

Particle accelerators

Norwegian Teachers at CERN
March 1, 2017

Erik Adli

Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Norway



Erik.Adli@fys.uio.no

The 27 km LHC at CERN



Future colliders

The next big thing? After LHC, high energy, high luminosity, Linear Colliders of several **10 km of length** have been proposed – **why?**



Today's topics

- Particle accelerator basics
- LHC
- Future linear colliders
- Novel accelerator technology

Particle acceleration: how many volt does your particle gain?


A particle accelerated by one volt has a kinetic energy of one electron volt (**eV**).

1000 eV = 1 **keV** (kiloelectronvolt)

1'000'000 eV = 1 **MeV** (Megaelectronvolt)

1'000'000'000 eV = 1 **GeV** (Gigaelectronvolt)

1'000'000'000'000 eV = 1 **TeV** (Teraelectronvolt)




A simple way to make an electron accelerator: electrostatic acceleration with a cathode emitting electrons and an anode, at a higher potential, pulling the electrons towards it.

Voltage for **cathode ray televisions**: few 10 keV


One hundred years back in time : the dawn of particle accelerators

In 1909 E. Rutherford's team, in Manchester, shot alpha-particles from a radioactive source towards a gold foil. The scatter results were surprising. **To explore the tiny, very energetic particles are needed.**





..." It has long been my ambition to have available for study a copious supply of atoms and electrons which have an **individual energy far transcending that of the α and β particles from radioactive bodies**. I am hopeful that I may yet have my wish fulfilled". Rutherford (1927)

Early 20. Century saw advances in acceleration techniques : multiple stage voltage build-up, for example by **Cockcroft-Walton** multipliers :



Electric breakdown limits electrostatic accelerators to a **few MeV** of total energy gain (same order as alpha radiation).



Cockcroft Walton-type accelerator at Fermilab, IL, USA (750 kV)

Modern accelerators: oscillating electromagnetic field

R. Wideröe, Archiv für Elektrotechnik, July 1928, 21, 4, pp 387–406 :

XXI. Band.
1928.

Wideröe, Ein neues Prinzip zur Herstellung hoher Spannungen.

387

3. Die experimentelle Untersuchung.

Die experimentellen Untersuchungen sollten vor allem die Richtigkeit des Prinzips beweisen und die Schwierigkeiten des Verfahrens näher klarlegen. Aus

$$\frac{1}{2} T_{RF} v = L$$






Bild 6. Die Versuchsröhre.



d) $\lambda = 235 \text{ m}$,
 $\Sigma U = 56,0 \text{ kV}$.

Bild 5. Die verwendete Schaltung.

Archiv f. Elektrotechnik. XXI. Band. 4. Heft.

Based on an idea (not demonstrated) by G. Ising, Arkiv för matematik, astronomi och fysik. 18, 4 (1924))




Bild 10. Vergleich der gemessenen mit den berechneten Werten für den Spannungswirkungsgrad bei verschiedenen Wellenlängen.


Widerøe accelerated Kalium beams to 50 keV kinetic energy, equal to **twice** the applied voltage.

This is not possible using electrostatic voltages.


The importance of Widerøe's demonstration:

By using time-varying fields, oscillating at radiofrequency (RF), particles can in principle be accelerated to any energy, using a limited peak voltage.

$$\frac{1}{2} T_{RF} v = L$$





RF-cavities is used in all high-energy particle accelerators today.



Later development: enclose RF-fields in metal cavities (RF cavities)

RF-acceleration is equally important for circular accelerators:

Cyclotrons :




Norway's only research accelerator

The Oslo Cyclotron: MC-35, acceleration of p up to 35 MeV



Synchrotrons :



The CERN Proton-Synchrotron (1959, 628 m) 28 GeV (28 billion volts)




In parallel with the particle physics development, particle accelerators have been adopted for **important uses in the society**.

A well known use is **cancer radiotherapy**. In radiotherapy electrons are accelerated by about **10 million volts**. The electron energy is then converted into X-rays.

Several types of tumors may be treated more efficiently, with less damage to healthy tissue, by using heavy charged particles, protons or ions – particle therapy. Again, a "spin off" from **the development of RF accelerators for high energy physics**.



Standard radiotherapy (Elekta)



Ion therapy center (Heidelberg)


Accelerators are also used to create **very powerful microscopes**. X-ray light is used for example in **life sciences (understanding proteins, DNA, viruses), and material sciences (solar cells, batteries)**. Advancement in these fields is possible due to the very brilliant light accelerators can produce.

Particle accelerators would also be an important part of a **future accelerator driven Thorium power plant**.

