Neutrino kinetic equations

Jacopo Ghiglieri, CERN



In collaboration with Mikko Laine

Neutrinos: the quest for a new physics scale CERN, March 30 2017

Overview

- **Right-handed neutrinos** might play a role over a very wide temperature range in the early universe
- Leptogenesis can happen either through decays of very heavy RHNs or through oscillations (ARS...) of lighter ones (T>130 GeV , T_{EW}~160 GeV)
- O(keV) RHNs may be DM. If a lepton asymmetry survives to T~1 GeV then resonant production possible Shi Fuller
- Important to have rates (equilibration, washout, ...) and kinetic equations from $T \gg T_{EW}$ to $T \leq GeV$

Outline

- Brief introduction
- Evolution equations to order *h*²
- Computing the rates entering those eqs. at LO in the SM
 - In the symmetric phase
 - In the broken phase
- Not in this talk: resonant production of keV RHNs Asaka Laine Shaposhnikov (2006), Laine Shaposhnikov (2008), JG Laine (2015), Venumadhav Cyr-Racine Abazajian Hirata (2015)

General approach

 Factor the system into "fast" and "slow" modes, and integrate out the former to obtain evolution eqs. for the latter



General approach

- Factor the system into "fast" and "slow" modes, and integrate out the former to obtain evolution eqs. for the latter
- For instance

for 130 GeV $\leq T \leq 10^5$ GeV, all SM interactions are in thermal equilibrium

• O(GeV) RHNs have ~10⁻⁷ Yukawas: non-eq. ensemble

Lepton (and baryon) densities also evolve slowly

- From this a coupled set of evolution eqs can be obtained rigorously, as in JG Laine 1703.06087, following the evolutions of these slow variables and keeping track of flavour and helicity effects, as well as backreactions
- Previous results can be obtained in the appropriate limits (Hernandez et al 1606.06719, Bödeker Sangel Wörmann 1510.06742, Bödeker Laine 1403.2755)
- Similar approach at lower *T* (broken phase) in Eijima Shaposhnikov 1703.06085
- CTP-based approach (with similar equations) Drewes Garbrecht Gueter Klaric 1606.06690

• The starting point is

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I} \bar{N}_{I} (i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - M_{I}) N_{I} - \sum_{I,a} (\bar{N}_{I} h_{Ia} j_{a} + \bar{j}_{a} h_{Ia}^{*} N_{I})$$
$$j_{a} = \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} a_{L} l_{a}$$

We want to follow the evolution of n_a -n_B/3 (because sphalerons) and of the sterile neutrino density matrix (keeping track of helicity and flavor)

$$\hat{\rho}_{\tau I;\sigma J} \equiv \frac{a_{\vec{k}\tau I}^{\dagger}a_{\vec{k}\sigma J}}{V}$$

• In general $\langle \dot{O}(t) \rangle \equiv \text{Tr} \left[\dot{O}(t) \rho_{\text{full}}(t) \right]$ with $\rho_{\text{full}} = \rho_{\text{SM}}^{\text{EQ}} \otimes \rho_N$

- In general $\langle \dot{O}(t) \rangle \equiv \text{Tr} \left[\dot{O}(t) \rho_{\text{full}}(t) \right]$ with $\rho_{\text{full}} = \rho_{\text{SM}}^{\text{EQ}} \otimes \rho_N$
- The two sectors talk through *H*_{int}, linear in *h* (Yukawa).

$$H_{\rm int}(t) = \int_{\mathbf{x}} \sum_{I,a} \left[\overline{j}_a(\mathcal{X}) h_{Ia}^* N_I(\mathcal{X}) + \overline{N}_I(\mathcal{X}) h_{Ia} j_a(\mathcal{X}) \right], \quad \mathcal{X} = (t, \mathbf{x})$$

Since \dot{O} is linear in *h* and

$$\rho_{\text{full}}(t) = \rho_{\text{full}}(0) - i \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \left[H_{\text{int}}(t'), \rho_{\text{full}}(0) \right] + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$

then

$$\langle \dot{O}(t) \rangle = \text{Tr}\left\{ \left[\dot{O}(t), -i \int_0^t dt' H_{\text{int}}(t') \right] \rho_{\text{full}}(0) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(h^3)$$

