A Subleading Operator Basis and Matching for $gg \rightarrow H$

Gherardo Vita

with Ian Moult and Iain Stewart



Milan Christmas Meeting 21 December 2016 • Introduction to SCET and motivation for this work

• Operator basis

Matching

• Conclusion and Outlook

Soft Collinear Effective Theory [Bauer, Fleming, Pirjol, Stewart]

SCET very powerful for treating multiscale processes in QCD



Allows for a factorized description: Hard, Jet, Beam, Soft radiation

Introduction

• A large class of observables τ (p_T , threshold, event shapes, etc.) exhibit singularities in perturbation theory for small τ :

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^n \sum_{m=0}^{2n-1} c_{nm}^{(0)} \left(\frac{\log^m \tau}{\tau}\right)_+ \\ + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^n \sum_{m=0}^{2n-1} c_{nm}^{(2)} \log^m \tau + \cdots$$

SCET: relate observable au to power counting parameter λ

- p_T resummation in SCET: $\frac{p_T^2}{Q^2} \sim \lambda$
- Threshold in SCET: $(1-z)^2 \sim \lambda$
- Event shapes in SCET: $\tau \sim \lambda^2$

So that SCET is the EFT that describes the physics of the relevant degrees of freedom at small τ .

Application of SCET

For F.O. calculation:

- $\bullet \ \ {\rm Only \ relevant \ d.o.f. \ involved} \ \Longrightarrow \ {\rm simpler \ calculation}$
- collinear and soft limits at the integrand level
- expansion by regions in loop integrals for free

For resummation:

• Prove factorization theorems

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \sigma_0 H(Q,\mu) \otimes J(Q,\tau,s,\mu) \otimes S(s,\mu) + p.c.$

• Perform resummation by solving the RGE

$$\frac{1}{2}\gamma_H + \gamma_J + \gamma_S = 0$$

For example:

- Event shapes/Thrust
- Drell-Yan Threshold
- N-Jettiness
- Jet substructure
- Boosted t, W, Z physics
- Jet radius resummation
- Higgs p_T resummation (SCET_{II})
- Jet broadening (SCET_{II})
- Small-x resummation (μ_S) (Glauber)

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{(\mathrm{res})}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = H(Q,\mu_H)\mathcal{U}_H(Q,\mu_H,\mu_S)J(Q,\tau,\mu_J)\otimes\mathcal{U}_J(\mu_J,\mu_S)\otimes S(\mu_S) \text{ (Glauber)}$

From SM to SCET

 $\mathcal{L}_{SM} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{SCFT} = \mathcal{L}_{hard} + \mathcal{L}_{dyn}$

 \mathcal{L}_{hard} describes the hard scattering/the partonic interaction.

e.g. how to go from ggto H + 2 partons.

Note: it can come from non-QCD interactions



 \mathcal{L}_{dyn} describes the evolution of the strongly interacting final/initial states

e.g. how to go from 2 partons to 2 jets/ how the jets evolve

EFT of pure QCD

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \sim \sigma_0 H(Q,\mu) \otimes J(Q,\tau,s,\mu) \otimes S(s,\mu)$$

Hard scattering

• \mathcal{L}_{hard} is made by hard scattering operators O_i made by incoming/outgoing fields.



• C_i are Wilson coefficients: computed via matching to SM (not only QCD), they encode physics of hard modes at scale Q. Analogue to 4 Fermi theory.

Hard scattering

• Fields/Lagrangians have a definite power counting in λ .

Operator	$\mathcal{B}^{\mu}_{n_i\perp}$	χ_{n_i}	\mathcal{P}^{μ}_{ot}	ψ_{us}	\mathcal{B}^{μ}_{us}	∂^{μ}_{us}
Power Counting	λ	λ	λ	λ^3	λ^2	λ^2

therefore, the Lagrangians can be expanded in $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{SCET}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{hard}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{dyn}} = \sum_{i \ge 0} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{hard}}^{(i)} + \sum_{i \ge 0} \mathcal{L}^{(i)}$$

- $\mathcal{L}^{(i+k)}$ suppressed by λ^k w.r.t. $\mathcal{L}^{(i)}$
- $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$ is called Leading Power Lagrangian
- $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$ is Subleading Power Lagrangian
- $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}$ is Sub-Subleading Power Lagrangian
- etc . . .

