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ATLAS TRIGGER @ LHC

- Rate reduction required ~ 40k
  - Through multilevel architecture

- Level 1 (L1):
  - Hardware based
  - Low resolution data
    - no Inner Detector (ID) data
  - Rate reduction ~ 400 in 2.5μs

- High Level Trigger (HLT):
  - Software based
  - Full resolution data from all the detectors
  - Rate reduction ~ 100 in 200ms avg
THE TRACKING CHALLENGE

- **Track-based trigger selection fundamental**
  - Less pileup dependent
  - Mandatory for jet reconstruction, MET, $b$ and $\tau$ tagging …

- **Tracking is a combinatorial problem**
  - CPU processing time does not scale (linearly) with pileup
  - Tracking information used in small Region of Interest (RoI) for subset of events
  - Reconstruction times:
    - $O(10)$ms for a single RoI
    - $O(10)$s for full scan

- **New hardware track trigger:**
  - Designed to provide good resolution global track reconstruction at full L1 rate
    - Reconstructs all tracks ($pT > 1 \text{ GeV}$) for all L1 accepted events
    - Tracks provided at HLT in $\sim 100 \mu s$ at the L1 rate ($100 \text{ kHz}$)

For more details see [Julie’s talk](#).
THE FAST TRACKER

- FTK: hardware system based on pattern recognition able to find and reconstruct tracks in the ATLAS ID
- Data driven and massively parallel processing system
  - 8k Custom Associative Memory (AM) chips for pattern matching
  - 2k FPGAs for track fitting, data preparation, ambiguity resolution …
- Very complex system!
  - > 450 boards and many interfaces
    - ~400 input links (from ID)
    - O(10)k links in total
  - Data flows among boards
    - Both vertically and horizontally
    - Synchronization between all the boards crucial
  - Two different standards used: VME and ATCA

FTK DATA FLOW

- For more details see Todd’s talk
FTK CONTROL SOFTWARE

- **Current FTK goal:** achieve stable processing providing tracks to HLT in a $\eta - \phi$ region of ATLAS
  - FTK Online SW strategy: make use of the available ATLAS tools while spotting problems and bottlenecks
    - Custom solutions will be introduced during Long Shutdown

- **ATLAS control SW based on Finite State Machine**
  - FTK configuration needs to fit in FSM transitions
  - System configuration very complex
    - Many links to be set-up in the correct order
      - Definition of FTK specific sub-transitions required to cope with configuration complexity
    - Many operations required, some to be repeated in case of errors
FTK CONFIGURATION

- FTK boards configuration:
  - Pattern banks and constants to be loaded on AMChips and FPGAs
    - Not stored in permanent memory
    - SBCs with limited memory (4GB) and CPU power (1.9 GHz - 4 Cores)
- FTK configuration loading time larger than ATLAS configure transition (O(1)h vs O(1)min)
  - Configuration data size ~ 500 MB/board
  - Configuration time ~ 5 min/board
- Data loading moved to interfill period
  - During ATLAS configure transition just verify the validity of the configuration
    - Using checksums computed by both FW and SW on the whole memory content
  - Tool for automatic generation of FTK configurations developed
FTK BOARD ACCESS INTERFERENCES

- Big effort to make board access thread safe
  - Race conditions observed during board configuration

- SW solutions implemented
  - Mutexes to serialize board access from same process
  - System semaphores for multiprocess accesses

- Cons:
  - Board monitoring slowed down
  - Control software less responsive

- Work ongoing on concurrent access management at FW level
  - Where possible
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FTK REMOVAL AND RECOVERY

- **ATLAS** provides procedures to remove and recover faulty subsystem parts while running
  - Challenging for FTK

- **Removal**: allows excluding part of the readout blocking the trigger
  - Output replaced with empty fragments
  - FTK Removal requires deep knowledge on possible failing conditions
    - Currently triggered when too many fragments are missing

- **Recovery**: allows re-activating components previously disabled
  - Recovering the system behind the scenes and stopping the L1 accepts only when FTK re-joins the data taking session
  - **FTK needs to recover while ATLAS is taking data**
    - Recovery operations require definition of FTK internal FSM
    - Application to manage internal FSM and commands dispatching developed
FTK MONITORING

