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SPring-8 upgrade, "SPring-8-II"

- Approx. one-year shutdown in early 2020's (not yet fixed)
- Replace the existing ring
- Take full advantage of existing resources, especially SACLA
  Injection from SACLA to SR will start prior to the upgrade
- Better performance, less energy
- **Important**: stability, reliability – *strong points of ring-based LS* –
Reliability and stability of ring-based light sources

SPring-8 user time availability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal year</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User time (h)</td>
<td>3409</td>
<td>4058</td>
<td>4033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down time (h)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability (%)</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Refill time is included in "down time".

Refill time for different filling mode (20 min)

1 week, Dec 2015

Good reliability and stability are the strong points of ring-based LS. We should keep it for SPring-8-II.
SPring-8-II linear lattice

5 bend achronomat lattice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(tentative)</th>
<th>SPring-8-II</th>
<th>SPring-8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy (GeV)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stored current (mA)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumference (m)</td>
<td>1435.45</td>
<td>1435.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective emittance (nmrad)</td>
<td>0.157~0.10 w/ ID</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy spread (%)</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betatron tune</td>
<td>(108.10, 45.58)</td>
<td>(41.14, 19.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl. chromaticity</td>
<td>(-143,-147)</td>
<td>(-117,-47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight section (m)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_x, \beta_y @ ID$ (m)</td>
<td>(5.5, 2.2)</td>
<td>(31.2, 5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersion @ ID (m)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

> Lower energy, 6 GeV
> Lower hor.&vert. beta-functions
> Shorter & achronatic S.S. for IDs
> 4 longitudinal gradient bends (LGB)
> No very strong magnets
  Q < 60 T/m, Sx < 3,000 T/m²
Two cutting-edge light sources – SACLA and SR – in the site

Accelerators
Share SACLA linac for two light sources.
1) On-demand pulse-by-pulse injection to SACLA and SR by revising LLRF
2) Timing system for SR and SACLA are being developed*.

*H. Maesaka et al.

Experiments
Strengths of each LS should be taken into consideration in designing the SPring-8-II project.

XFEL: high peak current, short pulses
SR : high average current, high repetition rate, stability

Would not try to squeeze lots of electrons into small 6-dimensional volumes for generating short pulses at SPring-8-II. Instead, focus on stable, reliable small emittance beams.
Key developments

> Permanent dipole magnets  
  normal bends + longitudinal gradient bends + others (for injection)
> Narrow dimension SUS vacuum chambers
> Off-axis injection (today's talk by Shiro Takano)
> Short period undulators equipped with force cancellation function
> HOM dumped RF cavity without damping waveguide/pipe (phase-II) etc.

Integrated design and iteration between developments including lattice are essential in the optimization process.
Permanent magnet based dipoles

Test magnet, "Mini-LGB"

Demagnetizations of undulators have been observed at several facilities. Are dipoles OK?

Field adjustability

Temperature dependence

LGB distribution
Demagnetization of permanent magnet due to radiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magnet</th>
<th>Undulator (in some cases)</th>
<th>Dipole (in some cases)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NdFeB</td>
<td>NdFeB</td>
<td>Sm$<em>2$Co$</em>{17}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_c$ (typical)</td>
<td>0.1 – 1</td>
<td>2 - 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrons</td>
<td>may directly hit magnet</td>
<td>hit thick iron yokes first</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Permeance coefficient, P$_c$

$$P_c = \frac{1}{B_0} \frac{B}{H}$$

Demag. in a long time range may be compensated by outer plates.

Blue: Sm$_2$Co$_{17}$ (LS-32SH)
Red : NdFeB,
(T.Bizen et al., NIMA 574 (2007) 401.)

Demagnetization of currently provided NdFeB magnet will be tested soon.
Vacuum chambers

Based on experiences on beam vibrations at SPring-8, **SUS** is our choice.

High gradient magnets impose **small dimension** vacuum chambers.

Eddy current on chambers kicks e-beams

**SUS:**
Low conductivity-> Small eddy current
Can be mechanically thinner.

Resistive wall impedance will be suppressed by Cu coating.
New undulators equipped with force cancellation function

Magnetic force vs undulator gap

Mag force vs gap depends on the periodic structure. 
-> Cancellation mechanics should also have a similar periodic structure, but it could cost much.

Takashi Tanaka et al
Periodically magnetized mono-structure for the force cancellation

Main magnets

Periodically magnetized single piece magnet

Takashi Tanaka, Shigeki Yamamoto et al
Less than 1/4 in size and weight.

New type I

New type II

Takashi Tanaka et al
Force cancellation reduces phase errors in an undulator.

- Gap close w/o cancellation
- Gap close w/ cancellation
**Time schedule of R&D (as of now)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY2016</th>
<th>FY2017</th>
<th>FY2018</th>
<th>FY2019</th>
<th>FY2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D for main components</td>
<td>Test half cell</td>
<td>Design optimization</td>
<td>Manufacturing of components</td>
<td>Shutdown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D for injection system and other components</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Detailed shutdown schedule is being discussed.
Basic idea:

1. Chromaticities are compensated by sextupoles at dispersion bumps.
2. Phase advance between bumps is set to $N\pi$ to cancel nonlinear kicks.

