Higher order anisotropies from hydrodynamical freeze-out models

Máté Csanád, Sándor Lökös, Boris Tomášik, Jakub Cimerman and Tamás Csörgő

BGL 2017, Gyöngyös, Hungary

Introduction and motivation

- $\bullet\,$ sQGP behaves like perfect fluid \rightarrow freeze-out hydro models or hydro solutions
- ullet Finite number of nucleons o generalized space-time and the velocity field geometry
- $\bullet\,$ Multipole in space-time solution: PRC90,054911 $\rightarrow\,$ higher order flows
- ullet Azimuthally sensitive HBT have $\cos(n\phi)$ dependences o generalized velocity field needed
- These can be studied experimentally: NPA 904-905 (2013), PRL. 112 (2014) 22



Model building (recipe)

- Parametrization of the hypersurface (Cooper-Frye factor)
- Thermal distribution
- Propertime distribution at the freeze-out
- Quantity measures the geometry
- Some prefactors
- S(x,p) is the product of these
- Main measurable quantities:
 - Spectra: $N_1(p_t, arphi) = \int S(x,p) d^4x$
 - Flows $\langle N_1(p_t,\varphi)\cos(n\varphi)\rangle$
 - Correlation $C_2(q, K) = 1 + \left| \frac{\tilde{S}(q, K)}{\tilde{S}(0, K)} \right|^2$
 - Azimuthally sensitive correlation e.g. $R_{side}^2 = \langle r_{side}^2 \rangle - \langle r_{side} \rangle^2$ where $r_{side} = r \sin(\alpha - \varphi)$



	Buda-Lund model	Blast-wave model
Cooper-Frye factor	$p_{\mu}u^{\mu}$	$oldsymbol{p}_{\mu}u^{\mu}$
Propertime distribution	$\delta(au- au_0)$	$rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\Delta au}\exp\left[-rac{(au- au_0)^2}{2\Delta au^2} ight]$
Thermal distribution	$T=T_0/(1+a^2s)$ exp $\left[-rac{p_\mu u^\mu+\mu}{T} ight]$ where $rac{\mu}{T}=rac{\mu_0}{T_0}-bs$	$\exp\left[-\frac{p_{\mu}u^{\mu}}{T}\right]$
Space-time geometry	s scale parameter	$\Theta\left(1-rac{r}{R(arphi)} ight)$ box proflie

- Space-time anisotropy should put into the scale parameter or the box profile
- ullet The velocity field anisotropy should put into the u^μ velocity field

Now put everything together!

The Buda-Lund model

PRC54 (1996) 1390, EPJA (2016) 52: 311 and NPA742 (2004) 80-94

- The spatial asymmetry is described by the scaling variable
- General *n*-pole spatial asymmetry (elliptical case: n = 2):

$$s = \frac{r^2}{2R^2} \left(1 + \sum_n \epsilon_n \cos(n(\phi - \Psi_n)) \right) + \frac{r_z^2}{2Z^2}$$

- Ψ_n is the angle of the *n*-th order reaction plane
- Derive the velocity field from a potential: $u_{\mu} = \gamma(1, \partial_x \Phi, \partial_y \Phi, \partial_z \Phi)$
- General *n*-pole asymmetrical potential (elliptical case: n = 2):

$$\Phi = H \frac{r^2}{2} \left(1 + \sum_n \chi_n \cos(n(\phi - \Psi_n)) \right) + H_z \frac{r_z^2}{2}$$



General aspects Eur. Phys. J. A (2016) 52: 311

- There is multipole solution: Phys.Rev.C90(2014) based on HeavylonPhys.A21:73(2004)
- In our case $u^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}s=0$ can be fulfilled :

• in
$$\mathcal{O}(\epsilon_n)$$
 and $\mathcal{O}(\chi_n)$ if $\dot{\epsilon}_n=-2rac{\dot{R}}{R}\chi_n$ and $rac{\dot{R}}{R}=H$

• in any order with the same index
$$rac{\dot{R}}{R}=H\left(1+rac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\epsilon_n\chi_n\left(1+rac{n^2}{4}
ight)
ight)$$

• Or for any k > 0

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{k} = 2H\chi_{k} - 2\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R} - H\right)\epsilon_{k} + H\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\epsilon_{n}\chi_{n+k}\left(1 + \frac{n(n+k)}{4}\right) + H\sum_{\substack{n=1\\n\neq k}}^{\infty}\epsilon_{n}\chi_{|n-k|}\left(1 + \frac{n(n-k)}{4}\right)$$

The Blast-wave model

PRL (1979) 42, PRC (2004) 70, EPJ. A (2017) 53: 161

• Space anisotropy is characterized by Fourier series of the fireball radius

$$R(\theta) = R_0(1 - a_2\cos(2(\theta - \theta_2)) - a_3\cos(3(\theta - \theta_3)))$$

• The velocity field with $ho =
ho\left(rac{r}{R(heta)}, heta
ight)$ and $heta_b = heta_b(r, heta)$

 $u_{\mu} = (\cosh \eta_{s} \cosh \rho, \sinh \rho \cos \theta_{b}, \sinh \rho \sin \theta_{b}, \sinh \eta_{s} \cosh \rho)$