The ideas to use accelerators for these purposes came **only after accelerators had been invented**.



The European synchrotron X-ray light source in Grenoble (ESRF).



Structure of a protein of HIV-1, as studied in light sources




Fictional future Thorium power plant (TV2)


The **development of particle accelerators** has been driven by the quest for the understanding of the **fundamental origins of matter**.

A million volt ... a billion volt ... 14 trillion volt (LHC)

To study fundamental particles and forces ever **larger and more powerful RF particle accelerators have been constructed** in Russia, Asia, the US and at CERN in Switzerland.





*The Stanford Linear Accelerator (1966, 3 km)
50 GeV electrons and positrons*



*The Large Hadron Collider, CERN (2008, 27 km)
14 TeV protons*

Accelerators: circular and linear





Purpose of particle accelerators: steer, shape and modulate energy of charged particle beams.

Dynamics of motion governed by the Lorentz force equation :

$$\vec{F} = q(\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B}) = q\vec{E} + q\vec{v} \times \vec{B} = \vec{F}_E + \vec{F}_B$$

- $F_B \perp v$: **only F_E does work** and provides energy gain
- F_E or F_B for deflection? When $v \approx c$, $1 \text{ T} \sim 3 \cdot 10^8 \text{ V/m}$. **F_B preferred** for high velocity particles.

Accelerators: circular and linear




Advantages rings :

- Circular accelerators : re-uses the same RF cavity
 - Often cheapest and simplest way to reach high energy
- Re-use of circulating charge : advantage for colliders and light source

Disadvantages rings :

- Requires strong bending fields
- Energy loss through synchrotron radiation (challenge for high energy)
- Injection/extraction may be challenging
- Lower instability limitations (many-turn instabilities)

Example of linear Accelerators



Electron linacs are built different from proton linacs. The ultra-relativistic velocities means very small space-charge and phase velocities very close to c .



The 3 km **Stanford Linear Accelerator**;
2.8 GHz normal conducting cavities






Fig. 3. Preliminary design of a high-beta cryomodule from IPN Orsay and CEA Saclay.

The 1 km **European Spallation Source**;
752 MHz superconducting cavities


The cyclotron

- Cyclotron:
 - constant B field
 - Fixed frequency RF field in gap
 - radius increases proportionally to energy
 - limit: relativistic energy, RF out of synch
 - More compact and simpler than the synchrotron
- Synchro-cyclotron : time-varying RF freq,
- Isochronous cyclotron : r-varying magnetic field
- Advantages: Compact, Continuous current, high average power
- Usages : nuclear physics, medicine isotope, ion therapy



The synchrotron

- High energy on small footprint
- Acceleration by RF cavities
- Piece-wise circular motion (bending field, F_B)







- Bending magnets with a homogenous field, with field strength synchronized with the particle energy :

$$F_B = m \frac{v^2}{\rho} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{qB}{p} \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\rho} [m^{-1}] \approx 0.3 \frac{B[T]}{p[GeV/c]}$$

- The RF frequency stays locked to the revolution frequency of a particle
- Used for most colliders to date (**LHC**, Tevatron, HERA, LEP, SPS, PS ...), Synchrotron Light Sources, hadron therapy ...

Focusing of particle beams

Magnetic lenses, often **quadrupole magnets**, are used to focus the particle beams. Analogous to optical lenses.



$$S(s) = \sqrt{e_{rms} b(s)}$$


Beam quality: emittance

Lattice

The Large Hadron Colliders



LHC and the CERN accelerator complex

- LHC is responsible for accelerating protons from 450 GeV up to 7000 GeV
- 450 GeV protons injected into LHC from the SPS
- PS injects into the SPS
- LINACs injects into the PS
- The protons are generated by a proton source where a H_2 gas is heated up to provide protons
- The limitations in the earlier part of the acceleration chain originates from space charge -> collective effects lecture





LHC layout

- Circumference = 26658.9 m
- Four interactions points, where the beams collide, and massive particle physics experiments record the results of the collisions (ATLAS, CMS, ALICE, LHCb)
- Eight straight sections, containing the IPs, around 530 m long
- Eight arcs with a regular lattice structure, containing 23 arc cells
- Each arc cell has a periodic FODO-lattice, 106.9 m long



LHC bending magnets







8.3 T maximum field (allows for 7 TeV per proton beam). Generated by a current of 12 kA in the superconducting Rutherford coils.



Developments for higher energy hadron colliders (HE-LHC, FCC) : Nb_3Sn , HTS₂₄

LHC beam focusing

The beam is kept focused in arcs to size of order 1 mm, then squeezed down to 20 μm at the IP.



