The Zee model: connecting neutrino masses to Higgs lepton flavor violation

Juan Herrero García

University of Adelaide, CoEPP

Reencontres de Blois

Blois, 31st of May 2017

Outline

1. Brief introduction to neutrino mass models
2. The Zee model and its connection to HLFV
3. Results from a parameter scan
4. Summary and conclusions

Brief introduction to neutrino masses

- There is only one dimension 5 EFT operator, with $\Delta L = 2$.
- It generates Majorana neutrino masses (α, β flavour indices):

$$\mathcal{O}_5 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{c_{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda}(\overline{L_\alpha}\tilde{\Phi})\,(\Phi^\dagger \tilde{L}_\beta) + \mathrm{H.c.} \quad \longrightarrow \quad m_\nu = c\,\frac{v^2}{\Lambda}\,.$$

- Left) a $Y = 0$ heavy fermion singlet (triplet), type I (III) seesaw.
- Right) a $Y = 1$ heavy scalar triplet, type II seesaw.





1. Positive points: SO(10) embedding, Leptogenesis.
2. Drawbacks: typically difficult to test, problem of hierarchies.

Radiative models: an example, the Zee model

[Zee, Cheng, Babu, Wolfenstein, Petcov, Kanemura, Farzan, Cai, Aristizabal, He, JHG...]

- Main idea: ν are massless at tree level, m_ν generated radiatively.
- Review to appear soon by Cai, Schmidt, Vicente, Volkas and JHG.
- An example is the Zee model, which adds to the SM content an extra Higgs doublet Φ_2 and a new singly-charged SU(2) singlet h^+:

$$\mathcal{L}_Y \subset -\overline{L}\,(Y_1^\dagger \Phi_1 + Y_2^\dagger \Phi_2) e_{\mathrm{R}} - \overline{\tilde{L}} f\, L h^+ + \mu \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} H_1^\alpha H_2^\beta h^- + \mathrm{H.c.}$$

- $\Delta L = 2$ by the presence of Y_1, Y_2, f, and μ. At one loop:



The Zee model and its connection to HLFV

The scalar sector

- Most general potential in the Higgs basis ($\langle H_1^0 \rangle = v/\sqrt{2}$, $\langle H_2^0 \rangle = 0$):

$$
\begin{aligned}
V = {} & \mu_1^2 H_1^\dagger H_1 + \mu_2^2 H_2^\dagger H_2 - \left(\mu_3^2 H_2^\dagger H_1 + \mathrm{H.c.} \right) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 \left(H_1^\dagger H_1 \right)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2 \left(H_2^\dagger H_2 \right)^2 + \lambda_3 \left(H_1^\dagger H_1 \right) \left(H_2^\dagger H_2 \right) + \lambda_4 \left(H_1^\dagger H_2 \right) \left(H_2^\dagger H_1 \right) \\
& + \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\lambda_5 \left(H_1^\dagger H_2 \right)^2 + \left[\lambda_6 \left(H_1^\dagger H_1 \right) + \lambda_7 \left(H_2^\dagger H_2 \right) \right] H_1^\dagger H_2 + \mathrm{H.c.} \right\} \\
& + \mu_h^2 \left| h^+ \right|^2 + \lambda_h \left| h^+ \right|^4 + \lambda_8 \left| h^+ \right|^2 H_1^\dagger H_1 + \lambda_9 \left| h^+ \right|^2 H_2^\dagger H_2 \\
& + \lambda_{10} \left| h^+ \right|^2 \left(H_1^\dagger H_2 + \mathrm{H.c.} \right) + \left(\mu \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} H_1^\alpha H_2^\beta h^- + \mathrm{H.c.} \right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- The spectrum consists of two CP-even scalars (h, H), one CP-odd (A), and two charged scalars $h_{1,2}^+$ which mix via the μ term:

