


High efficiency RF power sources. I. Syratchev, CERN.

Every modern accelerator needs RF power sources to provide the electromagnetic field for the charged particle acceleration.



(Picture: Varian)

- The accelerators technology is very diverse and could require the RF signals in a wide range of the frequencies (few 100 MHz 12 GHz), peak power levels (few 100 kW 100 MW) and pulse lengths (CW -100ns).
- The **klystron** amplifiers technology is the one that covers almost all RF frequency/power demands of the modern accelerators.



Electro vacuum devices for science




USA

France


Japan


0.7 GHz, 1.5MW/ESS 1.3 GHz, 10MW/DESY 3 GHz, 60MW/SLAC 6 GHz, 50MW/PSI 12 GHz, 50MW/SLAC-CLIC






- The klystrons have been used in the particle accelerators for more than 7 decades.
- The experimental results from hundred's of different devices have shown that higher efficiency is associated with lower perveance.
- Accounting for technological and cost reasons (μA/V^{1.5}>0.25), the 73% efficiency was predicted to be the utmost limit.





Schematic of an 80 - 100 km long tunnel Aravis Copyright CERN 2014

FCC ee: CW, 0.4/0.8 GHz, PRF total= 105 MW



3.0 TeV CLIC^{e+e-}; pulsed, 1.0 GHz, P_{RF} total = **180 MW**

Average RF power needs of the large-scale HEP Accelerators Projects

The klystron efficiency impact on the CLIC 3TeV power consumption. Example of the efficiency upgrade from 70% to 85%.


	Klystron eff. 70%	Klystron eff. 85%	Difference
RF power needed for 3TeV CLIC	180 MW		
DC input power	257 MW	211 MW	-46MW
Waste heat	77 MW	31 MW	-46MW
Annual consumption (5500 h assumed)	1413 GWh	1160 GWh	-253 GWh
Annual cost (60 CHF/MWh assumed)	84.8 MCHF	69.6 MCHF	-15.2 MCHF
Electricity installation dimensioned for	257 MW	211 MW	-18%
CV installation dimensioned for	77 MW	31 MW	-60%

- Potential saving are 2.53 TWh in 10 years (152 MCHF in 10 years).
- Reduced environmental impact (cooling and ventilation)
- Reduced installation cost (stored energy in modulators).
- Reduced maintenance cost (klystron life time).

R&D on increasing the useable efficiency is worth every penny/cent invested!


The factors limiting efficient RF power extraction from the bunched beam in RF cavity

Bunch phase space in the vicinity of the klystron output cavity





Efficiency 61%




- E field expansion in the drift tubes causes beam reacceleration when it leaves the output cavity.
- Ohmic loses are proportional to the operating frequency.
- Space charge depression is a partial conversion of the beam kinetic energy into the potential DC energy of beam traveling in the drift tube.
- Bunch saturation is optimal, when all the elections populate only the useful RF phase bucket leaving the anti-bunch empty.
- Bunch congregation is a normalized elections velocity spread along the bunch. It has an optimal value for every given bunch length.
- Bunch stratification is a radial dependence of the bunch length and congregation. The ideal bunch should not have such a dependency.
- Radial bunch expansion happens during beam deceleration in the output cavity in the presence of external solenoidal magnetic field.
- Reflected electrons could be generated if some of the above effects are not balanced.

Driven by klystron general parameters.

Driven by RF design and space charge effects.

The ultimate power extraction efficiency in the linear beam devices

Example of **0.8 GHz FCC**_{ee} klystron. Voltage 133 kV, Current 12.6 A (μ P=0.26 μ A/V^{3/2})



The **High Efficiency International Klystron Activity** has been initiated at CERN (2013-2017) targeting the improvement of klystron efficiency performance through the development of the new electron **bunching methods** and the new reliable **simulation tools** adopted for the massive optimization processes.