• Thanks to the factorization between slow and hard modes, the latter only enter in the spectral function of the SM current $j_a = \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} a_L l_a$

$$\begin{split} \left\langle j_{a}(\mathcal{X})\,\bar{j}_{b}(\mathcal{Y})\right\rangle &= \delta_{ab} \int_{\mathcal{P}} e^{-i\mathcal{P}\cdot(\mathcal{X}-\mathcal{Y})}\,\Pi_{a}^{>}(\mathcal{P}) \ ,\\ \left\langle \bar{j}_{b}(\mathcal{Y})\,j_{a}(\mathcal{X})\right\rangle &= -\delta_{ab} \int_{\mathcal{P}} e^{-i\mathcal{P}\cdot(\mathcal{X}-\mathcal{Y})}\,\Pi_{a}^{<}(\mathcal{P}) \\ \rho_{a}(P) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\Pi_{a}^{>}(\mathcal{P}) - \Pi_{a}^{<}(\mathcal{P})\right) \end{split}$$

$$\mathbf{j}_{a} = \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} a_{L} \, \mathbf{l}_{a} \qquad \qquad \rho_{a}(P) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Pi_{a}^{>}(\mathcal{P}) - \Pi_{a}^{<}(\mathcal{P}) \right)$$

• RHN density matrix (in helicity and flavor) evolves as $\langle \hat{\rho} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a} \left\{ \hat{\Gamma}_{a}^{+}(t) \left[\mathbf{I} n_{\mathrm{F}}(\omega^{k} - \mu_{a}) - \langle \hat{\rho} \rangle \right] + \hat{\Gamma}_{a}^{-}(t) \left[\mathbf{I} n_{\mathrm{F}}(\omega^{k} + \mu_{a}) - \langle \hat{\rho} \rangle \right] + \mathrm{h.c.} \right\} + \mathrm{O}(h^{3})$

where $\hat{\Gamma}^{+}_{(a\tau)IJ}(t) \equiv \frac{h_{Ia}^{*}h_{Ja}}{\sqrt{\omega_{I}^{k}\omega_{J}^{k}}} \bar{u}_{\mathbf{k}\tau J} a_{\mathrm{L}} \rho_{a}(\mathcal{K}_{J}) a_{\mathrm{R}} u_{\mathbf{k}\tau I} e^{i(\omega_{J}^{k}-\omega_{I}^{k})t},$ $\hat{\Gamma}^{-}_{(a\tau)IJ}(t) \equiv \frac{h_{Ia}h_{Ja}^{*}}{\sqrt{\omega_{I}^{k}\omega_{J}^{k}}} \bar{u}_{\mathbf{k}\tau J} a_{\mathrm{R}} \rho_{a}(-\mathcal{K}_{J}) a_{\mathrm{L}} u_{\mathbf{k}\tau I} e^{i(\omega_{J}^{k}-\omega_{I}^{k})t}$

$$\mathbf{j}_{a} = \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} a_{L} \mathbf{l}_{a} \qquad \qquad \rho_{a}(P) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Pi_{a}^{>}(\mathcal{P}) - \Pi_{a}^{<}(\mathcal{P}) \right)$$

RHN density matrix (in helicity and flavor) evolves as $\langle \dot{\hat{\rho}} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a} \left\{ \hat{\Gamma}_{a}^{+}(t) \left[\mathbf{I} n_{\mathrm{F}}(\omega^{k} - \mu_{a}) \right] + \hat{\Gamma}_{a}^{-}(t) \left[\mathbf{I} n_{\mathrm{F}}(\omega^{k} + \mu_{a}) \right] + \mathrm{h.c.} \right\} + \mathrm{O}(h^{3})$

where $\hat{\Gamma}^{+}_{(a\tau)IJ}(t) \equiv \frac{h_{Ia}^{*}h_{Ja}}{\sqrt{\omega_{I}^{k}\omega_{J}^{k}}} \bar{u}_{\mathbf{k}\tau J} a_{\mathrm{L}} \rho_{a}(\mathcal{K}_{J}) a_{\mathrm{R}} u_{\mathbf{k}\tau I} e^{i(\omega_{J}^{k}-\omega_{I}^{k})t},$ $\hat{\Gamma}^{-}_{(a\tau)IJ}(t) \equiv \frac{h_{Ia}h_{Ja}^{*}}{\sqrt{\omega_{I}^{k}\omega_{J}^{k}}} \bar{u}_{\mathbf{k}\tau J} a_{\mathrm{R}} \rho_{a}(-\mathcal{K}_{J}) a_{\mathrm{L}} u_{\mathbf{k}\tau I} e^{i(\omega_{J}^{k}-\omega_{I}^{k})t}$

