



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

Office of
Science

On “Ultimate” Energy Frontier Colliders

Vladimir Shiltsev

Fermilab *, Batavia, IL , USA

Accelerator Physics Center

February 13, 2017



“Dream” Collider: Choices

- Far Future “Energy Frontier” assumes

- ❖ 300-1000 TeV (20-100 × LHC)

- ❖ “decent luminosity” (TBD)

- Surely we know: circular collider

1. For the same reason there is no circular e^+e^- collider above Higgs-F there will be no circular pp colliders beyond 100 TeV → LINEAR

$$L \propto \frac{\eta P_{wall}}{E^3} \frac{\xi_y}{\beta_y}$$

2. Electrons radiate 100% **linear collider** *beam-strahlung* (<3 TeV) and in focusing channel (<10 TeV) → $\mu^+\mu^-$ or pp

$$L \propto \frac{\eta_{linac} P_{wall}}{E} \frac{N_\gamma}{\sigma_y}$$

“Phase-Space” is Further Limited

- “Cost Feasibility”: for 20-100 × LHC
 - ❖ < 10 B\$
 - ❖ < 10 km
 - ❖ < 10 MW (beam power, ~100MW total)

→ New technology should provide **>30 GeV/m @**


total component cost <1M\$/m (~NC magnets now)

SC magnets equiv. ~ 0.5 GeV per meter (LHC)

3. Only one option for >30 GeV/m known now:


dense plasma → that *excludes protons* → only muons

Paradigm Shift : *Energy vs Luminosity*



New Technology- Plasma

Idea- Tajima & Dawson, Phys. Rev. Lett. (1979)
(b)



$$E_0 = \frac{m_e c \omega_p}{e} \approx 100 \left[\frac{\text{GeV}}{m} \right] \cdot \sqrt{n_0 [10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}]}$$

Option A:

Short intense e-/e+/p bunch
Few 10^{16} cm^{-3} , **6 GV/m** over 0.3m


Option B:

Short intense laser pulse
 $\sim 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, **50 GV/m** over 0.1m

First looks into "Plasma-Collider": **staging kills ! $\langle E \rangle \sim 2 \text{ GV/m}, \varepsilon$**

New Approach:

Acceleration in Continuous Focusing Channel




$$E_0 = \frac{m_e c \omega_p}{e} \approx 100 \left[\frac{\text{GeV}}{m} \right] \cdot \sqrt{n_0 [10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}]}$$

$10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3} \rightarrow 10 \text{ TV/m}, \lambda_p \sim 0.3 \mu\text{m}$

$10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-3} \rightarrow 100 \text{ TV/m}, \lambda_p \sim 0.03 \mu\text{m}$


Synchrotron radiation
losses balance energy gain:
0.3 TeV for positrons
10 000 TeV for muons (+)
1000 000 TeV for protons

What Do We Know about Crystals?



$$l_d \text{ [m]} \sim E \text{ [TeV]}$$

T980 experiment at Tevatron, N.Mokhov et al JINST 6 T08005 (2011)




- Strong inter-planar electric fields $\sim 10\text{V/\AA}=1\text{GV/cm}$
- **Very stable, can be used for**
 - deflection/bending (*works*)
 - focusing (*works*)
 - acceleration (*if excited*)

$\sim 92.5 \pm 5\%$ efficiency
Or $l_d \sim 5\text{mm}/0.025 < 0.2\text{m}$

4 mm Si in LHC



Bent crystal



S. Redaelli, *Physics Beyond Colliders*, 06/09/2016

~2 mrad at 7 TeV

Equivalent magnetic field for
50 μrad at **7 TeV** proton
beams: **310 T** (4 mm crystal)