The new bunching technologies have been developed to balance the space charge forces and RF impedances in order to provide the full bunch saturation with an optimal congregation:

- Core Oscillation Method (COM) relies on the de-bunching/bunching alternation between space-charge forces and impedances of the RF cavities. COM requires the long bunching circuit. Cost effective solution for the high frequency devices.
- **Core stabilization Method (CSM)** implies the RF cavities with higher harmonic number (2nd and 3rd) that allows the fast collecting of the peripheral electrons into the bunch. Most suitable for the **low frequency** devices.

The fast and reliable computer code for the klystron simulations (**KlyC**) has been developed at CERN. KlyC is in a public domain and now is adopted by Labs, Universities and Industrial partners in Europe, USA, Japan, China, Russia and India.

Using the new tools and methods, a number of the high efficiency klystrons for the large scale accelerators (LHC, FCC and CLIC) has been developed at CERN and few completed designs have already been communicated to the industry for the technical evaluation and prototyping.


High Efficiency (80%) 1.4 MW, 0.8 GHz, CW FCC_{ee} CSM klystron (CERN/Thales).




Parametric Scaling Procedure. High Efficiency (70%) 350kW, 0.4 GHz, LHC klystron upgrade.

Design of any new klystron is rather time consuming. It requires high level of the related experience/expertise. The **PSP** was developed at CERN as a set of semi-analytical procedures that allow to scale the existing klystron design to the new one (beam power, frequency and perveance) and to preserve the bunching processes.

Design cycle ~ 6 month







	LHC	LHC/Thales
Frequency, GHZ	0.4	0.4
Beam power, MW	0.5	0.5
Perveance,	0.72	0.72
RF power, MW	0.35	0.30
Efficiency, %	70	60
	Beam power, MW Perveance, RF power, MW	Frequency, GHZ 0.4 Beam power, MW 0.5 Perveance, 0.72 RF power, MW 0.35

Design cycle ~ 2 weeks


Re-used housing, electron gun and solenoid






High Efficiency (65%) 50 MW, 12 GHz, CLIC COM klystrons (CERN/CPI).

Bunch phase space in the vicinity of the klystron output cavity





Tailored Technologies. High Efficiency (85%) 24 MW, 1 GHz, CLIC MBK/2S klystron.

Industrial CLIC MBK prototypes delivers70 % RF power production efficiency






The new klystron bunching technologies cannot be directly adopted to the CLIC MBK:

- COM requires very long (5m) RF circuit.
- In **CMS**, the 3rd harmonic cavity is not compatible with MB-type cavities layout.


The CLIC MBK with **two high voltage stages**. Electron efficiency measured in PIC simulations is **84%**.



Conceptual features:

- 1. Bunching at a low voltage (high perveance). Very compact RF bunching circuit.
- 2. Bunched beam acceleration and cooling (reducing $\Delta p/p$) along the short DC voltage gap.
- 3. Final power extraction from high voltage (low perveance) beam. **High efficiency.**


High Efficiency klystrons industrialization efforts.




Commercial prototype of the 50 MW HE X-band (11.424 GHz) COM klystron by BVERI (China)



Frequency	11.424GHz	
Peak power	50.4MW	
Repetition rate	10Hz	
Pulse width	1.5µs	
Power Gain	50.9dB	
Efficiency	60.4%	
-3dB bandwidth	36MHz	
Beam voltage	446kV	
beam current	187A	
Focusing	Solenoid	



Efficiency performance of the selected commercial klystrons and the new HE klystrons (July 2019).



micro Perveance (μA/V^{1.5})

























Special thanks to many colleagues from Labs, Universities and industry who have been actively involved into the high efficiency klystrons development:

- T. Anno, A. Baikov, A. Beunas, O. Brunner, G. Burt, J. Cai, D. Constable,
- I. Guzilov, P. Hamel, V. Hill, A. Jensen, E. Jensen, T. Kimura, A. Leggieri,
- R. Kowalczyk, C. Lingwood, Z. Liu, R. Marchesin, C. Marrelli, J. Neilson,
 - F. Peauger, J. Plouin, V. Teryaev, B. Weatherford...