Note: Often no $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ at σ level, so Sub-Subleading Power (which is λ^2 suppressed) is called Next to Leading Power (first non vanishing).

 \mathcal{L}_{hard} can be expanded:

• in powers of power counting parameter λ : $\mathcal{L}_{hard} = \sum_{i} \mathcal{L}_{hard}^{(i)}$

• on the operator basis: $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{hard}}^{(i)} = \sum_j C_j^{(i)} O_j^{(i)}$

A list of independent hard scattering operators $\{O_j^{(i)}\}$ for a given process is called a basis of hard scattering operators.

Hard scattering: Operator basis vs Wilson coefficients

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{hard}}^{(i)} = \sum_{j} C_{j}^{(i)} O_{j}^{(i)}$$

- Wilson coefficients $C_j^{(i)}$ depend on process (e.g. $gg \to H$) and power counting
- Operator basis $O_j^{(i)}$ depends on spin of non-QCD part and power counting \implies more general (same basis for all $gg \rightarrow$ spin-0 at $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^i)$)

PREPARED FOR SUBMISSION TO JHEP

MIT-CTP 4837

A Subleading Operator Basis and Matching for $gg \rightarrow H$

lan Moult,^{1,2,3} lain W. Stewart,³ and Gherardo Vita³

¹Berkeley Center for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA ²Theoretical Physics Group, Laurence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA ³Center for Theoretical Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Note that, by definition, hard scattering operator basis is: at all orders in α_s , finite by power counting and fixed order in EW (typically LO)

Operator basis

- Include all operators with smallest power of λ, compatible with symmetries:
 - Collinear and u.s. gauge invariance (encoded in building blocks $\mathcal{B}_n^{\mu}, \mathcal{B}_{us}^{\mu}, \chi_n, \psi_{us})$
 - Spin of the final state (use helicity building blocks $\mathcal{B}_n^\pm, J_{n\bar{n}}^\pm$)
 - Reparametrization Invariance (physics doesn't change if I change n^μ def. by O(λ²))
- Lot of symmetries \implies lot of constraints

For processes with only 2 collinear directions (n, \bar{n}) at leading power, operator basis is trivial: e.g. for $gg \to H$

$$\boldsymbol{g_ng_{\bar{n}}: \overset{(\underline{b})}{\smile} = -2\omega_1\omega_2\delta^{ab}\mathcal{B}^a_{\perp\bar{n},\omega_2}\cdot\mathcal{B}^b_{\perp n,\omega_1}H}$$