LHC cavities



- Superconducting RF cavities. Standing wave, $f = 400$ MHz
- Each beam: one cryostat at 4.5 K, 4+4 cavities in each cryostat
- 5 MV/m accelerating gradient, 16 MeV energy gain per turn

LHC nominal parameters

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Particle type | p, Pb |
| Proton energy E_p at collision | 7000 GeV |
| Peak luminosity (ATLAS, CMS) | $1 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ |
| Circumference C | 26 658.9 m |
| Bending radius ρ | 2804.0 m |
| RF frequency f_{RF} | 400.8 MHz |
| # particles per bunch n_p | 1.15×10^{11} |
| # bunches n_b | 2808, 25 ns spacing |

Future Linear Colliders

After LHC and the TeV-scale: Are we done?


The 27 km LHC discovered the long elusive Higgs Boson. However, a large number of important questions remain :

The Standard Model of particle physics points describes several types of particles. **Why?** Is there only one fundamental building block? Most of the matter observed in the universe are of an unknown type. **What is this dark matter?** **What did the universe look like just after the Big Bang?**

Particle physics at the energy frontier – the TeV scale (and beyond) : requires advances in accelerator science.



Dark Matter?




*More energetic collisions:
closer towards the Big Bang*

Collider: hadron versus lepton



Lepton collisions: elementary particles (leptons, muons...)

- Collision process known
- Well defined energy
- **Lepton collisions** \Rightarrow **precision measurement**




Hadron collisions: compound particles (protons, ions...)


- Mix of quarks, anti-quarks and gluons: variety of processes
- Parton energy spread
- **Hadron collisions** \Rightarrow **large discovery range**



Hadron production from lepton collisions




Lepton production from hadron collisions





“If you know what to look for, collide leptons, if not collide hadrons”

SppS versus LEP


1983




Hadron collider SppS, $\sqrt{s}=540$ GeV,
 $W^{+/-}$ and Z^0 discovery



1983



Lepton collider LEP, $\sqrt{s}_{\text{max}}=209$ GeV,
 precision measurements of Z^0
 decay width



LHC versus future colliders


Linear collider : can perform complementary, model independent Higgs physics.

Analogy: Higgs physics


Standard Model Higgs:

- scalar spin-0 particle
- specific form of scalar potential :


$$V(\eta) = \lambda v \eta^3 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda \eta^4$$



ATLAS and CMS@LHC, discovery of the Higgs boson confirmed in 2012. LHC continues to study properties of the Higgs boson. For example coupling coefficients. Precision numbers are key.



Linear Collider: Precision measurements if the trilinear ϕ HHH-coupling (Courtesy of M. Battaglia)




Linear Collider: Higgs spin measurements by energy scan (spin 0 established by LHC by other techniques)

Energy limitation in collider rings

Synchrotron radiation power loss :

$$P_e = \frac{e^2 c}{6\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{(m_0 c^2)^4} \frac{E^4}{R^2}$$

Any charged particle undergoing acceleration radiates, and loses energy.




- **Limiting factor** for the LEP energy ($E_{\text{cm,max}} = 209 \text{ GeV}$)
- Total **cost scaling** for rings, as you scale energy : $\sim E^2$
- With linear acceleration : $\sim E$ with respect to E^2 for rings
- **TeV e- e+ collisions**: circular colliders not a practical option. The main driver for linear collider research.


A linear collider ?

Due to **synchrotron radiation**, a TeV-scale electron-positron collider, for precision physics, **must be linear**.


Circular collider :



Linear collider :




Length of a linear collider: Each cavity accelerates each bunch only once. Collider length is driven by how large electric field can be sustained in a metallic cavity.



Electromagnetic field break down :
Limits acceleration to **10 - 100 MV/m**



Linear collider parts



Linear Collider Projects



The International Linear Collider, ILC

Main linac technology: **super conducting RF 1.3 GHz SW cavities, 31.5 MV/m**
Nominal design for $E_{CM} = 0.5 \text{ TeV}$ (250 GeV to 1 TeV)




The Compact Linear Collider, CLIC

Main linac technology: two-beam scheme. **Normal conducting Cu RF 12 GHz TW cavities, 100 MV/m.** Nominal design for $E_{CM} = 3 \text{ TeV}$ (375 GeV to 3 TeV)



The CLIC Two-Beam scheme



Main beam - 1 A, 156 ns from 9 GeV to 1.5 TeV

CLIC: "Transformer ratio" of $\sim 1.5 \text{ TeV} / 2.4 \text{ GeV} = 15$




Demonstrated experimentally at the CLIC Test facility at CERN.

