



 $\Delta \phi$ (rad)

Motivation

- Baseline: p-Pb collisions are used to understand the medium formed in Pb-Pb collisions: jet properties, cold nuclear matter effects and collective behavior
- A double ridge (Figure 1) was observed in Pb-Pb and in high-multiplicity pp and p-Pb collisions [1-4].
- Although in Pb-Pb collisions it is due to collective motion, the origin of this phenomenon is still in debate, specially regarding the role of **h -** π



Figure 1: Jet subtracted h-p

ALICE Experiment

Detectors used in the analysis:

- Tracking: Inner Tracking System (ITS) and Time Projection Chamber (TPC)
- Particle identification: TPC and Time of Flight (TOF)
- Event trigger and centrality selection: Zero Degree



hydrodynamics and initial conditions $s_{NN} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ 1.5 < $p_{T} < 2.0 \text{ GeV}/c$ $\sum 2a_n \cos n \Delta \phi$ fit Heavy quarks



- Angular correlations of heavy quarks with charged particles access heavy quark fragment at ion and jet properties and 0.032 possible double ridge effect in small system's $\Delta \phi$ (rad)
- Charm and beauty hadrons can be identified using their semi-leptonic decay into electrons (Figure 2, branching fraction Figure 2: Heavy-quark ~10% [5]) decay into electron

5

6

8

Electron identification



- Energy loss in the TPC (d*E*/dx): $-1 < n\sigma_{TPC}^{e} < 3$
- Time of flight: $|n\sigma_{TOF}^{e}| < 3$
- Contamination estimated using TPC $n\sigma_{TPC}^{e}$ fits shown in Figure 4
- Remaining contamination (< 1% for $p_{\rm T}$ < 4 GeV/c and < 10% for $4 < p_T < 6 \text{ GeV}/c$ is subtracted using

Figure 4: TPC $n\sigma_{TPC}^{e}$ after TOF cut scaled hadron -hadron correlations Calorimeters (ZDCs) and V0s

Figure 3: ALICE Schematics as during Run 2

Procedure

Dataset: p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV recorded in 2016, ~610M events Track acceptance: $|\eta_{lab}| < 0.8$ **ZNA classes:** 0-20%, 20-60%, 60-100% Analysis steps:

- **Electron identification and hadron** contamination subtraction
- **Background** electrons identification and correction using simulations for the non-identified background
- **Event mixing correction for limited** acceptance and detector inhomogeneity

Photonic-electron tagging

- Main background sources are electrons from γ conversions and Dalitz decays of π^0 and η
- Photonic-electron tagging method[6]: unlike-sign pairs with invariant mass $m_{ee} < 140 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ are selected
- The like-sign pairs are used to subtract the combinatorial



Figure 7: Corrected inclusive

electron distributions

Event mixing

- The event-mixed distribution is obtained combining electrons with charged particles from different events with similar multiplicity and primary vertex position to correct for the pair acceptance and detector inhomogeneity. It is normalized to 1 at $\Delta \varphi = \Delta \eta = 0$
- The same event distribution (Figure 5) is divided by the mixed-event distribution (Figure 6). The corrected result is shown on Figure 7.



Figure 5: Inclusive electron same event distributions

Figure 6: Inclusive electron mixed event distributions

Results



ad	1.2 ALICE Preliminary	$(c,b) \rightarrow e$ - charged particle correlation	
	p-Pb, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$	$4.0 < p_{T}^{e} < 6.0 \text{ GeV}/c, -1.26 < y_{cms}^{e} < 0.36$	4 –
φΔβ	^{1.0} [Δη] < 1.2	$0.3 < p_{\tau}^{assoc} < 2.0 \text{ GeV}/c$	-
		0-20% ZNA class	_
Δl	⊢ _↓- '	= 20.60% ZNA class	

background (Figure 8)



Figure 9: Tagging efficiency for photonic electrons obtained with HIJING Simulation

Corrections for electron and charged-particle reconstruction efficiencies, and contamination of secondary particles

Conclusion and Outlook

With the current precision we have the opportunity to investigate whether there is an modification of the correlation functions with multiplicity, that could be induced also by collective effect

ALI-PREL-133971

 $m_{\rm ee} \, ({\rm GeV}/c^2)$

Figure 8: Invariant mass distribution for unlikesign and like-sign pairs used for the background tagging

• Non-identified background $(h_{NHFe}^{not ID})$ is subtracted using the tagged background (ULS-LS), removing the identified partner from the correlation $(h_{NHFe}^{id NoP})$ and the tagging efficiency (ε_{tag}) obtained from HIJING simulation (Figure 9) $h_{HFe} = h_{Inc.} - h_{NHFe}^{id} - \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{tag}} - 1\right) h_{NHFe}^{id NoP}$

Figure 10: Azimuthal correlations of heavy-flavour decay electrons with charged particles in different ZNA classes

- Azimuthal correlations of heavy-flavour decay electrons with charged particles measured for p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV in ZNA classes 0-20%, 20-60% and 60-100% is shown in Figure 10
- Flat baseline assumed for subtraction
- The systematic uncertainties from charged-particles tracking and secondary-particles contamination are dominant

Acknowledgement





- Extend the measurement to lower electron $p_{\rm T}$ and explore

different charged-particle $p_{\rm T}$ intervals to investigate better

possible modifications of the correlation function in

central collisions

References

[1] ALICE Collaboration, Long-range angular correlations of π , K and p in p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013) 164-177

[2] The CMS collaboration, Observation of long-range, near-side angular correlations in proton-proton collisions at the LHC. JHEP 1009 (2010) 091

[3] ALICE collaboration, Forward-central two-particle correlations in p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. Phys. Lett. B 753 (2016) 126-139

[4] The ATLAS collaboration, Measurement of the long-range pseudorapidity correlations between muons and charged particles in $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 8.16 TeV proton-lead collisions with the ATLAS detector. ATLAS-CONF-2017-006. url: http://cds.cern.ch/record/2244808

[5] C. Patrignani et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 40, 100001 (2016) and 2017 update.

[6] ALICE collaboration, Measurement of electrons from heavy-flavour hadron decays in p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV. Phys. Lett. B 754 (2016) 81-93