Operator basis at subleading powers

Order	Category	Operators (equation number)	$\sigma_{2j}^{\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)} \neq 0$
$\mathcal{O}(\lambda^0)$	Hgg	$O^{(0)ab}_{\mathcal{B}\lambda_1\lambda_1} = \mathcal{B}^a_{n\lambda_1}\mathcal{B}^a_{\bar{n}\lambda_1}H$	\checkmark
$\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$	$Hq\bar{q}g$	$O^{(1)a\bar{\alpha}\beta}_{\mathcal{B}n\lambda_1(-\lambda_1)} = \mathcal{B}^a_{n\lambda_1} J^{\bar{\alpha}\beta}_{n\bar{n}-\lambda_1} H$	\checkmark
$\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$	$Hq\bar{q}Q\bar{Q}$	$O^{(2)\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\gamma}\delta}_{qQ1(\lambda_1;\lambda_2)} = J^{\bar{\alpha}\beta}_{(q)n\lambda_1} J^{\bar{\gamma}\delta}_{(Q)\bar{n}\lambda_2} H$	
		$O^{(2)\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}\delta}_{qQ2(\lambda_1;\lambda_1)} = J^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}_{(q\bar{Q})n\lambda_1} J^{\bar{\gamma}\delta}_{(Q\bar{q})\bar{n}\lambda_1} H$	
		$O^{(2)\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}\delta}_{qQ3(\lambda_1;-\lambda_1)} = J^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}_{(q)n\bar{n}\lambda_1} J^{\bar{\gamma}\delta}_{(Q)n\bar{n}-\lambda_1} H$	
	$Hq\bar{q}gg$	$O^{(2)ab\bar{\alpha}\beta}_{\mathcal{B}1\lambda_1\lambda_2(\lambda_3)} = \mathcal{B}^a_{n\lambda_1}\mathcal{B}^b_{\bar{n}\lambda_2} J^{\bar{\alpha}\beta}_{n\lambda_3} H$	\checkmark
		$O^{(2)ab\bar{\alpha}\beta}_{\mathcal{B}2\lambda_1\lambda_2(\lambda_3)} = \mathcal{B}^a_{\bar{n}\lambda_1}\mathcal{B}^b_{\bar{n}\lambda_2}J^{\bar{\alpha}\beta}_{n\lambda_3}H$	
	Hgggg	$O_{4g1\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}^{(2)abcd} = S\mathcal{B}^a_{n\lambda_1}\mathcal{B}^b_{n\lambda_2}\mathcal{B}^c_{\bar{n}\lambda_3}\mathcal{B}^d_{\bar{n}\lambda_4}H$	
		$O^{(2)abcd}_{4g2\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} = S\mathcal{B}^a_{n\lambda_1}\mathcal{B}^b_{\bar{n}\lambda_2}\mathcal{B}^c_{\bar{n}\lambda_3}\mathcal{B}^d_{\bar{n}\lambda_4}H$	\checkmark
	\mathcal{P}_{\perp}	$O_{\mathcal{P}\chi\lambda_1(\lambda_2)[\lambda_{\mathcal{P}}]}^{(2)a\bar{\alpha}\beta} = \mathcal{B}_{n\lambda_1}^a \left\{ J_{\bar{n}\lambda_2}^{\bar{\alpha}\beta} (\mathcal{P}_{\perp}^{\lambda_{\mathcal{P}}})^\dagger \right\} H$	\checkmark
		$O_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3[\lambda_\mathcal{P}]}^{(2)abc} = S \mathcal{B}_{n\lambda_1}^a \mathcal{B}_{\bar{n}\lambda_2}^b \left[\mathcal{P}_{\perp}^{\lambda_\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{B}_{\bar{n}\lambda_3}^c \right] H$	\checkmark
	Ultrasoft	$O^{(2)a\bar{\alpha}\beta}_{\chi n(us)0:(\lambda_1)} = \mathcal{B}^a_{us(n)0} J^{\bar{\alpha}\beta}_{n\bar{n}\lambda_1} H$	
		$O^{(2)\bar{\alpha}\beta}_{\partial\chi n(us)\lambda_1:(\lambda_2)} = \{\partial_{us(n)\lambda_1} J^{\bar{\alpha}\beta}_{n\bar{n}\lambda_2}\} H$	
		$O^{(2)abc}_{\mathcal{B}n(us)\lambda_1:\lambda_2\lambda_3} = \mathcal{B}^a_{us(n)\lambda_1} \mathcal{B}^b_{n\lambda_2} \mathcal{B}^c_{\bar{n}\lambda_3} H$	\checkmark
		$O_{\partial \mathcal{B}n(us)\lambda_1:\lambda_2\lambda_3}^{(2)ab} = \left[\partial_{us(n)\lambda_1} \mathcal{B}_{n\lambda_2}\right] \mathcal{B}_{\bar{n}\lambda_3} H$	\checkmark