Animation courtesy SLAC ACD group (A. Candel)



CLIC : a multi-TeV LC option



The CLIC collaboration



**CLIC multi-lateral collaboration
48 Institutes from 25 countries**

ACAS (Australia)
Aarhus University (Denmark)
Ankara University (Turkey)
Argonne National Laboratory (USA)
Athens University (Greece)
BINP (Russia)
CERN
CIEMAT (Spain)
Cockcroft Institute (UK)
ETHZurich (Switzerland)
FNAL (USA)
Gazi Universities (Turkey)

Helsinki Institute of Physics (Finland)
IAP (Russia)
IAP NASU (Ukraine)
IHEP (China)
INFN / LNF (Italy)
Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular (Spain)
IRFU / Saclay (France)
Jefferson Lab (USA)
John Adams Institute/Oxford (UK)

John Adams Institute/RHUL (UK)
JINR (Russia)
Karlsruhe University (Germany)
KEK (Japan)
LAL / Orsay (France)
LAPP / ESIA (France)
NIKHEF/Amsterdam (Netherlands)
NCP (Pakistan)
North-West. Univ. Illinois (USA)
Patras University (Greece)

Polytech. University of Catalonia (Spain)
PSI (Switzerland)
RAL (UK)
RRCAT / Indore (India)
SLAC (USA)
Thrace University (Greece)
Tsinghua University (China)
University of Oslo (Norway)
Uppsala University (Sweden)
UCSC SCIPP (USA)

— existing LHC

Potential underground siting:

●●●● CLIC 500 GeV

●●●● CLIC 1.5 TeV

●●●● CLIC 3 TeV

Jura Mountains


IP

Geneva


Lake Geneva

©2011 Google
Image ©2011 IGN France
Image ©2011 GeoEye

©2010 Google



International Linear Collider: Fly-through of how to make 500 GeV collisions with superconducting RF technology



At some point cost and practical considerations will limit the size of future colliders.

New project under consideration: FCC: Future Circular Collider




Design Study by 2018 (for next EU Strategy Update of HEP)
Collision p-p, ions-ions, p-ions but also e⁺-e⁻ at 350 GeV and e-p

16 T ⇒ 100 TeV in 100 km
20 T ⇒ 100 TeV in 80 km

LEGEND


- LHC tunnel
- HE_LHC 80km option
- potential shaft location



Novel Acceleration Techniques

Particle collider Livingstone plot

We want to go here, and beyond



Particle accelerators have seen a tremendous improvement in performance since the 1960s.

-> research required to overcoming limits in luminosity/intensity and energy/power.


Great success of accelerator physics and technology.

Novel accelerator research


Cutting edge accelerator physics research, with the objective of **overcoming limitations** of conventional accelerator technology.

Very high frequency normal conducting rf structures (~ 100 GHz to \sim THz)

116 GHz structure (SLAC)





Dielectric structures



SiO₂
 ~ 1.0 THz,
1-10GV/m

Laser based acceleration "DLA"
Several 100 MV/m demonstrated (SLAC)

Feed of laser beam into Si structure



Plasma wakefield acceleration

The topic here.

Breakdown limits and plasma

In **metallic structures** : break down of the surfaces, creating electric discharge. Field cannot be sustained. **Current practical limit (CLIC): order of 100 MV/m gradients..**

Break down of field limits the gradient.







Figure 4.3.: Power flows around a field emitter tip in an RF cavity.

Alternative to high fields in vacuum: high fields in plasmas:

collection of free ions and electrons.

- Plasmas of a large range of densities can be produced. Fields scales with density. **Very high fields can be generated.**
- Material is already broken down. The plasma can **sustain the very high fields.**



Gauss law : estimate fields in a plasma wave

Assume that the **plasma electrons are pushed out** of a small volume of neutral plasma, with plasma density $n_0 = n_e = n_{\text{ion}}$:

Scale of electrical fields (1D) :

Gauss' law:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \sim \frac{en_{\text{ions}}}{\epsilon_0} \sim \frac{en_0}{\epsilon_0}$$

Assume wave solutions:

$$\mathbf{E} \sim \mathbf{E}_0 \exp(-i\omega_p/cz)$$


Apply Gauss' law:

$$\frac{en_0}{\epsilon_0} = E_0 \frac{\omega_p}{c} \Rightarrow E_{WB} = \frac{ecn_0}{\epsilon\omega_{0p}} \sim \sqrt{n_0}$$

Field scale, E_{WB}
"wave breaking field"

Plasma electron frequency :


$$\omega_p = \sqrt{\frac{n_0 e^2}{m_e \epsilon_0}}$$



Typical plasma density, available by many types of plasma source :

$$n_0 = 1e17/\text{cm}^3 : \mathbf{E}_{WB} = \mathbf{30 GV/m}$$

Plasma Wakefield acceleration



A driver (here: electron beam) propagates to the right into a neutral plasma.

