

Superior radiation hardness of 3D pixel sensors up to unprecedented fluences of $3e16 \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$

Jörn Lange, Sebastian Grinstein, Stefano Terzo, David Vázquez Furelos


IFAE Barcelona

Maria Manna, Giulio Pellegrini, David Quirion

CNM-IMB-CSIC Barcelona


Hiroshima Symposium, Okinawa, 13 December 2017

3D Silicon Pixel Detectors Overview




IBL

AFP



HL-LHC



3D Silicon detectors: radiation-hard sensor technology

- Electrode distance decoupled from thickness
→ fast charge collection, trapping reduced

S. Parker et al.

Already applied in ATLAS IBL, AFP, CT-PPS

- Radiation hardness up to $5e15 \text{ n}_{eq}/\text{cm}^2$ required and proven

Future HEP applications require more radiation hardness and small pixel sizes

HL-LHC pixel detectors (2024)

- Full 4000 fb⁻¹: $2.5e16 \text{ n}_{eq}/\text{cm}^2$ innermost layer (ATLAS ITk) L. Rossi's talk
- But FE chip not specified to be so radiation hard M. Garcia-Sciveres' talk
→ Baseline requirement: $1.3e16 \text{ n}_{eq}/\text{cm}^2$ (replacement of 2 inner layers)
- 50x50 μm² or 25x100 μm² pixel size to cope with occupancy

FCC-hh (far future)


- $7e17 \text{ n}_{eq}/\text{cm}^2$ G. Kramberger's talk

Aim: Develop new generation of ultra-radiation-hard 3D pixel detectors

see also H. Oide's talk for FBK

- In the framework of ATLAS HL-LHC pixel upgrade
- But exploring limits of technology

3D Pixel Strategy Barcelona





1. Tested IBL/AFP generation

- 230 μm thick, double-sided CNM process, 50x250 μm^2 2E FEI4 pixels
- Radiation hardness demonstrated up to ITk fluence ($9\text{e}15 \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$)

J. Lange et al., 2016 JINST 11 C11024

2. Develop prototype small-pitch 3D pixels matched to FEI4

- Pixel size 50x50 and 25x100 μm^2
 - Reduced electrode distance → more radiation hard
 - Only one 50x50 μm^2 sensor pixel readout by 50x250 μm^2 chip pixel, rest shorted to ground → 20% active area
- Double-sided 230 μm CNM run J. Lange et al., arXiv:1707.01045
 - **This study**
- Recently produced thinner 100-150 μm single-sided 3D



D. Vázquez Furelos et al., 2017 JINST 12 C01026

3. Produce RD53A 3D pixels (on-going)

- “Real” 50x50 and 25x100 μm^2

Beam Tests and Irradiations




■ Irradiations

- KIT 23 MeV p: uniform 5×10^{15} and 1×10^{16} n_{eq}/cm^2
- PS IRRAD 23 GeV p: non-uniform 12 or 20 mm beam
→ allows probing a large range of fluences on single pixel device
 - Reached up to 3×10^{16} n_{eq}/cm^2
- FEI4 chip survived harsh doses beyond specs in many cases! (though not all)

Many thanks to
F. Ravotti, G. Pezzullo,
F. Bögelspacher,
A. Dierlamm


PS1 20 mm FWHM




■ Many beam tests at CERN SPS H6, 120 GeV pions

Device	Irradiations	Fluence peak step [1×10^{16} n_{eq}/cm^2]	Fluence peak total [1×10^{16} n_{eq}/cm^2]	Annealing	Beam test
7781-W4-C1, 50x50	PS1 20mm 2016	1.5	1.5	7d@RT	Sep 2016
	PS3 20mm 2017	1.1	2.6	18d@RT	July 2017
	PS4 20mm 2017	0.6	3.1	15d@RT	Not working
7781-W5-C2, 50x50	KIT1 2016	0.5	0.5	8d@RT	Nov2016
	PS3 20mm 2017	1.0	1.5	18d@RT	Not working
7781-W3-C1, 50x50	KIT1 2016	0.5	0.5	8d@RT	Nov 2016
	PS2 12mm 2016	0.7	1.2	15d@RT	
	PS3 20mm 2017	1.1	2.3	18d@RT	July 2017
	PS4 20mm 2017	0.5	2.8	15d@RT	Oct 2017
	PS5 20mm 2017	~0.5	~3.3	21d@RT	2018?
7781-W4-E, 50x50	KIT2 2017	1.0	1.0	as irradi.	July2017
				7d@RT	Sep+Oct 2017
7781-W3-E, 50x50	Unirr.				Sep 2017

Efficiencies before Irradiation




J. Lange et al., 2016 JINST 11 C11024 (plus new data)




M. Manna, 30th RD50 Workshop Krakow 2017

- Test beam with EUDET/AIDA telescope
 - Reference tracks with few μm resolution
→ select Region of Interest (ROI) within active region and away from telescope resolution effects
- 98% plateau efficiency starting at 0 V!
 - Consistent with high charge collection at 0 V in small-pitch 3D strips
 - Thanks to small electrode distance (28-35 μm)




