly         2.6. Supports         2.7. Keys         2.8. Bladders         2.8.1. Bladders test device (CEA Saclay)         2.8.2. Bladders - series 1         2.8.3. Bladders - series 2         2.8.4. Bladders test device (CERN)         2.8.5. Bladders test sets - CERN	
Components &	S	2.1. The shell.         2.2. The yoke         2.3. X-pads         2.4. Y-pads         2.5. Coil pack assembly         2.6. Supports         2.7. Keys         2.8. Bladders         2.8.1. Bladders test device (CEA Saclay)         2.8.2. Bladders - series 1         2.8.3. Bladders - series 2         2.8.4. Bladders test device (CERN)         2.8.5. Bladders test - Series 2         2.8.6. Bladders - new type (2016)	
Components &	S	2.1. The shell.         2.2. The yoke         2.3. X-pads.         2.4. Y-pads.         2.5. Coil pack assembly         2.6. Supports         2.7. Keys         2.8. Bladders         2.8.1. Bladders test device (CEA Saclay)         2.8.2. Bladders - series 1         2.8.3. Bladders - series 2         2.8.4. Bladders test device (CERN)         2.8.5. Bladders test of CERN         2.8.6. Bladders - new type (2016)         2.8.7. Bladders in the SMC	44 45 46 47 48 49 51 53 53 53 53 54 54 61 62 63 65 65

		2.10.1. Assembly with 1 Double Pancake	
		2.10.2. Coil pack assembly with 2 Double Pancakes	
	<b>C</b> <sup>3</sup>	<ol> <li>Splicing, magnet and coils instrumentation</li> </ol>	
	ō	3.1. SMC Coils	
Š	atio	3.2. Shell instrumentation	
$\sim$	σ	3.2.1. Derivation of the radius of curvature of the deformed shell	80
σ	Ę	3.3. Coil instrumentation	8б
	5	3.3.1. Splicing	
Splicino	nstrument	3.3.2. Mechanical measurements (Strain gauges)	109
		3.3.3. Magnetic measurements (Hall probe)	
0	5	3.3.4. Coil protection (Spot heaters)	
0)	Ħ	3.3.5. Optical fibres (R&D)	131
	S	3.3.6. Electrical connections (Traces)	132
	.⊆	3.3.7. Quench detection (voltage taps)	139
	4	. SMC #1	
	7	4.1. Trial winding with a cooper cable	
>	<		151
>#		4.1. Trial winding with a cooper cable	
\$	ŧ	<ul><li>4.1. Trial winding with a cooper cable</li><li>4.2. Strand and cable parameters</li></ul>	
\$	ŧ	<ul><li>4.1. Trial winding with a cooper cable</li><li>4.2. Strand and cable parameters</li></ul>	
\$	ŧ	<ul> <li>4.1. Trial winding with a cooper cable</li></ul>	
\$	ŧ	<ul> <li>4.1. Trial winding with a cooper cable</li></ul>	151 157 158 166 173 186
\$	ŧ	<ul> <li>4.1. Trial winding with a cooper cable</li></ul>	151 157 158 166 173 186 194
\$	ŧ	<ul> <li>4.1. Trial winding with a cooper cable</li></ul>	151 157 158 166 173 186 194 197
\$	ŧ	<ul> <li>4.1. Trial winding with a cooper cable</li></ul>	151 157 158 166 173 186 194 197 197
\$	ŧ	<ul> <li>4.1. Trial winding with a cooper cable</li></ul>	151 157 158 166 173 186 194 197 197 220
	ŧ	<ul> <li>4.1. Trial winding with a cooper cable</li></ul>	151 157 158 166 173 186 194 197 197 220 223
\$	ŧ	<ul> <li>4.1. Trial winding with a cooper cable</li></ul>	151 157 158 166 173 186 194 197 197 220 223 226

### Powering test results will be added in the relevant section



# SMC/RMC test results, list of figures

#### Main parameters of magnet

- Inductance measurement
- RRR measurement
- AC loss measurements (integrated power over full cycle vs ramp rate and maximum current)

### Training

- $I_q$  vs. number of quench
- $I_q^{\dagger}$  vs temperature (1.9 K and 4.2 K)
- I<sub>q</sub> vs. strain/transverse peak stress over different SMC coils (few data)
- $I_q$  vs ramp rate dl/dt

### Quench studies

- Histogram of quench location (head/straight/mid-plane)
- MIITS vs.  $I_q$
- *T vs.* MIITs [*T* calculated from (1) MIITs (adiabatic), (2) Cu resistivity through voltage measurement (non-adiabatic), and (3) energy dissipation in the magnet integrating the product  $V_r \times I$  (adiabatic)]
- $R_{mag}$  after quench vs. time (with and without quench heaters)
- $E_{diss}$  (dissipated in He) and  $E_{extr}$  (in dump resistor) and  $E_{stored}$  vs  $P_q$  (with and without natural quench)
- Transversal & longitudinal quench velocity  $v_q$  vs.  $J_{Non-Cu}$
- Time constant  $t = L/(R_{dump} + R_{mag}) = -I(t)/(dI/dt)$  vs t
- (Detection time Trigger time) vš ///c for different RMCs (quench heater efficiency)
- (Quench start time Time when threshold is reached) vs. I/Ic

### Instabilities

- Histogram of the number of flux jumps vs. RRR (different plots for RRP and PIT)
- Histogram of the amplitude of flux jumps vs l/lc
- Bar chart with number of precursors vs. number of quench



### Review of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn accelerator magnet design and technologies

#### Editors: Schoerling, Zlobin

#### 1.Introduction

- 1. Historical overview (1960s-1980s)
- 2.Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn strand and cable progress (Intro, PIT & RRP)
- 2.Review of Nb3Sn accelerator magnet R&D programs
  - 1.Early demonstrators
    - 1. MSUT
    - 2. D20
  - 2.Nb3Sn magnet designs and technologies
    - 1. Block-type dipole
      - 1.LBNL HD + SM
      - 2.TAMU
      - 3.CERN FRESCA2 + SMC/RMC
    - 2. Common coil dipole
      - 1.LBNL RD
        - 2.BNL
        - 3.FNAL HFDC + HFDB
    - 3. Cos-theta dipole
      - 1.FNAL HFDA + mirrors HFDM
      - 2.FNAL/CERN MBH + mirrors + SMC/RMC

#### 3.Summary – editors

- 1.Strand and cable, insulation
- 2. Coil design, materials, technologies (winding, splicing, grading,
- insulation, impregnation, heat treatment)
- 3.Mechanical structures, materials
- 4.Performance
- 5. Potential for cost optimization
- 6.Open issues

#### 4.References

Total: 68-89p + Photos + refs



- Project goal, timeline, approach
- Magnet design choice
- Conductor choice and parameters
- Magnetic design and analysis
- Mechanical structure and analysis
- Quench protection approach
- Magnet technology details, new features, materials, technology development and results
- Magnet performance (quench performance, field quality, magnet protection parameters, etc.)
- Summary, achievements, lessons learned and open issues



## **Conclusion & Schedule**

- The information of SMC/RMC is gathered, but at the moment only partially well dressed and editing is still required to make the report consistent and non-redundant.
- The SMC/RMC production and test report will be extremely detailed and comprehensive (~1000 pages).
- The review on the past dipole development lines shall be written by the leading experts and review the development of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn dipole magnets and the main relevant technology developments
- The review shall conclude with the formulation of the main open R&D topics to go beyond the current state-of-the-art
- This review will be very useful for our work towards demonstrators and shall be the base for identifying the key R&D topics (for example insulation, impregnation, etc.)







