Singularity: Ops Status and Issues

BONUS Slides: CMS Singularity Status and Open Questions Brian Bockelman, pre-GDB, July 2017

Singularity: Ops Status

- Singularity 2.2.1 is distributed by EPEL and in use by CMS and ATLAS.
 - CMS and OSG worked closely together and adopted a 95%common solution.
 - 2.2.x is the minimum version to have all the required features.
- Singularity has modest adoption amongst the WLCG sites. CMS sees about 12 sites using this.
 - Both OSG and EGI middleware are represented.
 - If both glexec and Singularity are present, Singularity is preferred.

Image Distribution

- Singularity supports several image formats.
 - Some formats (raw-image-like, squashfs) really needs a capable shared file system for efficient distribution.
 - Other formats (.tgz, Docker) require unpacking into a cache directory for each invocation -> not great for the high-throughput case.
- Given our heavy investment in CVMFS, it seems very natural to leverage it for image distribution.
 - Given CVMFS implementation details, images should be distributed as **flat directories**. Cache will work at the individual file level.
 - Flat directories work with non-setuid mode; single-image formats don't. Would strongly prefer non-setuid mode in the future.

Image Distribution - Future

- OSG maintains a DockerHub-to-CVMFS service. Used by several OSG users and CMS.
- "Flat directories in CVMFS" scales well at a coarse granularity.
 - Great for "one image per VO" or "one image per VO per OS."
 - OK for "one image for science application." CVMFS-based de-duplication saves significant cache space!
 - Preserving final version of an analysis for later reproduction.
- Likely *not* OK for:
 - Nightly builds on "regular" CVMFS infrastructure. Use same techniques as done for WLCG VO integration builds.
 - "One image per job" or "One image per user". Highly dynamic files should be shipped with the job.
- Limitations due to:
 - Latency required to push updates through CVMFS.
 - CVMFS Stratum-1s must have a full copy of all unique files.

Non-technical Issues

- Overarching theme: new features and capabilities don't come for free.
- Young, fast-moving software project. Adding new features a good rate.
 - Downside of new features is new security bugs and unexpected backward compatibility breaks.
 - I expect big Singularity releases to result in validation work for VOs. OSG integration already has customizations for 2.2.x versus 2.3.x.
 - In the past year, there have been two security releases one which potentially affected sites. Strongly suggest we aggressively coordinate with upstream.
- User-based isolation defects and all are well-understood and accepted.
 - Some aspects of container-based isolation are less-understood. Example: how do you validate Singularity was invoked with the correct configuration and command line arguments? Easier to determine two processes have distinct UIDs than determining whether they are "sufficiently" separated using namespaces.

Technical Issues - RHEL6

- Most technical issues encountered result from the RHEL6 kernel:
- Problem: OverlayFS is not available, meaning all bind mount destinations must exist in the image.
 - Solution: CMS rewrites environment variables and \$PWD / \$HOME for user jobs to a single known location (/srv).
- **Problem**: Automount-points do not propagate new mounts inside the container.
 - Solution: Prior to job startup, probe all needed CVMFS
- **Problem**: Kernel does not track mount point usage inside container. Autofs will unmount CVMFS during the job.
 - **Solution**: (OSG) Pilot runs periodic check of CVMFS mounts; side effect is autofs keeps the mount points active.
 - Solution: Have invoking process 'cd' into the mount point, keeping a reference alive.

Non-RHEL6 Related

- **Problem**: Singularity is very restrictive about special characters in path names (HTCondor-CE/blahp generate paths with banned `#` character).
 - **Solution**: (Partial; works in practice) Change to a local scratch directory before startup.
- Problem: Environment variables set by sysadmin (or pilot!) may be invalid inside containers. E.g., add CVMFS directories to \$PATH/\$LD_LIBRARY_PATH with EL7specific `gfal-copy` binaries.
 - Solution: Not clear if there is a generic solution.
 - CMS is looking at sourcing container-compatible grid UI environment from inside container.
 - CMS rewrites path names inside environment variables for whitelisted / known problems.

Why Singularity for CMS?

- Singularity offers CMS sites some massive simplifications:
 - Drop the dreaded "pool accounts" -> reduce a few thousand CMS-related accounts in your LDAP server.
 - A road to "no-setuid" for grid worker nodes. Hopefully, the light at the end of the tunnel is not an oncoming train.
 - Remove a config file you must customize to your site.
 - Removes need for site-level authorization server. Instead of a complex grid-specific service, authorization can be handled via a config file.

CMS Status

- Previously-mentioned issues currently affecting CMS sites:
 - `#` character in path names.
 - SL7 \$PATH components inside SL6 image.
- Solutions being tested for both. Good support from the CMS site support team. Sites impatiently waiting on fixes.
- If Singularity is present and passes pilot startup verification, it is used for every payload job.
- SAM tests adopted so it can successfully land on either RHEL6 or RHEL7.
 Either glexec or singularity must be present.
- About 30M payload jobs run under Singularity.

CMS Open Issues

- Two things still stink:
 - CMS sites sometimes use CVMFS variant symlinks to have worker node config files locally instead of distributed by CVMFS. We now restrict the location they can put their config files!
 - POSIX storage elements must be mounted at a specific location (/cms) and the site config must reflect this.
 - Stageout now must go through GridFTP as the payload UID may not be the desired storage UID.
- Not clear either is "fixable".
- Collection of site advice here: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/Main/CmsSingularity