~99.5% efficiency

Or $l_d \sim 4\text{mm}/0.005 = 0.8\text{m}$

Collider considerations


$$\frac{dN}{dt} = -N/\gamma\tau_0 \quad \frac{N}{N_0} \approx \left(\frac{m_\mu c^2}{E}\right)^\kappa$$

$$\kappa = (m_\mu c/\tau_0 G) \ll 1/\ln(\dot{E}/m_\mu c^2)$$

i.e. irrelevant

$$A \sim 1 \text{ \AA}^2 = 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2 \quad N_0 \sim 10^3 \text{ particles}$$

$$L = fN^2/A = f \times 10^{16} \times 10^6 n_{\text{ch}} [\text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}]$$



$$L [\text{sm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}] \approx 4 \times 10^{33-35} \frac{P^2 [\text{MW}]}{E^2 [\text{TeV}] f n_{\text{ch}} [10^8 \text{ Hz}]}$$



Table 4. Options for future particle colliders.

Collider type	Dielectric based	Plasma based	Crystal channeling
Accelerating media	Microstructures	Ionized plasma	Solid crystals
Energy source: option 1 option 2	Optical laser e ⁻ bunch	e ⁻ bunch Optical laser	X-ray laser
Preferred particles	Any stable	e ⁻ , μ ⁻	μ ⁺ , p ⁺
Max accelerating gradient, GeV m ⁻¹	1–3	30–100	100–10 ⁴
CM energy reach in 10 km	3–10	3–50	10 ³ –10 ⁵
Number of stages/10 km: option 1 option 2	10 ⁵ –10 ⁶ 10 ⁴ –10 ⁵	~ 100 10 ³ –10 ⁴	~ 1

“Dream” Collider = Muons + Acceleration in Crystals + Continuous Focusing (Channeling)

V.Shiltsev, Phys. Uspekhy 55 965 (2012)




$$E_0 = \frac{m_e c \omega_p}{e} \approx 100 \left[\frac{\text{GeV}}{m} \right] \cdot \sqrt{n_0 [10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}]}$$




$n \sim 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3}$,
10 TeV/m
→

1 PeV = 1000 TeV


$n_\mu \sim 1000$
 $n_B \sim 100$
 $f_{rep} \sim 10^6$
 $L \sim 10^{30-32}$



Ways to excite the crystal (1)



Crystal Excitation by X-Rays




Tajima, Cavenago, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 59 (1987), 1440


FIG. 1. Bormann anomalous transmission. When the x rays are injected at the Bragg angle, the Bormann effect takes place. Particle beams are injected along the crystal axis.

- Need 40keV high peak power x-rays
 - now available from SASE FELs like LCLS
- Gradients $>1\text{GV/cm}$
- Muons preferred
 - No bremsstrahlung, no nucl.
- μ^+ rad length 10^9 cm
 - total energy $\sim 10^9\text{ GeV}$

Ways to excite the crystal (2)




Ways to excite the crystal (3)




Ways to excite the crystal (4)


Controlled generation of dislocations




Nanotubes(1)





Nanotubes (2)



Excite nanotubes





A Petrenko/CERN

Comparison of the proton-bunch longitudinal profile (left, no plasma) with the profile for a bunch passing through plasma (right), showing the strong modulation of the bunch.

ACCELERATOR TECHNOLOGY
AWAKE makes waves



CERN COURIER

VOLUME 57 NUMBER 1 JANUARY/FEBRUARY 2017

Fermilab

Proof-of-principle test of acceleration in Crystals/Carbon Nano Tubes (CNTs)



Y.M.Shin(NIU/FNAL), V,Shiltsev, C.Thangaraj (FNAL), et al



- 50 MeV (1 nC)

- Without a channel -


- With a channel -




Outline of Proposed Experiment at ASTA

ϵ_{out} (quad-scan)


Y.M.Shin(NIU/FNAL),
C.Thangaraj (FNAL), et al



Wakes compensate
natural energy spread \rightarrow



Combine (funnel) Channels



Bright Future



HEP's "Far" Future

- **Good News**

- options **EXIST**

- 300-1000 TeV muons in plasma/crystals

- **Bad News**

- It will be

High

Energy

Low

Luminosity

*Thank You for Your
Attention!*

