

- T2K (Tokai to Kamioka)
- $v_{\mu}$  and  $\overline{v}_{\mu}$  disappearance
- $\overline{v}_{e}$  appearance
- $v_{e}$  appearance and  $\delta_{CP}$
- T2K-II
- The future
- PRL Editor's Choice paper with the 2017 analysis PRL **121**, 171802 (2018)
- Results also available from Neutrino 2018: "T2K Status, Results, and Plans", Talk at XXVIII International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, 4-9 June 2018, Heidelberg, Germany, DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.1286751, URL: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1286751

Dr Laura Kormos on behalf of the T2K Collaboration



EPS-HEP July 10-17, 2019





- J-PARC beam •  $v_{\mu}$
- Near detectors:
  INGRID on-axis
  - ND280 off-axis
- Far detector:
  SK
  - off-axis







#### **Mixing of three neutrinos**



#### Current knowledge $\theta_{12} \sim 33^{\circ}$ $\theta_{23} \sim 45^{\circ}$ $\theta_{13} \sim 9^{\circ}$ $\Delta m_{21}^{2} \sim 7.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^{2}$ $\Delta m_{32}^{2} \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^{2}$ $\Delta m_{32}^{2} \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^{2}$ $\Delta m_{11}^{2} = m_{11}^{2} - m_{12}^{2}$ EPS-HEP July 10-17, 2019



Dr Laura Kormos, Lancaster University





#### **Mixing of three neutrinos**







#### **Oscillations at T2K**





#### **Oscillations at T2K**



- Tests CPT symmetry
- LO<sup>\*</sup> dependence on  $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$ 
  - hard to distinguish  $\theta_{23}$ >45° from  $\theta_{23}$ <45°
- LO dependence on  $|\Delta m^2_{32}|$

doesn't depend on sign of mass splitting

(\* Leading Order)

EPS-HEP July 10-17, 2019





- Tests CP symmetry
- LO dependence on  $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$

• can separate  $\theta_{23}$ >45° from  $\theta_{23}$ <45°

- Sub-leading dependence on sin(δ<sub>CP</sub>)
  can detect CP violation (~27% effect)
- Sub-leading dependence on  $\pm \Delta m^2_{_{32}}$ 
  - ~10% matter effect







#### The T2K beam



- Primarily  $v_{\mu}$  beam from  $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ + v_{\mu}$ (forward horn current, FHC, or neutrino mode)
- Reverse polarity for  $\overline{v}_{\mu}$  beam:

$$\pi \rightarrow \mu + \bar{\nu}_{\mu}$$

(reverse horn current, RHC, or antineutrinomode)

EPS-HEP July 10-17, 2019



23 Jan. 2010 – 31 May 2018 POT total: 3.16 x 10<sup>21</sup>  $\nu$ -mode 1.51 x 10<sup>21</sup> (47.83%)  $\bar{\nu}$ -mode 1.65 x 10<sup>21</sup> (52.17%)





#### **Near detectors**

#### INGRID

- Identical modules in cross
- Iron and plastic scintillator
- tracking calorimeter
- Monitors  $v, \overline{v}$  beam direction and stability



EPS-HEP July 10-17, 2019





- Off-axis (2.5°) detector
- 0.2 T magnet
- Trackers, calorimeters, muon range detectors
  - Water, carbon, lead, targets.
  - Beam v<sub>e</sub>, flux, cross sections, exotics

Dr Laura Kormos, Lancaster University

#### **TZR** Far detector: Super-Kamiokande

- 50 kton Water-Cherenkov detector
- 2.5° off axis (same as ND280)
- Excellent  $e/\mu$  separation,  $\pi^0$  rejection
- Select 1-ring, CCQE-enriched sample
- Select CC1 $\pi^+$  sample ( $v_e$  appearance)
- v kinematics derived from lepton







\* Charged-current quasi-elastic



EPS-HEP July 10-17, 2019

Dr Laura Kormos, Lancaster University



- Measure N events
- Compare events observed at near and far detectors
- Extract oscillation probability



• 
$$N_{ND} \sim \Phi_{ND} \cdot \sigma_{ND} \cdot \epsilon_{ND}$$

Observable Flux Cross section Detector response

• 
$$N_{FD} \sim \Phi_{FD} \cdot \sigma_{FD}$$
 •  $\epsilon_{FD} \cdot P_{Osc}$ 





EPS-HEP July 10-17, 2019

# **EXAMPLE** Flux prediction and uncertainties



- Flux simulation (FLUKA/GEANT3/ GCALOR)
- Tuned using external data (NA61/SHINE hadron production measurements)
- Intrinsic v<sub>e</sub> component ~0.5% at flux peak