Plasma Wakefield acceleration


Typical scales :

$$n_0 \sim 10^{14}-10^{18}/\text{cm}^3$$

$$\text{Fields: } E_{\text{WB}} \sim \mathbf{1-100 \text{ GV/m}} \sim \sqrt{n_0}$$

$$\text{Length : } k_p^{-1} = \lambda_p/2\pi \sim \mathbf{5-500 \text{ }\mu\text{m}} \sim \sqrt{n_0}$$

$$\text{Focusing : } F_r = 1/2en_0r/\epsilon_0c \sim \mathbf{0.03-30 \text{ MT/m}}$$



Ideas of **100s GV/m** electric fields in plasma, using 10^{18} W/cm^2 lasers: 1979
T.Tajima and J.M.Dawson (UCLA), Laser Electron Accelerator, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 267–270 (1979).
Using particle beams as drivers: P. **Chen et al.** Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 693–696 (1985)

Long term hope? Linear collider based on PWFA?

Numerous challenges. **Jury still out** if a colliders based on PWFA is feasible/advantageous.

~ 4.5 km




Figure 1: Illustration of a PWFA-LC layout for 1 TeV.

J.P. Delahaye, E. Adli et al., White Paper input to US Snowmass Process 2013. [arxiv 1308.1145](https://arxiv.org/abs/1308.1145)


Development of novel accelerators

Currently a large experimental R&D effort to develop novel accelerators based on plasma and other technologies :

- 1. Meter scale plasmas ✓
- 1. High gradients ✓
- 1. Low energy spread ✓
- 1. High efficiency ✓
- 1. Multi GeV e^+ PWFA ✓
- 1. Emittance preservation ✓





Development of novel accelerators





Electron, or Positron bunch




$E = 20 \text{ GeV}$
 $Q = 3 \text{ nC}$
 $\sigma_{z,\text{min}} = 20 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$
 $\sigma_{r,\text{min}} = 20 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$
 $\varepsilon_n \sim 100 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$




Plasma densities, n_0 ,
 $10^{16} - 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$
 $\sim 1 \text{ m length}$



FACET two-bunch results



1.3 m plasma





n in
d Li

sfer
3%).

in


AWAKE: plasma wakefield at CERN

**AWAKE is installed in
CNGS Facility (CERN Neutrinos to Gran Sasso)**
→ CNGS physics program finished in 2012




A. Caldwell et al., "Path to AWAKE: Evolution of the concept", Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A829 (2016) 3-16; E. Gschwendtner et al. [AWAKE Collaboration], "AWAKE, The Advanced Proton Driven Plasma Wakefield Acceleration Experiment at CERN," Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A829, 76 (2016).

Seeded self-modulation instability of a long proton bunch in plasma



AWAKE Overview




December 13, 2016

SPC, CER

750m proton beam line


First Run

December 9-12, 2016






First Run December 9-12, 2016

3 10^{11} Protons seen in optical transition radiation using streak camera




Success !

Optical Transition Radiation Diagnostic



Average of 45 FFTs




Fourier transform -> see modulation frequency

Summary





The compact linear collider, CLIC



CLIC 100 MV/m accelerating structure

Plasma wave with accelerating fields



Size of possible future particle colliders, compared to the LHC

Extra


R. Widerøe, Archiv für Elektrotechnik, July 1928, **21**, 4, pp 387–406 :

Sidestep: documented in the same 1928 paper is another invention of Widerøe (which he did not demonstrate): the beam transformer or **betatron accelerator**.

IV. Der Strahlentransformator.

I. Das Prinzip.

In Bild 11 ist die Wirkungsweise des Strahlentransformators schematisch gezeigt. Die Primärwicklung W_1 treibt einen Wechselfluß Φ durch den Eisenkern des Transformators. Als Sekundärwicklung dient die Hochvakuumröhre R . Der Elektronenstrahl wird mittels der Glühkathode K erzeugt und in die Kreisröhre R geführt.



$$\dot{B}_s = \frac{1}{2} \langle \dot{B} \rangle$$

Bild 11. Wirkungsweise des Strahlentransformators.

Magnetic coils with **time varying fields** provides both focusing and acceleration (through magnetic induction) at the same time. Elegant and compact. Used to accelerate electrons up to few **10s of MeV** at hospitals, including Radiumhospitalet, until the 1980s (now linear RF accelerators are used almost everywhere).

The ESS accelerator is about 600 m long, and accelerates protons to 2 GeV