Matching

Matching: a straightforward example

$$(g)_{n}(gg \mathcal{P}_{\perp})_{\bar{n}} : \left| \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{PB1}}^{(2)} = \mathcal{B}_{n\perp,\omega_{1}}^{a} \cdot \left[\mathcal{P}_{\perp} \mathcal{B}_{\bar{n}\perp,\omega_{2}}^{b} \cdot \right] \mathcal{B}_{\bar{n}\perp,\omega_{3}}^{c} H \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{PB2}}^{(2)} = \left[\mathcal{P}_{\perp} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\bar{n}\perp,\omega_{3}}^{a} \right] \mathcal{B}_{n\perp,\omega_{1}}^{b} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\perp\bar{n},\omega_{2}}^{c} H \\ \bullet \text{ Assign kinematics with overlap to the operator:} \\ p_{1}^{\mu} = \omega_{1} \frac{n^{\mu}}{2}, \quad p_{2}^{\mu} = \omega_{2} \frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}}{2} + p_{\perp}^{\mu} + p_{2}^{c} \frac{n^{\mu}}{2}, \quad p_{3}^{\mu} \sim \omega_{3} \frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}}{2} - p_{\perp}^{\mu} + p_{3}^{c} \frac{n^{\mu}}{2} \\ \bullet \text{ Expand full theory}^{1} \text{ diagrams at } \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{2}): \\ \begin{pmatrix} p_{3,c} & p_{1,a} \\ \bar{n} & p_{3} & p_{1,a} \\ \bar{n} & p_{3} & p_{3}$$

TΤ

¹QCD with pointlike gluon fusion Higgs production

Matching: a straightforward example

• Extract Wilson Coefficient of the operators

$$C^{(2)}_{\mathcal{PB}1} = -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) 4ig\left(2 + \frac{\omega_3}{\omega_2} + \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_3}\right) \quad O^{(2)}_{\mathcal{PB}1} = f^{abc} \mathcal{B}^a_{n\perp,\omega_1} \cdot \left[\mathcal{P}_{\perp} \mathcal{B}^b_{\bar{n}\perp,\omega_2} \cdot\right] \mathcal{B}^c_{\bar{n}\perp,\omega_3} H ,$$

$$C^{(2)}_{\mathcal{PB}2} = 4ig\left(2 + \frac{\omega_3}{\omega_2} + \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_3}\right) \qquad O^{(2)}_{\mathcal{PB}2} = f^{abc} \left[\mathcal{P}_{\perp} \cdot \mathcal{B}^a_{\bar{n}\perp,\omega_3}\right] \mathcal{B}^b_{n\perp,\omega_1} \cdot \mathcal{B}^c_{\perp\bar{n},\omega_2} H ,$$

• Matching onto Helicity basis

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{PB}+++[-]}^{(2)} &= 4gif^{abc} \left(2 + \frac{\omega_3}{\omega_2} + \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_3} \right) \mathcal{B}_{n+,\omega_1}^a \mathcal{B}_{\bar{n}+,\omega_3}^b \left[\mathcal{P}_{\perp}^- \mathcal{B}_{\bar{n}+,\omega_2}^c \right] H \,, \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{PB}---[+]}^{(2)} &= 4gif^{abc} \left(2 + \frac{\omega_3}{\omega_2} + \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_3} \right) \mathcal{B}_{n-,\omega_1}^a \mathcal{B}_{\bar{n}-,\omega_3}^b \left[\mathcal{P}_{\perp}^+ \mathcal{B}_{\bar{n}-,\omega_2}^c \right] H \,, \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{PB}++-[+]}^{(2)} &= -2gif^{abc} \left(2 + \frac{\omega_3}{\omega_2} + \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_3} \right) \mathcal{B}_{n+,\omega_1}^a \mathcal{B}_{\bar{n}-,\omega_3}^b \left[\mathcal{P}_{\perp}^+ \mathcal{B}_{\bar{n}+,\omega_2}^c \right] H \,, \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{PB}-+-[-]}^{(2)} &= -2gif^{abc} \left(2 + \frac{\omega_3}{\omega_2} + \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_3} \right) \mathcal{B}_{n-,\omega_1}^a \mathcal{B}_{\bar{n}-,\omega_3}^b \left[\mathcal{P}_{\perp}^- \mathcal{B}_{\bar{n}+,\omega_2}^c \right] H \,. \end{split}$$