Uniform Irradiation at KIT




- ToT and eff. very uniform over pixel: effect of 3D columns only dominant at low V
- ToT: high charge collection efficiency after irradi.
- Efficiency: already 97% at 40 (100) V for 5e15 (1e16) $n_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$ at 0° tilt
 - Significantly better than for standard IBL/AFP FEI4
 - Further improves at 15° tilt

3D CNM, 50x50 μm^2 1E, d=230 μm , 1ke⁻ 10ToT@20ke, p irradi (KIT)




CNM 230 μm , p irradi (KIT)



PS Non-Uniform Irradiation - Methodology


- Fluence normalization obtained with 20x20 mm² Al dosimetry foil
- Profile from
 - Beam profile monitors: 12-20 mm FWHM
 - Also made fluence maps by pixelating Al foil
- Beam position
 - From Al foil profile
 - For first irradiations also in-situ from pixel measurements (eff., noise, threshold before tuning, TDAC after tuning etc.)



Final fluence maps for analysed data


W4-C1 PS1

7781-W4-C1 upto Period PS1




W4-C1 PS3

7781-W4-C1 upto Period PS3




W3-C1 PS3

7781-W3-C1 upto Period PS3





W3-C1 PS4

7781-W3-C1 upto Period PS4




PS Non-Uniform Irradiation - Uncertainties

- Introduce variations by ± 1 mm in beam σ , beam centre offset, Al foil offset (both x, y)
- Vary in all combinations
- Determine maximum deviation from default value (envelope) for all variation combinations
→ take as systematic uncertainty (conservative)
- 15-20% uncertainty at highest fluence**, 45% (70%) at lowest fluence for 20 (12) mm beam




Efficiency vs. Fluence


W4-C1 PS1




W4-C1 PS3



W3-C1 PS3




W3-C1 PS4



- Large range of fluence on single device
- Efficiency decreases with fluence at low voltage
- Efficiency improves with voltage
- NB: Fluence uncertainties large at low fluence range (~50%)


Efficiency vs. V Compilation

3D CNM, 50x50 μm^2 1E, d=230 μm , 1.0 ke $^-$, 0 $^\circ$



- Compile only at (or close to) highest fluence with lowest uncertainty ($\sim 15\text{-}20\%$)
- Also KIT uniform irradiation added
- PS+KIT agree well at $1\text{e}16 \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$
- **98% plateau efficiency reached even after $2.7\text{e}16 \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$**


Operation Voltage vs. Fluence




- $V_{97\%}$: estimate of operation voltage
- Highly improved operation voltage for **50x50 μm^2** 3D compared to **IBL/AFP** generation
- At ITk baseline fluence of $1.3 \times 10^{16} n_{eq}/cm^2$ only 100 V needed
 - Thin planar needs ~ 500 V
N. Savic et al., JINST 11 (2016) C12008
- **Even at $2.7 \times 10^{16} n_{eq}/cm^2$: $V_{97\%} < 150$ V**

IV and Power Dissipation

CNM 230 μm , p irrads (KIT), -25°C, 1 week@RT anneal.




CNM 230 μm , p irrads (KIT), -25°C, 1 week@RT anneal.




- Important parameters for thermal run away
- From one pixel device only extractable for uniform irrads. (KIT)
 - At fixed V, 50x50 μm^2 has higher I_{leak} , but same at $V_{97\%}$
 - Power dissipation improves due to lower $V_{97\%}$
- For non-uniform PS irradiation PS, $V_{97\%}$ from test beam efficiency combined with n-irradiated 3D strip IV
- Considerably lower P than for IBL 3D gen. and planar devices (25 mW/cm^2 at $1\text{e}16 \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$) N. Savic et al., JINST 11 (2016) C12008

Different 3D Geom., $d=230 \mu\text{m}$, 0°, -25°C, 1 week@RT anneal.




J. Lange et al., arXiv:1707.01045 (plus new data)



New CNM 3D Runs: Thin + RD53A



See poster by G. Pellegrini:
3D-Si single sided sensors for the innermost
layer of the ATLAS pixel upgrade



- Thin 3D run with small-pitch FEI4 prototypes just finished
 - 100 and 150 μm single-sided on SOI wafers
 - Probing and dicing on-going





- 3D runs with RD53A sensors on-going
 - Single-sided 72, 100+150 μm on SOI and double-sided 200 μm
 - 50x50 μm^2 1E, 25x100 μm^2 1E and 2E
 - Production on-going \rightarrow expected for end of year
 - UBM + flip-chip to be done in-house by CNM + IFAE

