Part 3: The current state of research and an outlook on future developments in various selected fields of accelerator physics and technology:

Accelerator on a chip

Taking advantage of photonics technology

Peter Hommelhoff

Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU), Erlangen, Germany Max-Planck-Institut für die Physik des Lichts (MPL), Erlangen







Technology perspective: *photonics*

- Power and cost efficient laser technology
 - ✤ high average power
 - rugged turn-key fiber technology
- Optical field control available
- ✤ (Silicon) nanostructuring capabilities

Photonics technology!
World market for photonics: \$481 billion in 2012, expected \$620 billion in 2020 (Nat. Phot. 11, 1, 2016)

Similar story to radar klystrons driving accelerator technology in the 1940s?



Even 3dimensional structures

McGuinness et al., J. Mod. Opt. 2009



Particle accelerators: from RF to optical/photonic drive?



RF cavity (TESLA, DESY)



	Conventional linear accelerator (RF)	Laser-based dielectric accelerator (optical)
Based on	(Supercond.) RF cavities	Quartz grating structures
Peak field limited by	Surface breakdown: 200 MV/m	Damage threshold: 30 GV/m
Max. achievable gradients	100 MeV/m	10 GeV/m
Drive period	~300 ps	~5 fs



Breakdown limits





Laser acceleration of electrons at photonic structures Dielectric laser acceleration (DLA) Structure loaded vacuum acceleration of electrons



J. McNeur, M. Kozák, J. Breuer, Li Ang, N. Schönenberger, J. Illmer, A. Mittelbach, PH







ACHIP: Accelerator on a Chip International Program



Dec. 2015



Lia Merminga, SLAC, Reinhard Brinkmann, DESY





Widerøe linac



taken from J. Breuer's thesis

Switch fields synchronous with the particle's position/velocity

Wideroe, 1928 Ising, 1924



Acceleration at a dielectric structure / phase mask





Proposed dielectric structures





Plettner, Lu, Byer, 2006

- ... and variants
- Goal: generate a mode that allows momentum transfer from laser field to electrons
- Use first order effect (efficient!)
- Second order effects (ponderomotive) too inefficient

For a review and an extensive list of references, see: R. J. England et al., "Dielectric laser accelerators", Rev. Mod. Phys. 86, 1337 (2014)

An old idea

NUCLEAR INSTRUMENTS AND METHODS 62 (1968) 306-310; © NORTH-HOLLAND PUBLISHING CO.

LASER LINAC WITH GRATING

Y. TAKEDA and I. MATSUI

Central Research Laboratory, Hitachi Ltd., Kokubunji, Tokyo, Japan

Received 13 February 1968





Exp. demonstration with mm radiation (keV/m): Mizuno et al., Nature Nature 328, 45 (1987).





In the 90s: dielectrics!

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

Other longtime players: Sieman group (SLAC), Travish (UCLA), Yoder (Manhattan) ...



VOLUME 74, NUMBER 13

27 MARCH 1995

Grating structure

- Grating period: 620nm
- Grating depth: 450nm
- Challenge: get close enough (<200nm) to the grating surface without clipping the beam
 → put grating on 20µm high mesa structure





Dielectric laser acceleration results



Max. observed gradient (2012): 25 MeV/m 2016: 200 MeV/m, expected soon: 700 MeV/m all at non-rel. energies (β = 0.3)!



J. Breuer, P. Hommelhoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 134803 (2013)

Dual-Grating Structure: Dielectric laser acceleration of 60 MeV electrons at Stanford/SLAC

Group of R. L. Byer at NLCTA





DLA results so far

- ✓ Proof-of-concept demonstration of DLA:
- \checkmark 25 MeV/m at β = 0.3; at β ~ 1: > 1GeV/m
- ✓ New structures
 - ✓ two-stage acceleration
 - ✓ optical focusing
 - ✓ optical deflection
 - ✓ beam position monitor
 - ✓ (sub-) femtosecond bunching
- ✓ **200.4 MeV/m** with few-cycle NOPA-DFG (with β = 0.3 electrons!)
- ✓ 850 MeV/m with 8 MeV electrons