Matching: Feynman Rule

In this way we get the Feynman rule in the EFT:

$$\begin{array}{c} p_{3,\,c,\,\rho} & p_{2,\,b,\,\nu} \\ \bar{n} & p_{1,\,a,\,\mu} \\ & & \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{PB}}^{(2)} & n \end{array} = 4gf^{abc} \left(2 + \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_3} + \frac{\omega_3}{\omega_2} \right) \left[p_{\perp}^{\mu} g_{\perp}^{\nu\rho} - p_{\perp}^{\nu} g_{\perp}^{\mu\rho} - p_{\perp}^{\rho} g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{p_{\perp}^2}{\omega_2 \omega_3} \left(\omega_3 n^{\nu} g_{\perp}^{\mu\rho} - \omega_2 n^{\rho} g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} + p_{\perp}^{\mu} n^{\nu} n^{\rho} \right) \right] \,.$$

Gauge invariant collinear gluon building block:

$$g\mathcal{B}_{n\perp}^{\mu} = g\left(A_{\perp k}^{\mu a}T^{a} - k_{\perp}^{\mu}\frac{\bar{n}\cdot A_{nk}^{a}T^{a}}{\bar{n}\cdot k}\right) + \mathcal{O}(g^{2})$$

Matching: a less straightforward example

- \bullet EFT has non localities only at the hard scale $Q\sim\omega\sim\lambda^0$
- Some full theory diagrams have non localities also at the soft scale λ^2 (eg. $(p_2 + p_3)^2 \sim p_2^r \omega_3 + p_3^r \omega_2 + p_\perp^2 \sim \lambda^2)$



 Need to cancel with EFT contributions (SCET diagrams with same final states) e.g.



 $_{n}$ $^{\times}$ collinear gluon splitting in SCET

Matching: cancellation of soft non localities

• Cancellation of soft non localities gives a strong cross check on matching of operators (both 3g and 4g operators involved)



$$= 8ig^2 p_{\perp} \cdot \epsilon_{1\perp} p_{\perp} \cdot \epsilon_{2\perp} \epsilon_{3\perp} \cdot \epsilon_{4\perp} \left(\frac{f^{abe} f^{ecd}}{(p_2 + p_3)^2} \frac{(\omega_2 + \omega_3 + \omega_4)^2}{(\omega_3 + \omega_2)\omega_4} + [3 \leftrightarrow 4, b \leftrightarrow c] \right) \,.$$

Resulting Wilson Coefficient is free of soft non localities

$$C_{4g}^{(2)} = 16\pi\alpha_s \left(3 + \frac{\omega_j^3 + \omega_k^3 + \omega_\ell^3 + \omega_j\omega_k\omega_\ell}{(\omega_j + \omega_k)(\omega_j + \omega_\ell)(\omega_k + \omega_\ell)}\right)$$

What is subleading power good for?

Leading Power

• Observables can be organized in an expansion in τ .



• Leading power well understood for a wide variety of observables.



Subleading Power

- Subleading powers much less well understood.
- Are there factorization theorems at each power?

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{(n)}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \sum_{j} H_{j}^{(n_{Hj})} \otimes J_{j}^{(n_{Jj})} \otimes S_{j}^{(n_{Sj})}$$

- What is the degree of universality?
- Start by looking at Next-to-Leading Power (NLP):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{(2)}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^n \sum_{m=0}^{2n-1} c_{nm}^{(2)} \log^m \tau$$

• Goal: Understand all orders structure of NLP logs. Derive RG, etc.

• Fixed order is first step in understanding this.

• Already at fixed order NLP logs have interesting applications.

