

# Measurements of charmonium production and $v_2$ coefficient in p-Pb collisions

#### C.Cheshkov On behalf of ALICE Collaboration

#### **IPNL Lyon & CERN**

4th International Conference on the Initial Stages in High-Energy Nuclear Collisions 20/09/2017, Cracow, Poland

### Outline



- J/ $\psi$  production in p-Pb @ 8.16 TeV

ψ(2S) suppression in p-Pb @ 8.16 TeV (new!)

J/ψ v<sub>2</sub> in p-Pb @ 5.02 and 8.16 TeV (new!)

## ALICE





Silicon Pixel detector (SPD)  $|\eta_{lab}| < 1.4$ Primary vertex reconstruction Primary charged particles reconstructed via SPD tracklets (vertex + 2 hits in SPD layers)  $< p_{T} > ~ 0.75$  GeV/c

Forward Muon spectrometer  $\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^ 2.5 < y_{lab} < 4$ Acceptance down to 0  $p_{\tau}$ 

#### V0 detector

-3.7<η<sub>lab</sub><1.7 + 2.8<η<sub>lab</sub><5.1 Minimum-bias trigger Event-multiplicity selection with VOM (sum of signal from rings on both sides of IP)

20/09/2017

#### <u>Trigger</u>

V0 Minimum-bias Unlike-sign muon pair  $\mu$  efficiency ~50 % at  $p_{\tau}$ =0.5 GeV/c

#### Data sets

- Run1 : 5.02 TeV
- Run2 : 8.16 TeV (part taken at 5.02 TeV)
- Asymmetric beam energies
  - $\rightarrow$  c.m.s. shifted by 0.465 in direction of proton beam
- Both beam configurations
  - p-Pb : proton towards the MUON spectrometer 2.03 < y < 3.53

- Pb-p : Pb towards the MUON spectrometer -4.46 < y < -2.96
- Integrated luminosities :

	p-Pb	Pb-p
5.02 TeV	~8.0 nb <sup>-1</sup>	~5.8 nb⁻¹
8.16 TeV	~8.7 nb <sup>-1</sup>	~12.9 nb <sup>-1</sup>







## ψ(2S) suppression @ 8.16 TeV



- Strong suppression of  $\psi(2S)$  wrt J/ $\psi$ , stronger in backward direction, already seen @ 5.02 TeV
  - Formation time >> crossing time  $\rightarrow$  need final-state effects
    - Hadron gas (comovers model) ?
    - Hot medium effects ?
    - Comoving partons (CGC + ICEM model)
- $R_{pPb}$ : no sizeable  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  dependence, both in y and  $p_T$
- Comovers model → a bit higher suppression @8.16 TeV wrt 5.02 TeV (due to higher hadron densities), more pronounced in backward direction
  - Data uncertainties do not allow to tell if that is the case



#### **Backward** Forward $R_{ m pPb}$ 1.8 $R_{\rm pPb}$ ALICE, Inclusive $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ , -4.46 < $\gamma_{max}$ < -2.96 ALICE, Inclusive $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ , 2.03 < $y_{\text{ome}}$ < 3.53 1.6 p-Pb, $s_{NN}$ = 5.02 TeV (JHEP 12 (2014) 073) p-Pb, Vs<sub>NN</sub>= 5.02 TeV (JHEP 12 (2014) 073) 1.4 1.4 p-Pb, \s<sub>NN</sub>= 8.16 TeV (Preliminary) p-Pb, \s\_NN= 8.16 TeV (Preliminary) 1.2 1.2 0.8 0.8 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2 0 0 2 10 2 10 8 8 4 6 12 4 6 12 $p_{_{\rm T}}$ (GeV/c) $p_{\tau}$ (GeV/c) ALI-PREL-137875 ALI-PREL-137879 C.Cheshkov 20/09/2017

# $J/\psi v_2$ in the small p-Pb system?



- Significant  $v_2$  in central and semi-central Pb-Pb @ 5.02 TeV
  - At low  $p_{T}$

*v*<sub>2</sub> inherited from recombined charm quarks, described fairly well by transport model of Rapp et al. (Nucl.Phys.A943 (2015) 147–158) and Zhuang et al. (Phys.Rev.C89 (2014) 054911)

– At high  $p_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{T}}$ 

 $v_2$  data > predictions in which it comes from path-length dependent suppression in QGP



- In p-Pb
  - Much less charm quarks produced  $\rightarrow\,$  recombination negligible
  - Small system size  $\rightarrow$  negligible path-length dependence
  - Does J/ $\psi$  participate in collective behaviour of p-Pb collision system ?

C.Cheshkov

## Collectivity in p-Pb

 v<sub>2</sub>>0 in two- and multiparticle correlations, clear signs of collectivity

• Mass ordering in  $V_2(p_T)$ 

 Forward/backward muons ν<sub>2</sub>>0 even at high p<sub>T</sub> dominated by heavy-flavour decays





## Measurement of J/ $\psi v_2$



- Azimuthal correlations between forward/backward J/ψ and mid-rapidity charged particles
- Correlations expressed as associated SPD-tracklet yields per dimuon(J/ψ) trigger

$$Y^{ij}(M_{\mu\mu}, p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mu\mu}, \Delta\varphi, \Delta\eta) = \frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{trig}}^{ij}(M_{\mu\mu}, p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mu\mu})} \frac{SE^{ij}(M_{\mu\mu}, p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mu\mu}, \Delta\varphi, \Delta\eta)}{ME^{ij}(M_{\mu\mu}, p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mu\mu}, \Delta\varphi, \Delta\eta)}$$

i – event-multiplicity class (V0M) j – z vertex bin  $N_{trig}$  – # of trigger dimuons

- $\mathsf{SE}-\#$  of associated tracklets from same event  $\mathsf{ME}-\mathsf{mixed}$  event
- Yields projected on  $\Delta \phi$  in **1.5<** $\Delta \eta$ **<5.0**
- Yields per J/ $\psi$  trigger obtained from fit of yields vs  $M_{\mu\mu}$

$$\frac{S}{S+B}Y_{\mathrm{J}/\psi} + \frac{B}{S+B}Y_{B}(M_{\mu\mu})$$

S/B – signal/background from  $M_{\mu\mu}$  fit Y<sub>B</sub> – background  $v_2$  (2nd order polynomial)

20/09/2017

C.Cheshkov





 $3 < p_T < 6 \text{ GeV/c} \rightarrow v_2 > 0$ 

Total (forward+backward,5.02+8.16 TeV) significance about  $5\sigma$  Values comparable to the measurements in central Pb-Pb collisions

### Conclusions

- J/ $\psi$  and  $\psi$ (2S)  $R_{pPb}$  @ 8.16 TeV quite compatible with 5.02 TeV, both in y and  $p_T$
- ψ(2S) strongly suppressed wrt J/ψ, more pronounced in backward direction
  - Implies final-state interactions, hadronic gas or mini-QGP?



#### • $J/\psi v_2 > 0$ observed in $3 < p_T < 6$ GeV/c

-  $5\sigma$  significance

20/09/2017

- Forward and backward data compatible within (relatively large) uncertainties
- Intriguing similarity to Pb-Pb
- Origin not yet understood

