Electromagnetic processes in ultra peripheral lead-lead collisions with ATLAS

Marcin Guzik
(on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration)

AGH University of Science and Technology, Cracow

The 17th Conference on Elastic and Diffractive Scattering,
EDS Blois 2017,
Prague, 26-30 June 2017

The LHC and the ATLAS detector



The ATLAS detector components





2015 Pb+Pb data

$L \approx 0.5\,\mathrm{nb}^{-1}$

$\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02\,\mathrm{TeV}$





Electromagnetic interactions in Pb+Pb collisions





[Fermi, Nuovo Cim. 2 (1925) 143]
[Weizsacker, Z. Phys. 88 (1934) 612]
[Williams, Phys. Rev. 45 (10 1934) 729]

Equivalent Photon Approximation (EPA)

$$\sigma^{\mathrm{EPA}}_{\mathrm{A_1A_2}(\gamma\gamma)\to\mathrm{A_1A_2X}} = \iint \mathrm{d}\omega_1 \mathrm{d}\omega_2 \, \mathrm{n}_1\left(\omega_1\right) \mathrm{n}_2\left(\omega_2\right) \sigma_{\gamma\gamma\to\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\gamma\gamma}\right)$$

$$\text{with} \quad \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{b},\omega\right) = \frac{\mathrm{Z}^2\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}}{\pi\omega}\left|\int \mathrm{dq}_\perp \mathrm{q}_\perp^2 \frac{\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{Q}^2\right)}{\mathrm{Q}^2} \mathrm{J}_1\left(\mathrm{bq}_\perp\right)\right|^2$$

$$\mathrm{Q}^2 < \frac{1}{\mathrm{R}^2}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Pb}}^2} \approx 10^{-3}\,\mathrm{GeV}^2\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{\mathrm{max}} \approx \frac{\gamma}{\mathrm{R}}$$

LHC as a photon-photon collider

	Pb+Pb collisions	pp collisions
Pros	• AA ($\gamma\gamma$) x-sec $\propto Z^4$ • gluonic x-sec $\propto A^2$ $\Rightarrow$ lower QCD bkg. • low pile-up ($< 1\%$)	• harder EPA γ spectrum ($\omega_{\max} \sim$ TeV) • more data available ($\sim 35\,\text{fb}^{-1}$)
Cons	• softer EPA γ spectrum ($\omega_{\max} \sim 0.1$TeV) • relatively small data sample	• large pile-up (multiple interactions per bunch crossing) • more problems with triggering on low p_T objects





Measurement of high-mass di-muon pairs
[ATLAS-CONF-2016-025]



Motivation

Extension of ALICE's di-lepton mass measurement for $m_{ll} > 10\,\text{GeV}$



Trigger

- at least 1 loose muon
- total E_T in the calorimeter $< 50\,\text{GeV}$
- at least one 200 MeV track
- no more than 1 hit in inner MBTS arrays

Event selection

- 2 opposite-sign and good-quality muons with $p_T > 4\,\text{GeV}$, $|\eta| < 2.4$, $m_{\mu\mu} > 10\,\text{GeV}$
- reconstructed vertex with no additional tracks

Measurement of high-mass di-muon pairs
[ATLAS-CONF-2016-025]



ATLAS EXPERIMENT

Run: 287038
Event: 71765109
2015-11-30 23:20:10 CEST

Dimuons UPC Pb+Pb 5.02 TeV



Measurement of high-mass di-muon pairs
[ATLAS-CONF-2016-025]

Signal modelling

Starlight 1.1 (EPA + LO QED)

Total of 12069 di-muon pairs were selected in data

Corrections

- Trigger efficiency (data-driven) $\sim 80\%$
- Muon reco & identification efficiency (MC+scale factors) $\sim 90\%$
- Vertex efficiency (MC-driven) $\sim 95\%$





Measurement of high-mass di-muon pairs
[ATLAS-CONF-2016-025]

Acoplanarity distributions

- di-muon pairs expected to be produced back-to-back
- presence of small tail in acoplanarity
- two assumptions tested:
  a) tail due to background
  b) tail due to higher order QED effects (not included in Starlight)
- average of a) and b) taken as a central value and a difference as a systematic uncertainty







Measurement of high-mass di-muon pairs
[ATLAS-CONF-2016-025]

Results:

- main contribution to systematics from the luminosity uncertainty; total $\sim 10\%$
- total x-sec: $\sigma = 32.2 \pm 0.3(\text{stat.}) \pm 4.0(\text{syst.})\,\mu\text{b}$
- good agreement with Starlight in differential (with respect to $m_{\mu\mu}$, $y_{\mu\mu}$) and total x-sec's ($\sigma_{\text{Starlight}} = 31.6\,\mu\text{b}$)





Search for light-by-light scattering
[arXiv:1702.01625]

Motivation

- first direct search for $\gamma\gamma \to \gamma\gamma$ scattering
- previous indirect measurements used:
  a) multi-photon Breit-Wheeler reaction
  $(\omega + n\omega_0 \to e^+e^-)$ [PRL 79 (1997) 1626]
  b) photon splitting
  c) Delbrück scattering