• Flow anisotropy is characterized by Fourier series of the transverse rapidity s

$$\rho\left(\frac{r}{R(\theta)},\theta\right) = \frac{r}{R(\theta)}(1+2\rho_2\cos(2(\theta_b-\theta_2))+2\rho_3\cos(3(\theta_b-\theta_3)))$$

• θ_b is angle of the transverse velocity



Observables at freeze-out

- Invariant transverse momentum distribution, flows, azimuthally sensitive HBT radii
- All asymmetries are investigated in their respective reaction plane
- Rotate the system to the second / third order plane and average on the angle of the third / second order plane
- The proper parameters can be set to zero to avoid the averaging



Invariant momentum distribution in Buda-Lund model

Significant change could be at high p_t , the log slope is not affected strongly



Invariant momentum distribution in Blast-wave model

Flow anisotropy also influences azimuthally integrated spectrum a bit



Ambiguity in determination of the flow

- The parameters affect the flows together
- We can get the same v_n with different combination
- In the Buda Lund model:



Ambiguity in determination of the flow

- The very same in the Blast-wave model
- Only the flow is not enough to determine the anisotropies
- $v_2(p_t)$ and $v_3(p_t)$ in the Blast-wave model at $p_t=300$ MeV



12/22

HBT radii

• Calculate in the *out - side - long* system

$$R_{
m out}^2 = \langle r_{
m out}^2
angle - \langle r_{
m out}
angle^2$$
 and $R_{
m side}^2 = \langle r_{
m side}^2
angle - \langle r_{
m side}
angle^2$

where $r_{out} = r \cos(\phi - \alpha) - \beta_t t$ and $r_{side} = r \sin(\phi - \alpha)$ \rightarrow C. J. Plumberg, C. Shen, U. W. Heinz Phys.Rev. C88 (2013) 044914

• There can be higher order parts

 \rightarrow B. Tomášik and U. A. Wiedemann, arXiv:hep-ph/0210250

- We use the following parametrisation in
 - elliptical case: $R_{out,0}^2 = R_{out,0}^2 + R_{out,2}^2 \cos(2\alpha) + R_{out,4}^2 \cos(4\alpha) + R_{out,6}^2 \cos(6\alpha)$
 - triangular case: $R_{out}^2 = R_{out,0}^2 + R_{out,3}^2 \cos(3\alpha) + R_{out,6}^2 \cos(6\alpha) + R_{out,9}^2 \cos(9\alpha)$
- Similar to the $R_{\rm side}^2$

Results of the parametrization – Second order case (Buda-Lund model)

This case already have investigated: Eur.Phys.J.A37:111-119,2008 Mainly $cos(2\phi)$ behaviour but higher order oscillations are also present



Results of the parametrization - Third order case (Buda-Lund model)

For details see: Eur. Phys. J. A (2016) 52: 311

Mainly $\cos(3\phi)$ behaviour but higher order oscillations are also present



Results of the parametrization with different a (Blast-wave model)

15

For details see: Eur. Phys. J. A (2017) 53: 161

5 10

x [fm]

y [fm]

-10

-10 -5

14 $a_3 = 0.1$ 13 12 10 °5 11 10 5 9 8 0 7 14 -5 13



16/22

Results of the parametrization with different a (Blast-wave model)

For details see: Eur. Phys. J. A (2017) 53: 161



17 / 22

Mixing of the parameters in the Buda Lund and the Blast wave model model





< 불▶ 불|= ∽q. 18/22

Disentangling

- How to disentangle the parameters of the flow and the asHBT radii?
- With a simultaneous measurements of these two observables
- After measuring v_n and one of the correlation radii R²_{i;n} we can combine contour plots and get exact parameters of both anisotropies



Momentum dependence of Fourier coefficients calculated from Blast-wave model compared with data from PHENIX.





Azimuthal dependence of HBT radii

Model parameters are
$$p_t = 530$$
 MeV,
 $\tau = 7.8$ fm/c, $\Delta \tau = 2.59$ fm/c,
 $R_0 = 11.4$ fm, $T = 98$ MeV, $\rho_0 = 0.98$

Difference between data and calculations shows that we can't use parameters from one experiment and use them to fit results from another experiment



- The geometry and the velocity field are generalized in freeze-out models
- Higher order flows and azimuthally sensitive HBT radii can be derived
- Absolute value of the azimuthal HBT radii depend on asymmetries
- Higher order oscillation can be observed in HBT radii
- The spatial and velocity field anisotropies both influence v_n coefficients and HBT radii
- The asymmetry parameters can be disentangle from the flows and the amplitudes

Thank you for your attention!

Backup slides – Higher order amplitudes

Second order:



< 클 ▶ < 클 ▶ 클 ⊨ ∽ Q @ 23 / 22

Backup slides – Higher order amplitudes

Third order:



< 클 > < 클 > 클 = ∽ 의 ↔ 24 / 22

Backup slides – Averaging

Averaging vs. set-to-zero



Backup slides – Square of residuals







▲□ ▶ < 圕 ▶ < 트 ▶ < 트 ▶ 美国 のへで 26 / 22

Earlier results

Fits with elliptical Buda Lund model: Eur.Phys.J. A47 (2011) 58-66



▶ < Ē ▶ 콜|= ∽ Q (~ 27 / 22

-