

16T Cosθ Dipole Mechanical Analysis

BARBARA CAIFFI

on behalf of INFN team:

G. Bellomo, P. Fabbricatore, S.Farinon, V. Marinozzi, M. Sorbi and G. Volpini

Overview

- Principal stresses and failure criteria
- 2D calculation: plain strain vs plain stress
- 2D mechanical optimization
- Cos θ 2D models
- Conclusions

Principal Stresses



- The principal stress $\sigma 1$, $\sigma 2$ and $\sigma 3$, defined for each single element, are those in which the stress-strain tensor is diagonal $(\sigma 3 < \sigma 2 < \sigma 1)$.
- $\sigma_3 \sim \sigma_x$ in block coil geometry
- $\sigma 3 \sim \sigma \theta$ in $\cos \theta$ geometry
- Von Mises stress is defined as:

$$\sigma_{v} = \sqrt{\frac{(\sigma_{1} - \sigma_{2})^{2} + (\sigma_{2} - \sigma_{3})^{2} + (\sigma_{3} - \sigma_{1})^{2}}{2}}$$

Principal Stresses



- Principal stresses σ_1 , σ_3 are shown together with σ_r , $\sigma_\theta \sigma_{VM}$ for comparison.
- In $\cos\theta$ design, the symmetry is almost azimuthal: $\sigma 3 \approx \sigma \theta$. $\sigma \theta$ can be compared with σy of block coil configuration.
- σ_r is negligible.
- σ_{VM} is a sort of average between σ_1 and $\sigma_{3.}$







2D Mechanical Optimization

Cables undergo degradation if:

- stress on conductors >150 MPa @room temperature;
- stress on conductors > 200 MPa @cold;
- stress on conductors > 150 MPa @cold after energization in the high field region \rightarrow attention must be given in particular to first layers.
- Tensile stress on the iron yoke at 4 K < 200 MPa. ۲

Contact pressure Ti pole-conductors > 2 MPa after energization at 16T.



NOV 1 PLOT		Nº turns	σ _θ _ _{layer} (MPa)	σ _{θ-} ^{winding} (MPa)
	layer 1	13	86	121
	layer 2	20	123	
	layer 3	29	126	
	layer 4	39	148	

Critical Current Measurements of High-*J_c* Nb₃Sn Rutherford Cables under Transverse Compression

B. Bordini, P. Alknes, A. Ballarino, L. Bottura, L. Oberli



Fig. 6. Upper critical field at 4.2 K estimated from the critical current measurements under transversal pressure



Material Properties

	E modulus [GPa] (T=4K)	E modulus [GPa] (T=300K)	α (K-1)	V _{xy}
Conductor	E _x =33 E _y =27.5	E _x =30 E _y =25	α_x =3.08E-3/296 α_y =3.36E-3/296	0.3
Steel	210	191	2.8e-3/296	0.28
Iron	224	204	2.0e-3/296	0.28
Aluminum	79 +10%	72	4.2e-3/296	0.3
Copper	110	100	3.4e-3/296	0.3
Resin	27.5	25	2.5e-3/296	0.2
Titanium	126.5	115	1.7e-3/296	0.3

2D Mechanical Model

• Configurations studied:



- Vertical cut in iron yoke and steel pad
- Bladder&key
- C-clamp



- Horizontal cut in iron yoke and steel pad
- Bladder&key



- Vertical cut in the steel pad
- Horizontal cut in the iron yoke
- Bladder&key

• There is not a best mechanical solution, each E.M. design must be optimized with ad hoc configurations!

2D Mechanical Model

• New E.M. design and new material properties (EM modulus for conductors, etc.) requires new mechanical solutions and optimizations.



- Aluminum alloy shell;
- Iron yoke cut at 45°;
- Rectangular steel pad cut vertically;
- Bladder&key, used to give pre-stress, 4 keys place horizontally and 4 keys placed vertically

2D Ansys Model



- <u>Bladder & key configuration</u> Keys length= 20 mm, x_{key1}=10 mm, y_{key1}=10 mm, interference key1= 0.1 mm, interference key2= 0.5 mm.
- Rectangular steel pad, cut vertically, 20-60 mm thick.
- Circular iron yoke, cut at 45°, 133-175 mm thick.
- Rectangular shaped steel pad and horizontal and vertical keys allow to decouple x and y component of pre-stress.

Contact Pressure and Azimuthal Stress















Von Mises Stress in Titanium Poles (color scale fixed at yield limit in Pa)

Assembly

Cool Down

Energization



 σ_{VM}^{Peak} =850 MPa σ_{VM}^{av} =84 MPa

 $\sigma_{VM} \approx 1120 \text{ MPa}$ $\sigma_{VM} \approx 124 \text{ MPa}$ $\sigma_{_{VM}}$ ^{peak}=255 MPa $\sigma_{_{VM}}$ ^{av}=50 MPa



11/8/2016

Conclusions

- The mechanics of the 16T cosθ dipole has been investigated, giving particular importance to the fulfillment of the following requirements: stress on conductors (<150 MPa @room temperature, < 200 MPa @cold, <150 MPa after energization in the high field zone), contact pressure Ti pole-conductors > 2 MPa after energization.
- Taking into account that:
 - recommended material properties list was finalized during last EuroCircol collaboration meeting;
 - a new E.M. for cos-theta model was considered after US-EuroCirCol meeting;
 - a completely new mechanical model was developed, in order to fulfil these new conditions.
- The new configuration has:
 - rectangular steel collar, cut vertically;
 - circular your yoke, cut at 45°;
 - 4 key placed horizontally and 4 key placed vertically, in order to give x and y pre-stress separately
- ANSYS calculations show that the new configuration:
 - fulfills stress limits on the conductor;
 - has good contact pressure at the pole for all the layers;
 - almost fulfills stress limit on stress on structure materials .

Back-up slides

Plain Strain vs Plain Stress



Plane strain is a stress state that varies only in the X and Y directions. The strain in the Z direction is always zero, as are the XZ and YZ shear strains, since the boundaries are fixed.



Plane stress is a stress state that varies only in the X and Y directions. The stress in the Z direction is always zero, as are the XZ and YZ shear stresses. Plane stress is generally used on flat, thin structures, where the deformation is assumed to be solely in the plane of the structure.

<u>**Plain stress**</u> approximation is less conservative but more compatible with 3D simulation results.