Application to N-jettiness Subtractions

- NNLO calculations require cancellation of real/virtual poles.
- Use a physical resolution variable to slice phase space.
- Recently a general method allowing for jets in final state, based on N-jettiness (see also Andrea Isgrò's talk)

[Boughezal, Focke, Petriello, Liu] [Gaunt, Stahlhofen,Tackmann, Walsh]

$$\sigma(X) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\mathcal{T}_{N} \frac{d\sigma(X)}{d\mathcal{T}_{N}} = \int_{0}^{\mathcal{T}_{N}^{\text{cut}}} d\mathcal{T}_{N} \frac{d\sigma(X)}{d\mathcal{T}_{N}} + \int_{\mathcal{T}_{N}^{\text{cut}}}^{\infty} d\mathcal{T}_{N} \frac{d\sigma(X)}{d\mathcal{T}_{N}}$$

N-jettiness Subtractions

$$\sigma(X) = \int_{0} d\mathcal{T}_{N} \frac{d\sigma(X)}{d\mathcal{T}_{N}} = \int_{0}^{\mathcal{T}_{N}^{\text{cut}}} d\mathcal{T}_{N} \frac{d\sigma(X)}{d\mathcal{T}_{N}} + \int_{\mathcal{T}_{N}^{\text{cut}}} d\mathcal{T}_{N} \frac{d\sigma(X)}{d\mathcal{T}_{N}}$$

$$\int_{0}^{\mathcal{T}_{N}^{\mathsf{cut}}} d\mathcal{T}_{N} \frac{d\sigma(X)}{d\mathcal{T}_{N}}$$

Compute using factorization in soft/collinear limits:

 $\frac{d\sigma}{d\tau_N} = HB_a \otimes B_b \otimes S \otimes J_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes J_{N-1}$

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}_N^{\mathsf{cut}}} d\mathcal{T}_N \frac{d\sigma(X)}{d\mathcal{T}_N}$$

Additional jet resolved. Use NLO subtractions.

Power Corrections

- Current subtractions use leading power result in singular region.
- Power corrections are dropped \implies small values of $\mathcal{T}_N^{\mathrm{cut}}$ necessary.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \sum_{n=0}^{n=\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^n \sum_{n=0}^{2n-1} c_{nm}^{(0)} \left(\frac{\log^m \tau}{\tau}\right)_+ \\ + \sum_{n=0}^{n=\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^n \sum_{n=0}^{2n-1} c_{nm}^{(2)} \log^m \tau \\ + \sum_{n=0}^{n=\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^n \sum_{n=0}^{2n-1} c_{nm}^{(2)} \tau \log^m \tau \\ + \cdots$$

 Use of a physical resolution variable analytically tractable. [Gaunt, Stahlhofen, Tackmann, Walsh], see also Andrea's Talk [Boughezal, Petriello, Liu, et al.]

N-jettiness Subtractions



[Ian Moult, Lorena Rothen, Iain W. Stewart, Frank J. Tackmann, and Hua Xing Zhu1- arXiv:1612.00450v1]

Outlook

- Understand factorization beyond leading power
 - Systematic study of subleading Lagrangian insertions (Subleading Power Radiative Functions)
 - Combine subleading hard scattering operator and Radiative Functions
- Extend it to SCET_{II}
- Apply universal subleading SCET pieces to many observables to "automatize" NNLO FO calculations
- Perform resummation of subleading logarithms (next to leading power, next to eikonal)

Outlook

- Understand factorization beyond leading power
 - Systematic study of subleading Lagrangian insertions (Subleading Power Radiative Functions)
 - Combine subleading hard scattering operator and Radiative Functions
- Extend it to SCET_{II}
- Apply universal subleading SCET pieces to many observables to "automatize" NNLO FO calculations
- Perform resummation of subleading logarithms (next to leading power, next to eikonal)

Thank you!