$$
s_{2\varphi} = \frac{\sqrt{2} v \mu}{m_{h_2^+}^2 - m_{h_1^+}^2} \,.
$$

Leptonic Yukawa Lagrangian in the mass basis

In the mass basis, the most general leptonic Lagrangian reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\mathcal{L}_Y = {} & \overline{\nu_{\rm L}}\, U^\dagger \left(\frac{-\sqrt{2} m_E t_\beta}{v} + \frac{Y_2^\dagger}{c_\beta} \right) e_{\rm R} \left(c_\varphi h_1^+ - s_\varphi h_2^+ \right) \\
& + 2\, \overline{\nu_{\rm L}^c}\, U^T f e_{\rm L} \left(-s_\varphi h_1^+ - c_\varphi h_2^+ \right) \\
& + \overline{e_{\rm L}} \left(\frac{-m_E s_\alpha}{v c_\beta} + c_{\beta-\alpha} \frac{Y_2^\dagger}{\sqrt{2} c_\beta} \right) e_{\rm R} h \\
& + \overline{e_{\rm L}} \left(\frac{m_E c_\alpha}{v c_\beta} - s_{\beta-\alpha} \frac{Y_2^\dagger}{\sqrt{2} c_\beta} \right) e_{\rm R} H \\
& + i \overline{e_{\rm L}} \left(- \frac{m_E t_\beta}{v} + \frac{Y_2^\dagger}{\sqrt{2} c_\beta} \right) e_{\rm R} A + \text{H.c.}
\end{aligned}
$$

Neutrino masses, mixings and LFV



$$\mathcal{M}_\nu = \frac{s_{2\varphi}\, t_\beta}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2\, v} \left(f\, m_f^2 + m_f^2 f^T - \frac{v}{\sqrt{2 s_\beta}} (f\, m_f\, Y_2 + Y_2^T\, m_f\, f^T) \right) \ln \frac{m^2_{h_2^+}}{m^2_{h_1^+}}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu \propto \begin{pmatrix} -2 f^{e\tau} Y_2^{\tau e} & -f^{e\tau} Y_2^{\tau\mu} - f^{\mu\tau} Y_2^{\tau e} & \frac{\sqrt{2} s_\beta\, m_\tau}{v} f^{e\tau} - f^{e\tau} Y_2^{\tau\tau} \\ -f^{e\tau} Y_2^{\tau\mu} - f^{\mu\tau} Y_2^{\tau e} & -2 f^{\mu\tau} Y_2^{\tau\mu} & \frac{\sqrt{2} s_\beta\, m_\tau}{v} f^{\mu\tau} - f^{\mu\tau} Y_2^{\tau\tau} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2} s_\beta\, m_\tau}{v} f^{e\tau} - f^{e\tau} Y_2^{\tau\tau} & \frac{\sqrt{2} s_\beta\, m_\tau}{v} f^{\mu\tau} - f^{\mu\tau} Y_2^{\tau\tau} & 2 \frac{m_\mu}{m_\tau} f^{\mu\tau} Y_2^{\mu\tau} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Reproducing correctly neutrino mixings implies $Y_2^{e\tau}$, $Y_2^{\mu\tau} \neq 0$, so:

- We expect sizable LFV rates mediated by Y_2: $h \to \tau\mu$, $\tau \to \mu\gamma$.

HLFV as a test of new physics beyond the SM

Observable	ATLAS	CMS
$\mathrm{Br}(h \to \tau\mu)$	$1.43\ \%$	$0.25\ \%$
$\mathrm{Br}(h \to \tau e)$	$1.04\ \%$	$0.61\ \%$

- In the SM, Higgs couplings to charged leptons are diagonal. HLFV occurs at $D = 6$ via (also derivative ops. like $(\overline{e_{\mathrm{R}}}\Phi^\dagger)\, C_{D\,i}\, i\not{D}(e_{\mathrm{R}}\,\Phi)$):

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}} = \overline{L}\, C_Y\, e_{\mathrm{R}}\Phi(\Phi^\dagger\Phi)\,.$$

- Yukawas to leptons are not diagonal in the mass basis:

$$(y_{\mathrm{e}})_{ij} = \frac{m_i}{v}\delta_{ij} + (C_Y)_{ij}\frac{v^2}{\sqrt{2}\Lambda^2}\,, \qquad \overline{C}_Y \equiv \sqrt{|(C_Y)_{\tau\mu}|^2 + |(C_Y)_{\mu\tau}|^2}\,.$$

- HLFV is given by:

$$\mathrm{BR}(h \to \tau\mu) = \frac{m_h}{8\pi\Gamma_h}\bar{y}^2, \qquad \bar{y} \equiv \overline{C}_Y\,\frac{v^2}{\sqrt{2}\Lambda^2}.$$

HLFV UV completions of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ at tree level

[Rius, Santamaria and JHG, JHEP 1611 (2016) 084, arXiv: 1605.06091]



- Topology A (also B) is a two-Higgs doublet, with possible large HLFV.
- Topology C and D with VLL predict very small HLFV, $< 10^{-6}$.