→ sensors expected on time for arrival of RD53A

Conclusions and Outlook


- Studied 230 μm CNM 3D production with small pixel size up to **unprecedented fluences of $3\text{e}16 \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$** beyond full ITk fluences
 - First time pixel devices irradiated to such high fluences (and survived)
 - Highly reduced operational voltage and power dissipation wrt. IBL/AFP generation and planar after irradiation**
 - 98% efficiency at 0 V before irradiation
 - 97% efficiency at 100 V and 13 mW/cm² for $1.4\text{e}16 \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$
→ safe operation at ITk baseline fluence (1 replacement)
 - 97% efficiency reached at <150 V after $2.7\text{e}16 \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$
 - No indication that limit has been reached...
- Single-sided thin (72-150 μm) 3D productions under way at CNM
 - Also with RD53A-chip geometry in addition to FEI4 prototypes
→ expected to have even better performance with new optimised readout chip




Unprecedented radiation hardness of 3D pixel detectors demonstrated

BACKUP


3D Detector Principle



Planar Technology



3D Technology



C. Da Via et al., NIM A 694 (2012) 321

Advantages

- Electrode distance decoupled from sensitive detector thickness
 - lower $V_{\text{depletion}}$
 - less power dissipation, cooling
 - smaller drift distance
 - faster charge collection
 - less trapping
- Active or slim edges are natural feature of 3D technology


Challenges

- Complex production process
 - long production time
 - lower yields
 - higher costs
- Higher capacitance
 - higher noise
- Non-uniform response from 3D columns and low-field regions
 - small efficiency loss at 0°

Radiation-hard and active/slim-edge technology


Different 3D Technologies

- Double sided (available at CNM)
 - IBL/AFP-proven technology
 - No handling wafers needed
→ thickness limited to $\geq 200 \mu\text{m}$ and wafers to 4"
 - 3D columns $\sim 8 \mu\text{m}$ diameter
- Single sided (available at FBK, SINTEF, CNM)
 - On handling wafer (SOI or Si-Si bonding)
→ 6" possible (FBK, SINTEF)
 - Active thickness range 50-150 μm being explored
 - Narrow 3D columns $\sim 5 \mu\text{m}$ possible




Double-sided

G. Pellegrini, CNM



SOI


G. Pellegrini, CNM



Si-Si bonding

M. Boscardin, FBK




First Small-Pixel CNM Run for HL-LHC



D. Vázquez Furelos et al., 2017 JINST 12 C01026


J. Lange et al., 2016 JINST 11 C11024

- Run 7781 finished in Dec 2015 (RD50 project)
- 5x 4" wafers, p-type, 230 μm double-sided, non-fully-passing-through columns (a la IBL)
- Increased aspect ratio 26:1 (column diameter 8 μm)
- First time small pixel size $25 \times 100 + 50 \times 50 \mu\text{m}^2$** (folded into FEI4 and FEI3 geometries)
- Also strips and diodes down to $25 \times 25 \mu\text{m}^2$ 3D unit cell



Sample Characterisations

Pixel IV




Pixel Geom.	C/el. [fF] (*)	C/pixel [fF] (*)	Noise [e]
25x100 2E	42	84	160
50x50 1E	37	37	105-140


(*) from pad diodes

D. Vázquez Furelos et al.,
2017 JINST 12 C01026

Strips charge collection (unirr.)



Strips laser scan
25x100 μm^2 , 1e16, 150 V





L. Simon

- Pixel devices bump-bonded and assembled at IFAE
- IVs
 - $V_{BD} \sim 15\text{-}40\text{ V}$
 - Improved in new productions after CNM process optimization
S. Grinstein et al., JINST 12 (2017) C01086
- $C < 100\text{ fF/pixel}$ (within RD53 limit)
- Noise 100-160 e similar to standard 3D FEI4s
- Sr90 source scans on pixels
 - Similar charge as in standard FEI4s
- Sr90 and laser scans on strips
 - 17 ke charge as expected for both 50x50 μm^2 and 30x100 μm^2 (unirr.)
 - Almost full charge even at 0-2 V
 \rightarrow low V_{dep} due to low L_{el}
 - Uniform even after 1e16 n_{eq}/cm^2
 - Measurements up to 2e16 n_{eq}/cm^2 in progress



Efficiency before Irradiation

In-Pixel Efficiency (0° tilt)



- Select ROI within active region
→ avoid inactive area + telescope smearing
- Efficiency in ROI
 - 97% already from 1 V at 0°: very early depleted due to small electrode distance
 - Improvable by tilting: avoids hitting only low-efficiency regions

J. Lange et al., 2016 JINST 11 C11024



State of the Art: IBL/AFP Generation

- 230 μm thick sensors by CNM and FBK (double-sided)
- FEI4s: $50 \times 250 \mu\text{m}^2$ 2E, 67 μm inter-el. distance
- Radiation hardness up to $5 \times 10^{15} \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$ established (IBL)
- Explored limits further with irradiations up to HL-LHC fluences
 - At $9.4 \times 10^{15} \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$: 97.8% efficiency at 170 V!
 - Power dissipation 15 mW/cm² at $1 \times 10^{16} \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$ and -25°C

→ **Good performance at HL-LHC fluences even for existing 3D generation**

J. Lange et al., 2016 JINST 11 C11024