$$\boldsymbol{j_a} = \boldsymbol{\tilde{\phi}^{\dagger}} a_L \, \boldsymbol{l_a} \qquad \qquad \rho_a(P) = \frac{1}{2} \big(\Pi_a^{>}(\mathcal{P}) - \Pi_a^{<}(\mathcal{P}) \big)$$

• Similarly

$$\left\langle \dot{n}_{a} - \frac{\dot{n}_{B}}{3} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{k}} \operatorname{Tr}_{f,h} \left\{ \left[\hat{\Gamma}_{a}^{+}(t) + \hat{\Gamma}_{a}^{+\dagger}(t) \right] \left[\langle \hat{\rho} \rangle - \mathbf{I} n_{F}(\omega^{k} - \mu_{a}) \right] - \left[\hat{\Gamma}_{a}^{-}(t) + \hat{\Gamma}_{a}^{-\dagger}(t) \right] \left[\langle \hat{\rho} \rangle - \mathbf{I} n_{F}(\omega^{k} + \mu_{a}) \right] \right\} + O(h^{3})$$

where $\hat{\Gamma}^{+}_{(a\tau)IJ}(t) \equiv \frac{h_{Ia}^{*}h_{Ja}}{\sqrt{\omega_{I}^{k}\omega_{J}^{k}}} \bar{u}_{\mathbf{k}\tau J} a_{\mathrm{L}} \rho_{a}(\mathcal{K}_{J}) a_{\mathrm{R}} u_{\mathbf{k}\tau I} e^{i(\omega_{J}^{k}-\omega_{I}^{k})t} ,$ $\hat{\Gamma}^{-}_{(a\tau)IJ}(t) \equiv \frac{h_{Ia}h_{Ja}^{*}}{\sqrt{\omega_{I}^{k}\omega_{J}^{k}}} \bar{u}_{\mathbf{k}\tau J} a_{\mathrm{R}} \rho_{a}(-\mathcal{K}_{J}) a_{\mathrm{L}} u_{\mathbf{k}\tau I} e^{i(\omega_{J}^{k}-\omega_{I}^{k})t}$

$$\boldsymbol{j_a} = \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} a_L \, \boldsymbol{l_a} \qquad \qquad \rho_a(P) = \frac{1}{2} \big(\Pi_a^{>}(\mathcal{P}) - \Pi_a^{<}(\mathcal{P}) \big)$$

• Similarly

$$\left\langle \dot{n}_{a} - \frac{\dot{n}_{B}}{3} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{k}} \operatorname{Tr}_{f,h} \left\{ \left[\hat{\Gamma}_{a}^{+}(t) + \hat{\Gamma}_{a}^{+\dagger}(t) \right] \left[-\mathbf{I} n_{F}(\omega^{k} - \mu_{a}) \right] - \left[\hat{\Gamma}_{a}^{-}(t) + \hat{\Gamma}_{a}^{-\dagger}(t) \right] \left[-\mathbf{I} n_{F}(\omega^{k} + \mu_{a}) \right] \right\} + O(h^{3})$$

where $\hat{\Gamma}^{+}_{(a\tau)IJ}(t) \equiv \frac{h_{Ia}^{*}h_{Ja}}{\sqrt{\omega_{I}^{k}\omega_{J}^{k}}} \bar{u}_{\mathbf{k}\tau J} a_{\mathrm{L}} \rho_{a}(\mathcal{K}_{J}) a_{\mathrm{R}} u_{\mathbf{k}\tau I} e^{i(\omega_{J}^{k}-\omega_{I}^{k})t} ,$ $\hat{\Gamma}^{-}_{(a\tau)IJ}(t) \equiv \frac{h_{Ia}h_{Ja}^{*}}{\sqrt{\omega_{I}^{k}\omega_{J}^{k}}} \bar{u}_{\mathbf{k}\tau J} a_{\mathrm{R}} \rho_{a}(-\mathcal{K}_{J}) a_{\mathrm{L}} u_{\mathbf{k}\tau I} e^{i(\omega_{J}^{k}-\omega_{I}^{k})t}$