HLFV in 2HDM (topology A) like for the Zee model is given by:

$$\mathrm{BR}(h \to \mu\tau) = \frac{m_h}{8\pi\Gamma_h}\left(\frac{c_{\beta-\alpha}}{\sqrt{2}\, c_\beta}\right)^2 \left(|Y_2^{\tau\mu}|^2 + |Y_2^{\mu\tau}|^2\right).$$

$\longrightarrow$ Need parameter scan of the Zee model to predict HLFV and CLFV.

Results from a parameter scan

JHEP 1704 (2017) 130, arXiv: 1701.05345

Free parameters of the scan

[T. Ohlsson, S. Riad, J. Wiren and JHG, JHEP 1704 (2017) 130, arXiv: 1701.05345]

We used MultiNest to scan over the 19 free parameters of the model:

Parameter	Prior
Complex: $Y_2^{\tau\tau}$, $Y_2^{\tau\mu}$, $Y_2^{\tau e}$, $Y_2^{\mu\tau}$	$[10^{-12}, 10^{-1}]$
Real: $f^{\mu\tau}$, $f^{e\tau}$, $Y_2^{e\tau}$	$[10^{-12}, 10^{-1}]$
$\tan\beta$	$[0.3, 50]$
λ_1, λ_2, $\lvert\lambda_3\rvert$, $\lvert\lambda_5\rvert$	$[10^{-5}, \sqrt{4\pi}]$
μ_h, μ_2 [GeV]	$[1, 10^7]$
μ [GeV]	$[1, 10^7]$

We show the one and two sigma profile likelihoods for:

- no neutrino masses ($\mu = 0$)
- neutrino masses ($\mu \neq 0$) in:
  1. Normal Ordering (NO)
  2. Inverted Ordering (IO)

$\mu = 0$ **NO** **IO**



Having a sufficiently SM-like Higgs boson as observed demands $s(\beta - \alpha) \approx 1$ (alignment limit). Expanding around $\beta - \alpha \approx \pi/2$:

$$\mathrm{Br}(h \to \tau\mu) \simeq \frac{m_h}{16\pi\Gamma_h}\frac{\lambda_6^2 v^4}{c_\beta^2 m_H^4}\left(|Y_2^{\tau\mu}|^2 + |Y_2^{\mu\tau}|^2\right).$$



Other HLFV and CLFV processes (NO left, IO right)



Neutrino mixings, lightest mass, m_{ee} (NO left, IO right)



Individual contributions to the $\Delta\chi^2$



Naturality limits from the Higgs mass. 95 % C.L. results

- m_h gets a correction $\delta m_h \propto \mu$. Demanding $\delta m_h/m_h \lesssim \kappa$:

$$\mu \lesssim \kappa \frac{4\pi\, m_h}{s_{\beta-\alpha}} \simeq 1.5 \left(\frac{\kappa}{s_{\beta-\alpha}}\right) \mathrm{TeV}\,.$$

- $\kappa = 1\,(10)$ corresponds to *no* (10 %) fine-tuning.