- FTK monitoring sprinted out by the advancement of the commissioning
- Currently focused on board monitoring
  - Status of the internal dataflow
- Information stored in board status registers
  - Monitoring thread in Controller application
    - Data collected via VME/IPbus
    - Published in ATLAS Information and Histogram services and displayed in high level monitoring applications
- Work ongoing on online validation of FTK output
  - Required in view of stable FTK integration
  - Fragments sampled from ATLAS dataflow
  - Online comparison with simulations
FW debugging requires collection of board input/output data
- Require data of the same event for all the boards
- Blocking the monitoring registers writing as soon as an interesting error occurs

Spybuffer:
- large register in which monitoring data are stored
- Typical use-case is monitor input/output of a FW module prior to an error
- FTK Spybuffers are circular buffers

Freeze:
- Operation that triggers the board's FW to deliberately stop updating its Spybuffers
- Affects only the monitoring registers, not the processing FIFOs

Online Spybuffer readout system provided
- Based on ATLAS Event monitoring (EMon)
FTK Spybuffers Monitoring

- **Current readout logic:**
  - Spybuffers collected from the boards by the control application
    - Periodically, through monitoring thread
  - Data made available through the ATLAS EMon service
- **Spybuffer readout is slow**
  - Serialization mutex locked too long
  - Dataflow monitoring stopped during the Spybuffer readout
    - It should have higher priority
    - Useful information only from Spybuffers containing problems
- **Readout logic optimization**
  - Spybuffers read in case of error only
  - Decision driven by a dedicated status register
  - Pre-defined set of selection criteria defined in configuration
FTK STATUS: COMMISSIONING

- Commissioning focuses on two slices
  - Full slice outputting 12-layer tracks
  - Reduced slice outputting 8-layer tracks
    - Used for commissioning upstream boards
    - Slices stably integrated in ATLAS data taking architecture
- First validated FTK 12-layer tracks written to the ATLAS bytestream
- In parallel, working on scaling up the system
CONCLUSIONS

- FTK system currently under commissioning
  - Made steady progress in the last year
  - First 12-layer tracks written in the ATLAS bytestream
  - FTK system well integrated within ATLAS data taking

- Integration of FTK in ATLAS Control SW challenging
  - SW stable and in continuous development
  - Current architecture has some limitations and bottlenecks
    - Custom solutions will be introduced during LS2

- FTK monitoring sprinted out by the advancement of the commissioning
  - Big effort in boosting up dataflow and Spybuffer monitoring to ease debugging
BACKUP
OVERVIEW

- **FTK system**
  - **IM**: receive, cluster hits from Inner Detector
  - **DF**: distribute data to FTK towers
  - **AUX**: reduce data for pattern recognition, 8-layer track fits
  - **AMB**: 8-layer pattern recognition
  - **SSB**: 12-layer track fitting
  - **FLIC**: data formatting for HLT

**FTK DATA FLOW**
## FTK Configuration Generator

- **ATLAS configuration defined in xml files stored on CVS**
  - Usually managed individually through custom configuration editors in Java
  - Cumbersome operation for FTK especially during commissioning

- **A software package has been deployed to generate the FTK configurations**
  - Python tool able to generate configuration files for FTK
  - Configured via a python dictionary with editable options
  - Used to generate dynamically the whole FTK configurations
MONITORING STATUS

- **Board DataFlow**: check that the sub-systems are not stuck – processing is ongoing
  - Status register data published in IS
  - Widely used by FTK experts (e.g. Grafana, custom tools, …)
  - Big effort to define optimal variables for monitoring purpose

- **Board DataQuality**: check that sub-systems produce a reasonable output
  - Information from SpyBuffers published in emon
  - Currently available for 2 boards

- **FTK output DQM**: check FTK output is reasonable
  - using FTK fragment in ATLAS event
  - Framework available, not currently in use

- **High-level FTK output monitoring**: compare FTK output to FTK emulation run on the same ID hits
  - ATLAS events containing FTK and ID fragments
  - Advanced/stable prototype available, to be speed up
WHERE CAN FTK HELP?

• **Trigger and DAQ Run3 goals:**
  • Reduce HLT rate by rejecting events which are background
  • Add sensitivity in channels or open sensitivity to new channels by improving the HLT

• **FTK will help reaching these goals**
  • Jet reconstruction and pileup reduction using data from all pile-up vertices in event
  • Better isolation requirements avoiding inefficiency from pileup
  • MET: tracking can reduce effects of pileup
  • $\tau$ : FTK tracking at early stage will avoid inefficiency from calo only selection
  • $b$ : FTK tracks will save HLT time allowing L1 threshold lowering
  • …