![](_page_11_Figure_7.jpeg)

ND280: Neutrino Mode,  $v_{\mu}$ 

EPS-HEP July 10-17, 2019

Dr Laura Kormos, Lancaster University

Lancaster University

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

- NEUT generator tuned to external data from MiniBooNE, MINERvA, bubble chambers, etc
- Examples:
  - CCQE:
    - Relativistic Fermi Gas (RFG)
    - Random Phase Approximation (RPA)
  - CC-RES:
    - pion reinteractions inside the nucleus

![](_page_12_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_10.jpeg)

EPS-HEP July 10-17, 2019

Lancaster Star University

#### **TZR** ND280 data fitting and constraints

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Showing only 1 (CC0π) of 14 ND280 data samples: 6 samples in v-mode and 8 in v-mode
- Fit tunes ~780 parameters (showing only FSI cross-section parameters)

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

Lancaster 🏁 University 🏴

# Joint analysis with $v_{\mu}$ , $\overline{v}_{\mu}$ , $v_{e}$ and $\overline{v}_{e}$

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

5

15

			PREDICTED				
		SAMPLE	$\delta_{0} = -\pi/2$	$\delta_0 = 0$	$\delta_0 = +\pi/2$	$\delta_{0} = \pi$	OBSERVEL
	Analysis frameworks	$\nu$ -mode $\mu$ CCQE	272.34	271.97	272.30	272.74	24
•	Frequentist with likelihood fit to • $E_{rec} / \theta_{lep}$ for $v_e / \overline{v}_e$ • E for $v / \overline{v}$	$\overline{\nu}$ -mode $\mu$ CCQE	139.47	139.12	139.47	139.82	14
		v-mode e CCQE	74.46	62.26	50.59	62.78	7
		ν-mode e CC1π	7.02	6.10	4.94	5.87	1

 $\overline{v}$ -mode e CCQE

- Frequentist with likelihood fit to
  - $p_{lep}/\theta_{lep}$  for  $v_e/v_e$
  - $E_{rec}$  for  $v_{\mu}/v_{\mu}$
- Bayesian with Markov Chain MC
  - E<sub>rec</sub> for all samples
  - simultaneous fit with near detector

Events observed at SK vs ND data-tuned predictions under oscillation hypothesis using NH, 2018 PDG  $\theta_{13}$ ,  $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ = 0.528.

21.75

19.33

19.57

17.15

15 events observed in  $CC1\pi^+$  sample, with prediction of 7.02 max. p-value for fluctuation this significant in any one of the five samples is 12%.

# $v_{\mu}$ and $\overline{v}_{\mu}$ disappearance: Precision era of $\theta_{23}$ and $\Delta m^{2}_{atm}$

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### $\bar{v}_{e}$ appearance search

- Compare consistency with PMNS  $\overline{v}_{e}$ appearance ( $\beta$ =1) and no  $\overline{v}_{e}$ appearance ( $\beta$ =0)
  - if β=0 expect 9.4 events
  - if β=1 expect 17.1 events
  - Data = 15 events
- Use rate+shape analyses:

β	Hypothesis	P-value
β=0	NO appearance	p=0.024
β=1	PMNS appearance	p=0.261

•  $\beta=0$  excluded at  $2\sigma \implies NO$  appearance is excluded at  $2\sigma$ .

![](_page_16_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

## $v_e$ and $\overline{v}_e$ sample: $\delta_{CP}$

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### $v_e$ appearance: $\theta_{13}$

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **T2K-II: upgrade beam and detectors**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

- 1<sup>st</sup> stage of J-PARC main ring power supply upgrade approved
  - *Near future*: Aiming for 750 kW beam power (currently 485 kW)
- T2K-II extends T2K run to 20 x  $10^{21}$  POT (stops ~ 2027 when HK starts) ۲ Benjamin Quilain
- Long term: beamline upgrade to reach 1.3 MW •

Talk by

after coffee

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

## ND280 upgrade

See slides from previous talk.

# ND280 upgrade configuration

- Replace (most of) P0D with scintillator detector + 2 high-angle TPCs and TOF.
  - improve acceptance for largeangle tracks.
- Keep current "tracker" (2 FGDs + 3 TPCs) & upstream part of P0D, as well as ECal, magnet & SMRD.
  - keeps continuity and forward acceptance.

