DB12 Benchmark + LHCb Benchmarking

Andrew McNab University of Manchester LHCb and GridPP

DIRAC 2012 fast benchmark ("DB12")

- What is benchmarking about?
- DB12 origins and current status: within DIRAC; within DB12 repo
- DB12-in-job vs DB12-at-boot
- DB12-at-boot in MJF
- Consistency results from Manchester

LHCb benchmarking

- Use cases
- HS06 vs "Job Power"
- DB12-in-job vs "Job Power"
- DB12-at-boot vs "Job Power"



CPU Performance Benchmarking

- Fundamental aim of benchmarking is to attempt to predict the rate at which a given computer can run applications of interest
 - Prediction either relative ("it will be twice as fast on this CPU as that one") or absolute ("these events will take 43.5 hours to simulate")
 - So benchmarking is about constructing theories of CPU performance
 - Usual requirements apply: theories should be as simple as possible, and make accurate, consistent, reproducible predictions
- CPU performance depends on multiple fundamental metrics
 - Clock speed, instructions per clock cycle, complexity of instructions, branch prediction, cache sizes, cache speed, memory speed, ...
- Simple model is that speed in executing a given task is a linear combination of the fundamental metrics for that CPU
 - In general, weights will be different for different applications
 - A good benchmark for a given application has the same set of weights for the metrics as the application itself

4

CPU Performance Benchmarking (2)

- However, the individual metrics' weights are not usually observable
- What we see is the overall benchmark speed and the overall application speed, and we compare those
- Benchmark suites (like SPEC06) attempt to provide multiple benchmarks with varying dependencies (weights) on the fundamental metrics of CPUs
 - Hope that benchmarks form a basis (in linear algebra terms)
 - The weights appropriate to any application can then be achieved by forming a linear combination of the basis set of benchmarks
 - eg appSpeed = 1.0 x busSpeed + 0.4 x cpuSpeed (fundamental metrics)
 = 0.4 x BM1 + 1.0 x BM2 (suite benchmarks)

where $BM1=(0.5 \times bS + 0.5 \times cS)$ and $BM2=(0.8 \times bS + 0.2 \times cS)$

- So, what benchmarks are appropriate for our application domains?
- And what is convenient? What provides a basis? Can represent any app? DB12 + LHCb benchmark work - Andrew.McNab@cern.ch - preGDB, CERN, 7 Feb 2017

DIRAC 2012 fast benchmark (DB12)

- Benchmark that has been built-in to DIRAC since 2012
 - Very simple: Python random.normalvariate() run lots of times
 - Single benchmark is an excellent predictor of LHCb Monte Carlo!
- Run at start of each DIRAC pilot
 - "DB12-in-job" scenario
 - So available to LHCb, Belle II, ILC, CTA, EGI+GridPP DIRAC VOs, ...
- Results available to pilot+payload and recorded centrally by DIRAC
- Scale factor originally tuned to match HS06 in 2012
 - Change in required normalisation to HS06 found at start of 2016
 - References to "DB16" just mean DB12/0.65
 - This is a moving target, so focus on DB12 now rather than trying to keep HS06 alignment

DB12 repository in GitHub

- DB12 "ultimate upstream" at https://github.com/DIRACGrid/DB12
- Single Python file, containing the function originally used by DIRAC
 - DIRACbenchmark.py
- Also wrapper functions that will run multiple benchmark processes in parallel
- Each iteration less than a minute, and does thousands of inner loops
- Typically one initial and one final iteration that are discarded, and one or more iterations that timings are based on
 - This scenario avoids start-up costs (cache etc); and keeps everything busy if some benchmark processes finish early
- Python file can also be run as a command line utility
 - ./DIRACbenchmark.py —wholenode

DB12 and Machine/Job Features

- Wholenode and jobslot modes of DIRACbenchmark.py try to use
 - \$MACHINEFEATURES/total_cpu
 - and \$JOBFEATURES/allocated_cpu

to discover how many benchmark processes to run (can be overridden)

- RPMs/scripts in https://gitlab.cern.ch/machinejobfeatures/mjf-scripts exist for Torque, HTCondor, Grid Engine
 - Create \$MACHINEFEATURES/db12 and \$JOBFEATURES/db12_job if db12 info provided
 - By analogy with the hs06/hs06_job files created using measured performance of the machine carried out by the site
 - During commissioning?
 - But with DB12, benchmarking is straightforward to automate ...

db12-at-boot

- Either just install the mjf-db12 RPM or the scripts it contains
- Installs a SysV init script to run DB12 at the start of the boot process
 - So /etc/rc.d/rc3.d/S01db12
- Automated, so can avoid keeping a database of per-host values
- Uses ACPI to try to temporarily enable full processor speed (ie disable dynamic Turbo)
 - This avoids need for CPU to "warm up" for benchmarking
- Stores result in /etc/db12/ where MJF scripts will find it
- Next slides based on preliminary results from a mass-reboot at Manchester last week
- We see excellent consistency between machines of the same model
 - ±1-2% for a benchmark taking less than 2 minutes

db12-at-boot: multiple machines



db12-at-boot: multiple iterations





.....

HS06, Job Power and DIRAC Power

- Graphs from Philippe Charpentier's comparisons of jobs and benchmarks
 - See Dec 2015 GDB talk, and CHEP 2016 talk/paper for more details of procedure
- Compare four estimates of power allocated to a job
 - HS06 taken from Machine/Job Features
 - Job Power proportional to LHCb Monte Carlo events per second
 - "DIRAC Power" uses DB12-in-job or DB12-at-boot
- Job Power is normalised to be equal to DB12/0.65 (≈HS06) on a reference platform (E5-2650v2, 2.60GHz at RAL)
- Focus is on Monte Carlo since it's 75% of LHCb offline workload

JobPower vs HS06 (from MJF)



JobPower vs HS06 (from MJF)



Events per HS06 varying by ~50% depending on architecture.

JobPower vs DB12-in-job benchmark



JobPower vs DB12-at-boot benchmark



HS06 vs DB12-in-job vs DB12-at-boot

- HS06 is clearly subject to architectural complexities
 - Not a good predictor for LHCb Monte Carlo
 - Not as bad for LHCb reconstruction jobs though
- Both DB12 variants are much better than HS06 for LHCb MC
 - There are arguments for having both DB12 scenarios
- DB12-in-job at start of pilot/payload gives a true picture of what is really available to the job right now
 - However, other load on the machine could increase and you'll then get less power
- DB12-at-boot in MJF gives you the worst case that the site says it will be able to supply to the job
 - This is difficult for jobs to discover, even using results stored in a central database by previous jobs

Conclusions

- DB12 is an Open Source fast benchmark that is simple for projects to use
 - Can be run within jobs for site validation, monitoring, "job planning"
- LHCb is happy with the DB12 benchmark
- Simple and portable, and better predictor for Monte Carlo events /second than HS06
- We would like to see the DIRAC benchmark included in any WLCG fast benchmarking recommendations to experiments
- DB12-at-boot makes it easy for sites to provide worst-case fully-loaded benchmark to jobs via MJF
 - Preliminary results indicate +/-2% variation between equivalent machines, for a benchmark taking ~2 minutes
 - Avoids need for per-site or central databases of fast benchmark results
 - LHCb will be happy to publish our per-machine DB12 measurements from DIRAC accounting if WLCG wants this though
 - Can also be used to identify misconfigured machines