	NO		IO	
Quantity	$\kappa = 1$	$\kappa = 10$	$\kappa = 1$	$\kappa = 10$
χ^2_{min}	10.7	11.0	21.7	24.0
$\mathrm{Br}_{h\to\tau\mu}$	$[1\cdot10^{-6}, 1\cdot10^{-2}]$	$[1\cdot10^{-6}, 1\cdot10^{-2}]$	$[2\cdot10^{-7}, 4\cdot10^{-3}]$	$[1\cdot10^{-7}, 5\cdot10^{-3}]$
$\mathrm{Br}_{h\to\tau e}$	$[1\cdot10^{-10}, 2\cdot10^{-4}]$	$[1\cdot10^{-10}, 2\cdot10^{-4}]$	$[6\cdot10^{-9}, 3\cdot10^{-4}]$	$[3\cdot10^{-9}, 3\cdot10^{-4}]$
$\mathrm{Br}_{\tau\to\mu\gamma}$	$[8\cdot10^{-10}, 3\cdot10^{-8}]$	$[1\cdot10^{-10}, 3\cdot10^{-8}]$	$[3\cdot10^{-11}, 3\cdot10^{-8}]$	$[3\cdot10^{-11}, 4\cdot10^{-8}]$
$\mathrm{Br}_{\mu\to e\gamma}$	$[10^{-21}, 6\cdot10^{-13}]$	$[3\cdot10^{-22}, 6\cdot10^{-13}]$	$[1\cdot10^{-31}, 1\cdot10^{-12}]$	$[1\cdot10^{-34}, 1\cdot10^{-12}]$
$\mathrm{Cr}_{\mu\to e}$	$[10^{-21}, 4\cdot10^{-13}]$	$[1\cdot10^{-21}, 4\cdot10^{-13}]$	$[3\cdot10^{-17}, 3\cdot10^{-13}]$	$[3\cdot10^{-17}, 3\cdot10^{-13}]$
$m_{H,A}$	< 1.7 TeV	< 2.5 TeV	< 1.1 TeV	< 1.5 TeV
m_{h^+}	< 1.7 TeV	< 2.5 TeV	< 1.1 TeV	< 1.5 TeV
$s_{\beta-\alpha}$	$[0.98, 1.0]$	$[0.98, 1.0]$	$[0.97, 1.0]$	$[0.97, 1.0]$

Summary and conclusions

Summary and conclusions

1. Radiative models are a simple explanation for the lightness of neutrino masses, with no large hierarchies, and testable.
2. HLFV implies BSM physics, maybe related to neutrino masses.
3. The Zee model is a simple explanation for neutrino masses with HLFV at tree level. The main results from the parameter scan are:

- Large $h \to \tau\mu$ is possible.
- NO gives a good fit, IO is disfavoured.
- If θ_{23} happens to be in the second octant, then IO will be excluded.
- One massless neutrino only compatible with IO.
- Scalar masses have to be below ~ 2 TeV, accessible at the LHC.
- Future $\tau \to \mu\gamma$ (μe conversion) can test NO (IO).

Back-up slides

Yukawa couplings, charged mixing angle (NO left, IO right)



Splittings of the scalar masses ($\mu = 0$, NO left, IO right)



$\sin\alpha$ and $\tan\beta$ ($\mu = 0$, NO left, IO right)



$h \to \tau\mu$ in EFT

- After SSB, $\langle \Phi_0 \rangle = (h+v)/\sqrt{2}$, diagonalize M_e:

$$(M_\mathrm{e})_{ii} \equiv \mathrm{diag}(m_e, m_\mu, m_\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V_\mathrm{L}^\dagger \left(Y_\mathrm{e} + C_Y \frac{v^2}{2\Lambda^2} \right) V_\mathrm{R} v.$$

- Yukawas are no longer diagonal ($V_\mathrm{L}^\dagger \, C_Y \, V_\mathrm{R} \approx C_Y$):

$$(y_\mathrm{e})_{ij} = \frac{m_i}{v} \delta_{ij} + (C_Y)_{ij} \frac{v^2}{\sqrt{2}\Lambda^2} \,, \qquad \overline{C}_Y \equiv \sqrt{|(C_Y)_{\tau\mu}|^2 + |(C_Y)_{\mu\tau}|^2} \,.$$

- HLFV is given by:

$$\mathrm{BR}(h \to \tau\mu) = \frac{m_h}{8\pi\Gamma_h} \bar{y}^2, \qquad \bar{y} \equiv \overline{C}_Y \, \frac{v^2}{\sqrt{2}\Lambda^2}.$$

Neutrino mass models typically generate HLFV at one loop



Top.	Part.	Representations	Neutrino mass models
LR	S, F	$(1,0)_F, (3,0)_F$	Dirac, SSI/III (ISS)
RR	S	$(1,2)_S$	ZB (doubly-charged k^{++})
LL	S	$(1,1)_S, (3,1)_S$	ZB (singly-charged h^+), SSII
LL (Z_2)	$S \oplus F$	$(1,1/2)_S \oplus (1,0)_F, (3,0)_F$	Scotogenic Model