Intermediate summary

 These equations are valid to order *h*² in the Yukawas and in principle to all orders in the SM couplings. In practice *ρ_a* known to leading order in the SM

Intermediate summary

 It is sensible to expand to first order in the chemical potentials. *ρ_a* is also a function of the chemical potentials *μ_a* and *μ_Y*

$$\Gamma^{+}_{(a\tau)IJ} = h_{Ia}^{*} h_{Ja} \left[Q_{(\tau)IJ} + \bar{\mu}_{a} R_{(\tau)IJ} + \bar{\mu}_{Y} S_{(\tau)IJ} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^{2}) ,$$

$$\Gamma^{-}_{(a\tau)IJ} = h_{Ia} h_{Ja}^{*} \left[Q_{(-\tau)IJ} - \bar{\mu}_{a} R_{(-\tau)IJ} - \bar{\mu}_{Y} S_{(-\tau)IJ} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^{2})$$

• $Q_{(+)}, R_{(+)}, S_{(+)}$ are helicity-flipping (for instance $\nu_L \rightarrow \phi N_+$) and thus they survive for vanishing Majorana masses, whereas $Q_{(-)}, R_{(-)}, S_{(-)}$ are helicity conserving (fermion number violating) and thus require *M* insertion

Computing pa

In the symmetric phase T>160 GeV, with g=(g₁,g₂,h_t,λ^{1/2}) parametrically equivalent and for M~gT «T, there exist two kinds of processes at leading order



Besak Bödeker **1202.1288**, Ghisoiu Laine **1411.1765**, Garbrecht Glowna Schwaller **1303.5498**, Hernandez et al **1606.06719**, JG Laine **1703.06087**





- Since all masses are O(gT), tree level processes (if possible) are $\sim M^2 \sim g^2 T^2$ and collinear
- Long formation times O(1/g²T)) imply that soft scatterings, at rate g²T, need to be resummed to all orders ⇒ Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal (LPM) effect Long QCD history (BDMPS, AMY). Introduced for RHNs in the symmetric phase in Anisimov Besak Bödeker JCAP03 (2011),
- Helicity-conserving contributions are suppressed for *M*~GeV



 As long as all external state masses are O(gT) they can be neglected at leading order (O(g²T²)). Helicity-conserving amplitudes absent at this order Besak Bödeker JCAP03 (2012)

$$\int_{\text{ph. space}} \frac{f(p)f(p')(1\pm f(k'))|\mathcal{M}|^2\delta^4(P+P'-K-K')}{|\mathcal{M}|^2\delta^4(P+P'-K-K')}$$

 Phase space convolution of statistical functions (with chemical potentials) and matrix elements. HTL resummation needed for soft fermion exchange.

Symmetric phase results



JG Laine **1703.06087**

Symmetric phase results

- Outlook:
 - solve the evolution equations with these rates and the full effects of helicity and chemical potentials, in the cosmological background, as in Hernandez et al 1606.06719
 - Get to the physically interesting O(h⁴) (and higher) effects from the coupled dynamics of slow modes Shuve Yavin 1401.2459

Computing Pa

 In the broken phase the Higgs e.v. v>0. We consider the parametric range T≥v, so that thermal masses (O(gT)) and Higgs mechanism masses (O(gv)) are of the same order. In practice

 $30\,{\rm GeV} \lesssim T \lesssim 160\,{\rm GeV}$

where $g=(g_1,g_2,h_t,\lambda^{1/2})$ (parametrically equivalent)

- In this region $M_I \leq gT$
- We also consider $m_W \ge \pi T$ to cover the low-temperature region down to 5 GeV
- Chemical potentials and helicity effects not included JG Laine 1605.07720

$$\mathbf{j}_{a} = \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} a_{L} \mathbf{l}_{a} \qquad \qquad \rho_{a}(P) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Pi_{a}^{>}(\mathcal{P}) - \Pi_{a}^{<}(\mathcal{P}) \right)$$

The Higgs doublet can be a propagating d.o.f. (Higgs or Goldstone) or an expectation value insertion.
 Distinction into direct and indirect processes



• Direct processes give $\varrho \sim g^2 T^2$. Indirect processes can have a near-resonant enhancement (hold on)



In the indirect case *ρ* is directly proportional to the spf of active neutrinos, i.e.

