



Requirements for the background in the experiments

Helmut Burkhardt

LHC	Machine	Induced	Backgrounds
-----	---------	---------	-------------

- Introduction and short history
- sources, current knowledge
- LHC --> HiLumi LHC
- requirements -- vacuum

Aknowledgements :

LBS, secretary Reyes Alemany, previously Alick Macpherson (chaired by me)

Yngve Levinsen, Roderik Bruce, Regina K.Hinzmann; G. Bregliozzi, Christina Yin Vallgren; FLUKA team

M. Huhtinen, A. Sbrizzi, et al. ATLAS; M. Guthoff, A. Dabrowski, Sunil Chitra S. Mallows et al. CMS

G. Corti, F. Alessio / LHCb, A. Di Mauro, A. Alici / ALICE



Introduction with bit of history



Machine induced backgrounds have been an issue and at times a performance limitation in many machines Example : HERA, LEP

Based on this experience and advice from the machine advisory committees : important to understand / monitor and minimize backgrounds

BKG workshop Apr. 2008, Yellow Report <u>CERN-2009-003</u> LBS working group <u>http://cern.ch/lbs</u> started march 2009 next <u>LBS#79</u> on 21 Nov.





Background sources



- 1. Beam gas scattering on residual gas, always present; pressure and intensity dependent most relevant: inelastic scattering, straight IR sections + beginning of arcs
- 2. Halo losses by slow drift, on primary, secondary, tertiary collimators ; lifetime and collimation dependent
- **3.** Collision related only there when in collisions

"signal" if originating by collisions at the IP + backscatter and out of time afterglow non-colliding isolated bunches required for quantitative monitoring "collision - cross talk" background generated in collisions by other IPs

Some Refs :

G. Corti, R. Appleby, H. Burkhardt, Y. Levinsen, M. Lieng, V. Talanov, Simulation of Machine Background in the LHCb Experiment: Methodology and Implementation, Proc. 2010 IEEE Conf. Nov. 2010
Yngve Levinsen, Machine Induced Experimental Background Conditions in the LHC, <u>PhD thesis</u>, CERN + Oslo university, 9/2012
R. Bruce et al., *Sources of machine-induced background in the ATLAS and CMS detectors at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, <u>NIM A 729 (2013) 825–840</u>
R. Alemany et al., *Run 2 experiments background information sent to LHC*, June 2015, <u>EDMS#1513755</u>





presentations by Yngve Lev.

18/06/2012



Background muons, energy spectrum

Beam-gas backgrounds generally dominate, generated over several hundred meters from IP Followed by halo-background, of similar importance in the muon background component



Early observation : high signal + afterglow hard to distinguish signal & background







Background measured, correlation with pressure, Run I





CMS Presentation, Anne Dabrowski, LBS#50, November 2013





ALICE background issues RUN I pp, related to heating of the TDI at injection want P < $5 \times 10-9$ mbar, cannot ramp up gaseous detectors P > $2-3 \times 10-8$ mbar lost part of the pp running in 2012



Improved a bit when changing order of injection from Sept. 2012 Reported in LBS#43

LS1 : TDI vacuum upgrade and sectorization, NEG coating of ID800 chambers in LSS2 and RF inserts

- ----> much better in RUN 2 so far , typically P < 3×10-9 mbar
- --- ALICE can run from beginning of pp fills; reported on 17 Oct. in LBS#78



RUN2, general increase and more dynamic



LBS#67 14/9/2015, here ATLAS, reported by Mika Huhtinen



In Run 1 the (fill-averaged) rates were around 0.4 Hz/1E11p

In Run 2 we observe 2-5 Hz/1E11p !

Inconclusive if vacuum improves and background drops as run 2 progresses since many recent fills with low intensity vdM etc and now in intensity ramp-up.

Background/pressure seems about constant, but more scatter than in 2012

Run 2 (so far, early runs missing)







At reduced intensity -- during intensity ramp-up; MD1224 EDMS#1683784 R. Alemany et al. Local vacuum bumps (NEG heating) --- measure backgrounds in experiments 9-10 May, 11 June, 25 Sep. (ATLAS)



Fitting background vs pressure, determine "efficiency" factors vs position for ATLAS ~0.8 @ 22m, 0.025 @ 58 m, 0.027 @ 150 m for BCM, Pixel





Beam gas collisions further downstream @ 150 m contribute less to background rates as seen by the BCM and Pixel detectors in the central region around the beam pipe.

But :

Far beam-gas important for backgrounds at larger radius, seen as "fake jets", clearly observed in recent bump tests

Tend to increase trigger rates and dead time + some impact on data quality

Currently further studied and analyzed, including impact of TCTs, impact on detector muons, elastic beam-gas ...

---> Both local vacuum close to IP and vacuum in matching section important





RUN2 backgrounds generally increased and more dynamic than RUN1, but well acceptable ALICE - improved thanks to vacuum upgrade in LSS2 during LS1

Expect further increase for HiLumi -- more intensity, detectors more exposed (TAXS) increased halo component for tighter collimation / lower β^* still expect to be dominated by Beam Gas BKG ~ #protons × residual Pressure

Rough spec.: aim P < few 10-9 mbar (ALICE 5e-9 scaled to HiLumi) very important for the experiments that vacuum quality as best as (reasonably) possible encourage all affordable C-coating (ecloud minimization) and pumping upgrades with emphasis on long straight sections including matching section and beginning of arcs, avoid / monitor any local heating (kickers, TDIs)