

# Parametric Study on the Thermal Performance of Beam Screen Samples of the High-Luminosity LHC Upgrade

P. Borges de Sousa, M. Morrone, N. Hovenga, C. Garion, R. van Weelderen, T. Koettig and J. Bremer

CERN, 1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland



- High-Luminosity LHC upgrade
- Beam screens for the insertion regions
- Experimental test set-up
- Sample geometry
- Results: beam screen thermal pathways
- Results: supporting structure conductance
- Concluding remarks



### From the LHC to the HL-LHC



### LHC beam screen

- 4 20 K operating T
- Low mass flow (1 g/s)

CERN

- Supercritical He at 3 bar
- No absorber (just screen)

# **HL-LHC** beam screen

- 60 80 K operating T
- High mass flow (10 g/s)
- Supercritical He at 20 bar
- Tungsten-based absorber



### **HL-LHC** beam screens





### **HL-LHC** beam screens





# **Scope of thermal performance studies**

#### **BS** samples:

- Characterise two thermal pathways
  - Through the beam screen
  - Through the thermal link
- Requirements:
  - No max. T defined for absorber
  - Max ΔT between heat sink and inner beam screen surface of 5 K





# **Scope of thermal performance studies**

#### **BS** samples:

- Characterise two thermal pathways
  - Through the beam screen
  - Through the thermal link
- Requirements:
  - No max. T defined for absorber
  - Max ΔT between heat sink and inner beam screen surface of 5 K





# **Scope of thermal performance studies**

#### **BS samples:**

- Characterise two thermal pathways
  - Through the beam screen
  - Through the thermal link
- Requirements:
  - No max. T defined for absorber
  - Max ΔT between heat sink and inner beam screen surface of 5 K

#### **Supporting structure:**

- Characterise heat transfer from beam screen to cold bore by conduction
- Requirements:
  - Total heat load transferred (conduction+ radiation) < 500 mW/m of beam screen





### Sample geometries and test set-up



#### Beam Screen sample

#### Supporting Springs sample



### **Experimental set-up**



#### **BS** samples:

- Base temperature varied from 50 K to 80 K
- Heat load varied from 0 to 400 mW (0 to 25 W/m)
- Tungsten block-beam screen compression 0 and 1.82 N

#### **Supporting structure:**

- Base (cold) temperature kept between 2.7 K and 3 K
- Warm end heated up to 100 K
- 7.5 N (nominal) and 15 N compression



 Maximum temperature increase of relevant beam screen components was measured for a Q2-type magnet, heat load 15 W/m

	Nominal compression		No compression	
Base T (K)	W block $\Delta T$ (K)	Beam screen $\Delta T$ (K)	W block $\Delta T$ (K)	Beam screen $\Delta T$ (K)
60.00 ± 0.16	14.00 ± 0.06	$3.20 \pm 0.04$	13.50 ± 0.06	$2.20 \pm 0.04$
75.00 ± 0.23	14.00 ± 0.09	3.40 ± 0.03	13.50 ± 0.09	$2.30 \pm 0.03$

- Temperature difference between the inner surface of beam screen and the cooling source kept below the 5 K threshold
- <u>Tungsten block</u> reaches a <u>maximum temperature of 75 + 14 = 89 K</u> at the nominal heat load towards the high temperature end





beam screen

- <u>Nominal compression</u>: 79% heat load flows to the heat sink through the thermal link, 21% through the beam screen
- <u>No compression:</u> 89% through TL, 11% though BS











### **Supporting structure – Q2 assembly**





# **Supporting structure – Results for Q2 assembly**



- Nominal compression: 7.5 N
- 80 spring+sphere sets per metre of beam screen  $\rightarrow$  560 mW/m





# Supporting structure – Results for Q2 assembly



- Nominal compression: 7.5 N
- 80 spring+sphere sets per metre of beam screen  $\rightarrow$  560 mW/m





#### Beam screen thermal link at the nominal heat load of 15 W/m:

- Maximum T of tungsten block is 89 K (14 K gradient to cooling tube)
- ΔT between the cooling fluid and the inner surface of the beam screen kept below 5 K
- Results similar for nominal (1.82 N) and no compression
- Major thermal pathways have been analysed and design validated, and agree with simulations

#### Spring support structure to cold bore:

- Conductance around 0.08 mW/K for the 75 K 95 K range to 3 K
- An average of 560 mW per metre of beam screen needs to be considered
- Little influence of compression force (less than 10%)





www.cern.ch