Recent SM Predictions for ATLAS

[A. Szczurek et al. PRC 93 (2016) 4, 044907], [D. d'Enterria et al. PRL 111 (2013) 080405]





Search for light-by-light scattering
[arXiv:1702.01625]

Trigger

- total E_T in calorimeter between 5 and 200 GeV
- no more than one hit in inner MBTS
- less than 10 hits in the pixel detector

Event Selection

- two photons with $E_T > 3\,\text{GeV}$, $|\eta| < 2.37$
- no tracks from IP
- $m_{\gamma\gamma} > 6\,\text{GeV}$, $p_T^{\gamma\gamma} < 2\,\text{GeV}$
- $\text{Aco} = \left(1 - \frac{\Delta\phi}{\pi}\right) < 0.01$

Main sources of bkg.

- Central Exclusive Production (CEP) $gg \to \gamma\gamma$
- misidentification of electrons from $\gamma\gamma \to ee$



Search for light-by-light scattering
[arXiv:1702.01625]



Run: 287924
Event: 106830493
2015-12-12 19:41:56 CEST



Search for light-by-light scattering
[arXiv:1702.01625]

Photon Performance Studies
(done with $\gamma\gamma \to l^+l^-$ events)

- trigger efficiency studies
- γ reconstruction with hard bremsstrahlung
- γ PID with FSR radiation
- γ energy scale and resolution



Systematic Uncertainty

dominated by:

- γ reco
- γ PID

Source of uncertainty	Relative uncertainty
Trigger	5%
Photon reco efficiency	12%
Photon PID efficiency	16%
Photon energy scale	7%
Photon energy resolution	11%
Total	24%

Search for light-by-light scattering
[arXiv:1702.01625]

Results: data - 13 events, expected - 7.3 signal and 2.6 bkg. events

Selection	$\gamma\gamma \to e^+e^-$	CEP $gg \to \gamma\gamma$	Hadronic fakes	Other fakes	Total background	Signal	Data
Preselection	74	4.7	6	19	104	9.1	105
$N_{\mathrm{trk}} = 0$	4.0	4.5	6	19	33	8.7	39
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma\gamma} < 2$ GeV	3.5	4.4	3	1.3	12.2	8.5	21
Aco < 0.01	1.3	0.9	0.3	0.1	2.6	7.3	13
Uncertainty	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.7	1.5	





Search for light-by-light scattering
[arXiv:1702.01625]

Results:

- significance of 4.4σ estimated using profile likelihood method (expected significance of 3.8σ)
- x-sec measured in fiducial region of $p_T^\gamma > 3\,\text{GeV}$, $|\eta^\gamma| < 2.4$, $m_{\gamma\gamma} > 6\,\text{GeV}$, $p_T^{\gamma\gamma} < 2\,\text{GeV}$, $\text{Aco} < 0.01$
  $\sigma = 70 \pm 24\,(\text{stat.}) \pm 17\,(\text{syst.})\,\text{nb}$

SM predictions: $45 \pm 9\,\text{nb}$ ([PRL 111 (2013) 080405]),
$49 \pm 10\,\text{nb}$ ([PRC 93 (2016) no.4, 044907])





Summary

- Cross-section for the exclusive production $\gamma\gamma \to \mu^+\mu^-$ was measured with ATLAS Pb+Pb data at $\sqrt{s_{\mathrm{NN}}} = 5.02\,\mathrm{TeV}$
  - good agreement with LO QED predictions of Starlight.

- The first direct evidence for $\gamma\gamma \to \gamma\gamma$ scattering with significance of 4.4σ has been reported.
  - improvements in the precision expected with more Pb+Pb data to be collected in 2018
  - arXiv:1702.01625 accepted for Nature Physics

Thank You for Your Attention!

Backup

BSM Physics in HI - Axion Search With UPC

[arXiv:1607.06083]

$$L_a = \frac{1}{2}(\partial a)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_a^2 a^2 - \frac{1}{4}\frac{a}{\Lambda}F\tilde{F}$$



expected axion searches sensitivity



LbyL Scattering Constraint on Born-Infeld Theory

[arXiv:1703.08450]

$$\mathrm{L_{QED}} = -\tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{F}^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \mathrm{L_{BI}} = \beta^2\left(1-\sqrt{1+\tfrac{1}{2\beta^2}\mathrm{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{F}^{\mu\nu}-\tfrac{1}{6\beta^4}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\mathrm{F}}^{\mu\nu}\right)^2}\right)$$



LbyL - Photon Identification

γ cuts: $E_T > 3\,\text{GeV}$, $|\eta| < 2.37$

Shower shape variables used to γ PID

- E_{ratio} $\equiv$ ratio of the energy difference associated with the largest and second largest energy deposits to the sum of these deposits in the first layer of EM calo
- f_1 $\equiv$ fraction of energy reconstructed in the first layer with respect to the total energy of the cluster
- W_{eta2} $\equiv$ lateral width of the shower in the middle layer