 T2K-II goal: reduce detector systematics to ~4%

- improve acceptance, timing, efficiency for short tracks.
- WAGASCI/BabyMIND collaboration has become part of T2K.
  - (3D scintillator detector) Talk by Etam Noah Saturday
- CERN support, test beam was last summer.
- **TDR:** T2K Collaboration. T2K ND280 Upgrade -Technical Design Report. arXiv. 2019 Jan 11.
- Aim to install upgraded ND280 in 2021.

EPS-HEP July 10-17, 2019

Dr Laura Kormos, Lancaster University

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

## SK upgrade

- Additional SK data samples under study
  - CC1 $\pi^+$ , NC $\pi^0$  in both  $\overline{v}$  and  $\overline{v}$ -mode
- SK-Gd project
  - enhance neutron detection
  - improve low-energy  $\overline{v}_{e}$  detection
  - may provide wrong-sign background constraint in v<sub>e</sub> -mode data.
- Repairs to SK tank finished.
- Load  $Gd_2(SO_4)_3$  in stages up to 0.2%.

![](_page_21_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Summary

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

- T2K has a rich and varied neutrino physics programme
- Precise measurement of  $\theta_{_{23}}$  ,  $\Delta m_{_{32}}^2$
- First suggestions of CPV in the lepton sector
- Hints of direct  $\overline{v}_{u} \rightarrow \overline{v}_{e}$  observation
- First (mild) indications of neutrino mass hierarchy
- Competitive (sometimes the only) neutrino cross-section measurements (talk by Georgios Christodoulou on Saturday)
- Constraints on neutrino interaction models, nuclear models
- Limits on  $\nu_{\rm s}$  , Lorentz Violation, etc are in progress or published (not covered)
- T2K-II: beam, ND280, SK upgrades until HK! (previous talk)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

EPS-HEP July 10-17, 2019

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Off-axis technique**

- Enhanced oscillation beam energy tuned to oscillation max
- Enhanced CCQE fraction

 $v_l$ 

- Less intrinsic v<sub>e</sub> contamination
- Less Neutral Current background

![](_page_24_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_8.jpeg)

2

 $E_{\nu}$  (GeV)

![](_page_24_Figure_9.jpeg)

EPS-HEP July 10-17, 2019

Dr Laura Kormos, Lancaster University

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

EPS-HEP July 10-17, 2019

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Flux uncertainties**

- Beamline uncertainties
  - Proton beam parameters
  - Focusing horns
  - Component alignment

- Hadron production uncertainties
  - NA61/SHINE uncerts
  - Re-interactions, Secondary production

![](_page_26_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

EPS-HEP July 10-17, 2019

#### Dr Laura Kormos, Lancaster University

Lancaster University

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

EPS-HEP July 10-17, 2019

Dr Laura Kormos, Lancaster University

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Flux predictions at SK

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### from Mark Hartz, KEK Aug 2017

#### FITQUN RECONSTRUCTION ALGORITHM

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Previous T2K analyses have used the event reconstruction algorithm APFit
- For this result, event reconstruction at Super-K updated to use the fiTQun algorithm
- ► fiTQun uses a charge and time likelihood for a given ring(s) hypotheses
  - Maximizes likelihood for each event
  - Complete charge and time information in the likelihood leads to improved event reconstruction
- fiTQun previously used in T2K analyses for the rejection of π<sup>0</sup> from electron neutrino candidates

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### from Mark Hartz, KEK Aug 2017

THE FIVE SAMPLES Using the reconstructed fiTQun quantities, five samples are selected:  $\mathbf{v}_{\mu}(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{\mu}) + N \rightarrow \mu^{-}(\mu^{+}) + X$ Neutrino Mode (forward horn current FHC): (CCQE) 1 Muon-like Ring,  $\leq 1$  decay electron (CCQE) 1 Electron-like Ring, 0 decay electrons  $(CC1\pi)$  1 Electron-like Ring, 1 decay electron  $\mathbf{v}_{e}(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{e}) + N \rightarrow e^{-}(e^{+}) + X$  $v_e + N \rightarrow e^+ \pi^+ + X$ Antineutrino Mode (reverse horn current RHC): (CCQE) 1 Muon-like Ring,  $\leq 1$  decay electron (CCQE) 1 Electron-like Ring, 0 decay electrons = detected particles No antineutrino mode  $CC1\pi$  sample due to  $\pi^$ absorption