$\longrightarrow$ In the Zee-Babu (with $\lambda_{h\Phi}|h^+|^2\Phi^\dagger\Phi + \lambda_{k\Phi}|k|^2\Phi^\dagger\Phi + \mathrm{h.c.}$):

$$A_{\mathrm{ZB}}^{h\to\tau\mu} \sim \frac{m_\tau v}{(4\pi)^2}\left(\frac{\lambda_{h\Phi}}{m_{h^+}^2}\left(f_{e\mu}^* f_{e\tau}\right) + \frac{\lambda_{k\Phi}}{m_k^2}\left(g_{e\mu}^* g_{e\tau} + g_{\mu\mu}^* g_{\mu\tau} + g_{\mu\tau}^* g_{\tau\tau}\right)\right).$$

HLFV rates at one loop

- We can estimate HLFV in previous neutrino mass models:

$$\mathrm{BR}(h \to \mu\tau) \sim \mathrm{BR}(h \to \tau\tau)\,\frac{\lambda_{ih}^2}{(4\pi)^4}\left(\frac{v}{\mathrm{TeV}}\right)^4\left(\frac{Y}{M_i/\mathrm{TeV}}\right)^4.$$

- $\tau \to \mu\gamma$ typically gives the constraint:

$$\left(\frac{Y}{M_i/\mathrm{TeV}}\right)^4 \lesssim \mathcal{O}(0.01-1) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathrm{BR}(h \to \mu\tau) \lesssim 10^{-8}.$$

Can $\mathrm{BR}(h \to \mu\tau)$ be large, overcoming the loop $\sim 1/(4\pi)^4$?

- Evade CLFV? NO, some of the new F and S in the loop are charged. One expects CLFV at the same level as HLFV [Dorsner].
- Large Yukawas with special textures: $\mathrm{BR} \lesssim 10^{-5}$ [ISS, Arganda].
- But: large Y, λ lead to instabilities/non-perturbative and $h \to \gamma\gamma$.

$\longrightarrow$ **Does any neutrino mass model generate HLFV at tree level?**

Loop level $\mathcal{O}_5$ completions: radiative models [Zee, Cheng, Babu...]

- Prototype example: the Zee-Babu model, which adds a singly- and a doubly-charged scalar $h^{\pm}, k^{\pm\pm}$. $\Delta L = 2$ in the μ term:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm ZB} \subset \overline{L}\, Y e_{\rm R} \Phi + \overline{\tilde{L}} f L h^{+} + \overline{e^c_{\rm R}} g\, e_{\rm R}\, k^{++} + \left(\mu h^2 k^{++} + \text{H.c.}\right).$$

- Neutrino masses are generated at two loops:



$$\mathcal{M}_\nu = \frac{v^2 \mu}{48\pi^2 M^2} \tilde{I}\, f\, Y\, g^\dagger Y^T f^T\,,$$
$$M \equiv \max(m_h, m_k)\,.$$

Clear predictions:

- f is AS $\to \det f = 0 \to \det \mathcal{M}_\nu = 0$, so one ν is massless.
- k^{++} can be light enough to be searched for at the LHC.

Zee-Babu strongest constraints: CLFV and universality



- $|V_{ud}^{exp}|^2 + |V_{us}^{exp}|^2 + |V_{ub}^{exp}|^2 = 0.9999 \pm 0.0006$
  $\approx 1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{G_F m_h^2} |f_{e\mu}{}^2| \longrightarrow |f_{e\mu}|^2 < 0.007 \left(\frac{m_h}{\mathrm{TeV}}\right)^2$
- τ/μ universality: $\frac{G_\tau^{exp}}{G_\mu^{exp}} = 0.9998 \pm 0.0013$
  $||f_{e\tau}|^2 - |f_{e\mu}|^2| < 0.035 \left(\frac{m_h}{\mathrm{TeV}}\right)^2$



- $\mathrm{BR}(\tau \to \mu\gamma) < 4.4 \times 10^{-8}$
  $\frac{|f_{e\tau}^* f_{\mu\tau}|^2}{(m_h/\mathrm{TeV})^4} + \frac{16|g_{ee}^* g_{e\mu} + g_{e\mu}^* g_{\mu\mu} + g_{e\tau}^* g_{\mu\tau}|^2}{(m_k/\mathrm{TeV})^4} < 0.7$



- $\mathrm{BR}(\tau^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\mu^-) < 2.1 \times 10^{-8}$
  $|g_{\mu\tau} g_{\mu\mu}^*| < 0.008 \left(\frac{m_k}{\mathrm{TeV}}\right)^2$