Breuer, Hommelhoff, PRL 2013 Plettner et al., PR-STAB 2009 Breuer et al., PR-STAB 2014 Breuer et al., J. Phys. B. 2014 McNeur et al., J. Phys. B. 2016 England et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 2015 Kozak et al., Nat. Com. 2017 McNeur et al., MS in preparation Hohmann et al., Opt. Lett. 2012 (NOPA) Kozak, McNeur et al., to follow England et al., to follow

TU Darmstadt: simulation group



SINBAD DESY: Concept for stage 0 experiments **Ralph Assmann** - IR overhead from photo cathode laser converted to 2 μm Ulrich Dorda an team wavelength as laser source for ACHIP experiments Chamber and diagnostics similar to SLAC/FAU/PSI beam Other matching, S-band gun linacs experimen focusing ts spectrometer chamber, IP klystrons PC laser and tunable x-band TDS in cooperation with CERN and PSI will harmonic be available generation Laser beam parameters: 2 µm, few µJ, 200 fs Hommelhoff, KfB, TU Darmstadt, Feb. 2017

PSI: Dedicated DLA chamber in Swiss-FEL

- Experiments with an ultra-relativistic beam (3 GeV)
- Optical undulation: hard X-ray generation
- Beam monitoring (longitudinal)



Current status: around "first beam"

Lenny Rivkin Rasmus Ischebeck Franziska Frei Eugenio Ferrari and team





FRIEDRICH-ALEXANDER UNIVERSITÄT ERLANGEN-NÜRNBERG

Vuckovic, Fan (Stanford): Photonics simulation groups



Example device: dielectric 1550nm -1300 nm demultiplexer. Size: 2.8 x 2.8 μm^2



Challenges

Brightness: smallness of beam pipe requires ultra-high brightness beams (small available phase space volume)

New sources; source array and parallel beams?

Electron dynamics: to achieve large energy gains, control of electron dynamics over long distances is required. Special: $\alpha = \frac{q E_0}{k_z mc^2} \approx \frac{1}{10^4}$ (similar to proton acceleration)

New elements Alternating focusing-defocusing schemes

Diagnostics: smallness of beam requires new methods New schemes

Coulomb repulsion effects: transverse and longitudinal phase space issues! New sources; source array and parallel beams? High repetition rate drivers



Relation to laser plasma schemes

Photonics-based dielectric (DLA)

- linear in the driving field
- extremely low bunch charge
- high rep. rate
- excellent beam needed
- scalability easy
- all-optical beam control
- gradients of up to 10 GeV/m
- new devices for classical accelerators?

Laser-plasma scheme

- non-linear in the driving field
- large bunch charge ok
- low rep. rates
- beam parameters
- scalability?
- classical beam control
- gradients of TeV/m

Very different requirements and pros and cons



Philip Dienstbier Michael Förster Christian Heide Takuya Higuchi Martin Hundhausen Johannes Illmer Martin Kozak Ang Li Joshua McNeur **Stefan Meier** Anna Mittelbach **Timo Paschen** Jürgen Ristein **Constanze Sturm Alexander Tafel** Norbert Schönenberger **Philipp Weber Peyman Yousefi Robert Zimmermann**



Former members:

A. Aghajani-Talesh J. Breuer P. Dombi D.
Ehberger M. Eisele R. Fröhlich J. Hammer
S. Heinrich J. Hoffrogge H. Kaupp M. Krüger
A. Liehl L. Maisenbacher F. Najafi H.
Ramadas T. Sattler Ella Schmidt M. Schenk
L. Seitz J.-P. Stein H. Strzalka Y.-H. M. Tan S.
Thomas Di Zhang

Partners/ collaborations:

QEM collaboration ACHIP collaboration D. Bykov, P. Russell, MPL R. L. Byer + coll., Stanford / SLAC I. Hartl, F. Kärtner, R. Aßmann, DESY R. Holzwarth, MenloSystems Chr. Lemell, J. Burgdörfer, TU Vienna M. Kling, F. Krausz, LMU/MPQ G. G. Paulus, Jena

J. Rosenzweig, P. Musumeci, UCLA

M. Stockman, Georgia State











IMPRS-ARSommelhoff, KfB, TU Darmstadt, Feb. 2017







Research toward a new kind of laser-driven particle accelerator based on photonics technology



Photonics-based technology is ripe (and cheap)



Sources! Brightness! Phase space! Dynamics! Photon generation!... Much to be demonstrated. Clearly: accelerator research