But:

> The sextupole pairs are *nested* and cannot be cancelled enough for all the sextupole pairs.

> Tuning knobs are limited:

  - A series of sextupoles are closely distributed with each other inside bumps.
  - Phase advance between bumps should be fixed.
  - Betatron functions at straight sections should be kept small.
  - Tune at each cell cannot be changed a lot.
Example of amplitude dependent tune shift and Poincare map

Strong higher order ADTS $\propto x^4$

DA is sharply limited by the higher-order field.

(1) Amplitude dependent tune shift is dominated by higher orders $\propto x^4$ under practical conditions like nested sextupoles etc.

(2) To compensate it, we need to play with the higher order fields.

We have proposed two approaches to tackle the strong nonlinear problem.

Hitoshi Tanaka and Kouichi Soutome
First approach to suppress the nonlinearity

To formulate canonical perturbation up to $O(S^4)$ order

$> \text{ADTS is dominated by } O(S^4) \text{ or higher.}$

$> \text{We need large DA only in } x.$

$> \text{By neglecting } O(J_y^2), \text{ the number of terms in the formulation can be significantly reduced.}$

Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{J_x}{\beta_x(s)} + \frac{J_y}{\beta_y(s)} + W_{xx}(s)J_x^2 + W_{xy}(s)J_xJ_y + W_{xxx}(s)J_x^3 + W_{xyy}(s)J_x^2J_y + \cdots$$

$$\tilde{v}_x = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int ds \frac{\partial \langle \tilde{H} \rangle}{\partial J_x} = v_x + C_{xx}J_x + C_{xxx}J_x^2 + \cdots \quad (@ J_y = 0)$$

$$\tilde{v}_y = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int ds \frac{\partial \langle \tilde{H} \rangle}{\partial J_y} = v_y + C_{xy}J_x + C_{xyy}J_x^2 + \cdots \quad (@ J_y = 0)$$

$$x = \pm \sqrt{2\beta_x \left( J_x + AJ_x^{3/2} + BJ_x^2 + CJ_x^{5/2} + \cdots \right)} \quad (@ x' = 0, y = 0, y' = 0)$$
**Objective function and tuning knobs**

**Tuning Knobs:**

* SX excitation pattern under the constraint of fixed chrom.

5 families in a cell: \{ SD_1 \ SF_1 \ SF_2 \ SD_2 \ S_{aux} \ S_{aux} \ SD_2 \ SF_2 \ SF_1 \ SD_1 \}

* detuning of phase between arcs: \((\Delta \nu_x^{(arc)}, \Delta \nu_y^{(arc)})\)

* tune/cell

**Response of lowest-order ADTS coef. \((O(S^2))\) to detuning (example)**

**Objective Function:**

* ADTS with the use of perturbation formula up to \(O(S^4)\)

* 1\(^\text{st}\) and 2\(^\text{nd}\) order terms of chromaticity

* resonance driving terms (when needed)
The calculations reproduced the particle tracking results.

However, DA is not improved enough in the case.

We attribute it to the limited knobs.
Second approach to suppress the nonlinearity

To add *weak* sextupole singlets to compensate for the residual nonlinearities.

> The residual nonlinear kicks come from imperfect cancellation by sextupoles at bumps.
> Most of nonlinear kicks are already cancelled by sextupoles at bumps, so only a single (or two) sextupole with *weak* gradient should be enough.
> The magnet(s) may practically fit in the high packing factor lattice.
Improvement of amplitude dependent tune shift

Without weak Sx

With weak Sx

Amplitude dependent tune shift

Poincare map

\[ \beta_x = 5.5 \text{m} \]

\[ y = 0 \]

Would be even better to rotate the Poincare map by 180 degree, but not so easy to do it for the current nonlinear lattice.
Comparison with octupoles

Horizontal ADTS

Higher order terms are well controlled to obtain small ADTS over the wide range of horizontal amplitude.

The weak Sx scheme is found to give better ADTS than octupoles.

One order of magnitude smaller SX field than chromatic SXs works, even though the SXs are placed where Betatron function is small.

* Octupoles may work as another knob for nonlinear chromaticity etc.
Improvement of dynamic aperture

> The weak SX scheme works well to improve a dynamic aperture.
> Requirement on high $\beta_x$ at the injection point can be relaxed.

$$x, \text{injection} = 30 \rightarrow 20 \text{ m}$$

Injection point
$$x = 2 \text{ [mm]}, \beta_x = 20 \text{ m}$$
Summary

- SPring-8-II project is on going aiming at major upgrade in the early 2020's.

- Stability, reliability and energy efficiency are also important.

- A combination with SACLA largely affects on the design in terms of accelerators and experiments.

- Key components, such as permanent magnets, vacuum system, and new IDs have been developed. A test half cell will be built in FY2017.

- Two new approaches for the nonlinear optimization have been proposed;
  (i) canonical perturbation calculation up to $O(S^4)$
  (ii) weak sextupole magnets to clean up residual nonlinearities

- Dynamic aperture has been successfully improved. Momentum aperture improvement will be tried soon.