• *M*² dependence: this is an helicity conserving contribution. As temperature drops, this becomes very relevant, also because of resonant-like behavior



In the indirect case *ρ* is directly proportional to the spf of active neutrinos, i.e.

- Real part of the active neutrino self- energy
 - At high $T \ 2K \cdot \operatorname{Re} \Sigma = -m_l^2 \sim g^2 T^2$
 - At low *T* (positive) matter potential
 - (Broad) resonance



 In the indirect case ρ is directly proportional to the spf of active neutrinos, i.e.





In the indirect case *ρ* is directly proportional to the spf of active neutrinos, i.e.

- Imaginay part of the active neutrino self- energy: active neutrino width $2K \cdot \text{Im } \Sigma = k_0 \Gamma$
 - At high *T* dominated by soft $2 \leftrightarrow 2$ scatterings. $\Gamma \sim g^2 T$ and thus (for $M \sim gT$) $\rho \sim v^2$
 - At low *T* dominated by $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ decays of gauge bosons

- Soft 2↔2 scatterings, leading at high T
- 2⇔2 scatterings in the
 Fermi limit, accurate but
 subleading at low T
- 1↔2 decay of gauge
 bosons, leading at low T,
 inaccurate but negligible at
 high T
- **Total:** 1↔2 + the appropriate (smallest) 2↔2



JG Laine 1605.07720

Cosmological implications

Compare the equilibration and washout rates to the Hubble rate

$$\dot{f}_{I\mathbf{k}} = \gamma_{I\mathbf{k}} \left(n_{\mathrm{F}}(E_{I}) - f_{I\mathbf{k}} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left[\left(n_{\mathrm{F}} - f_{I\mathbf{k}} \right)^{2}, n_{a}^{2} \right]$$
$$\gamma_{I\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{a} \frac{|h_{Ia}|^{2} \mathrm{Tr}[K \rho_{a}(K)]}{E_{I}} + \mathcal{O}(h^{4})$$

$$\begin{split} \dot{n}_{a} &= -\gamma_{ab}n_{b} + \mathcal{O}[n_{a}(n_{\rm F} - f_{I\mathbf{k}}), n_{a}^{3}] & \Xi_{ab} = \partial n_{a} / \partial \mu_{b}|_{\mu_{b}=0} \\ \gamma_{ab} &= -\sum_{I} \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{2n'_{\rm F}(E_{I})|h_{Ia}|^{2} \text{Tr}[k \rho_{a}(K)]}{E_{I}} \Xi_{ab}^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(h^{4}) \end{split}$$

• Fix the RHNs Yukawa couplings in a simple seesaw scenario with hierarchical neutrinos, with only one Yukawa coupling contributing to a given mass difference $|\Delta m| = |h_{Ia}|^2 v^2/(2M)$

Cosmological implications



Peaks driven by indirect processes

 Leptogenesis possible because no equilibrium at T≥130 GeV. Resonant generation of keV scale RHNs hindered by washout at T≤30 GeV. Fine-tuned windows still possible

Cosmological implications



 Helicity asymmetries might be important to this end, since the peak is driven by large helicity-conserving indirect processes, whereas helicity-flipping ones remain small there Eijima Shaposhnikov 1703.06085

Summary

- I have presented evolution equations for the slow modes, keeping track consistently of flavour and helicity effects, as well as full back-reaction, at order h²
- The rates entering these evolution equations are known at LO in the SM for small *M* over a wide temperature range
- In the broken phase these rates peak at T~10-30 GeV, due to the efficient, resonance-like indirect processes, with consequences for leptogenesis and keV scale dark matter
- Spectra and code available for download at <u>http://www.laine.itp.unibe.ch/production-{low,mid,high}T/</u> <u>http://www.laine.itp.unibe.ch/dmpheno/</u> (resonant prod.)

Backup



Resonant sterile neutrino production

 Resonant production of right-handed neutrinos: a non-zero lepton asymmetry (left) creates a resonance that efficiently converts it into right-handed neutrino (DM) abundance (right) JG Laine JHEP1511 (2015)