Backup slides

Helicity building blocks: definition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{i\pm}^{a} &= -\varepsilon_{\mp\mu}(n_{i},\bar{n}_{i}) \, \mathcal{B}_{n_{i}\perp,\omega_{i}}^{a\mu} \,, \\ \chi_{i\pm}^{\alpha} &= \frac{1\pm\gamma_{5}}{2} \chi_{n_{i},-\omega_{i}}^{\alpha} \,, \qquad \bar{\chi}_{i\pm}^{\bar{\alpha}} = \bar{\chi}_{n_{i},-\omega_{i}}^{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{1\mp\gamma_{5}}{2} \,. \end{aligned}$$

$$\varepsilon^{\mu}_{+}(p,k) = \frac{\langle p + |\gamma^{\mu}|k + \rangle}{\sqrt{2} \langle kp \rangle} \,, \qquad \varepsilon^{\mu}_{-}(p,k) = -\frac{\langle p - |\gamma^{\mu}|k - \rangle}{\sqrt{2}[kp]} \,,$$

• Helicity currents where the quarks are in opposite collinear sectors,

$$h = \pm 1: \quad J_{n\bar{n}\pm}^{\bar{\alpha}\beta} = \mp \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega_n \,\omega_{\bar{n}}}} \frac{\varepsilon_{\mp}^{\mu}(n,\bar{n})}{\langle \bar{n}\mp | n\pm \rangle} \bar{\chi}_{n\pm}^{\bar{\alpha}} \,\gamma_{\mu} \chi_{\bar{n}\pm}^{\beta} ,$$

$$h = 0: \qquad J_{n\bar{n}0}^{\bar{\alpha}\beta} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\omega_n \,\omega_{\bar{n}}} \, [n\bar{n}]} \bar{\chi}_{n+}^{\bar{\alpha}} \chi_{\bar{n}-}^{\beta} , \quad (J^{\dagger})_{n\bar{n}0}^{\bar{\alpha}\beta} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\omega_n \,\omega_{\bar{n}}} \langle n\bar{n} \rangle} \bar{\chi}_{n-}^{\bar{\alpha}} \chi_{\bar{n}+}^{\beta}$$

• as well as where the quarks are in the same collinear sector,

$$\begin{split} h &= 0: \qquad J_{i0}^{\bar{\alpha}\beta} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\omega_{\bar{\chi}}\,\omega_{\chi}}}\,\bar{\chi}_{i+}^{\bar{\alpha}}\,\bar{\eta}_{i}\,\chi_{i+}^{\beta}\,, \qquad J_{i\bar{0}}^{\bar{\alpha}\beta} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\omega_{\bar{\chi}}\,\omega_{\chi}}}\,\bar{\chi}_{i-}^{\bar{\alpha}}\,\bar{\eta}_{i}\,\chi_{i-}^{\beta}\,, \\ h &= \pm 1: \quad J_{i\pm}^{\bar{\alpha}\beta} = \mp \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega_{\bar{\chi}}\,\omega_{\chi}}} \frac{\epsilon_{\mp}^{\mu}(n_{i},\bar{n}_{i})}{\left(\langle n_{i}\mp |\bar{n}_{i}\pm\rangle\right)^{2}}\,\bar{\chi}_{i\pm}^{\bar{\alpha}}\,\gamma_{\mu}\bar{\eta}_{i}\,\chi_{i\mp}^{\beta}\,. \end{split}$$

Helicity building blocks: power counting

Field:	$\mathcal{B}^a_{i\pm}$	$J_{ij\pm}^{\bar{\alpha}\beta}$	$J_{ij0}^{ar{lpha}eta}$	$J_{i\pm}^{\bar{\alpha}\beta}$	$J_{i0}^{ar{lpha}eta}$	$J_{i\bar{0}}^{\bar{lpha}eta}$	$\mathcal{P}_{\pm}^{\perp}$
Power counting:	λ	λ^2	λ^2	λ^2	λ^2	λ^2	λ
Field:	$\mathcal{B}^{a}_{us(i)}$	$\overline{}_{)\pm}$ \mathcal{B}^a_u	s(i)0	$\partial_{us(i)\pm}$	$\partial_{us(\cdot)}$	$_{i)0}$ ∂_i	$us(i)\overline{0}$
Power counting:	λ^2		λ^2	λ^2	λ^2	2	λ^2