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Jiangmen Underground Neutrino Observatory

- JUNO, a multi-purpose neutrino experiment designed to measure the neutrino mass hierarchy and mixing parameters
  - Start to build in 2014, operational in 2019, located at Guangzhou province
  - Estimated to produce 2PB data/year for 10 years
  - 20 kt Liquid Scintillator detector, 700m deep underground
  - 2-3% energy resolution
  - Rich physics opportunities
Parallelization is being introduced into JUNO offline software system based on TBB

- Fasten JUNO data processing and fully use modern multi-core and many-core hardware
- Enable multi-thread and multi-process simulation and reconstruction

Event-level parallel processing of the JUNO offline software framework SNiPER is already in prototype phase

- See Jiaheng Zou’s talk “The Event Buffer Management for MT-SNiPER ”

Simulation based on Geant4.10 is in good progress

- See Tao Lin’s talk “Status of parallelized JUNO simulation software”
Dirac-based JUNO distributed computing

- JUNO Distributed Computing (DC) has been built on DIRAC to organize heterogeneous and distributed resources
  - Able to integrate with Cluster, Grid and Cloud
  - Currently work in single-core mode

- To accept the coming multi-core jobs, new workload scheduling strategy has to be introduced into JUNO DC Workload Management System (WMS)

- Multi-core design objectives
  - Allow to have both single-core and multi-core JUNO jobs coexisting in a long period
  - Capable to share resources with other experiments on the same sites with good efficiency
DIRAC Workload Management System

- DIRAC workload scheduling is based on pilots strategy
  - User jobs arrive in **TaskQueue**
  - **Pilot Director** submits pilot jobs to sites
  - **Matcher** does the matching between Pilot jobs and users jobs from TaskQueue
  - **Pilots** accept and start user jobs

- Key point for multi-core supports
  - single-core Pilots to multi-core Pilots
  - Matching between multi-core resource and multi-core jobs
Multi-core pilot designs (1)

- In current single-core (SC) pilot mode
  - Each pilot takes one slot from local resource
  - Pull one SC job from job pools

- In multi-core (MC) pilot mode, to accept MC jobs
  - Each pilot need to occupy one or more slot
  - Each pilot can pull one or more jobs from job pools
Multi-core pilot designs (2)

- There are two strategies to provide multi-core pilots

1. **Customized pilots** (B)
   - Send pilots with the same size as the jobs to be pulled
   - M-core pilots occupy M slots and pull M-core jobs
   - Can accept both single-core and multi-core jobs
   - But low efficient when matching with a hybrid of various-core jobs
     - pilot “starving” will happen
Multi-core pilot designs (3)

(2) Shared partitionable pilots (C)

- Send Pilots with same number of cores
- The size of pilots can be whole-node, 4-node, 8-node……, adjusted according to site policy
- M-core Pilots pull more than one N-core jobs (N<=M) until internal slots used up
- For a hybrid of various-core jobs, expected to be more efficient than customized pilots since pilots can be shared by different-core jobs
Tags for matching

- In multi-core case
  - Jobs have requirements on cores
  - Sites have different number of cores to provide

- Tags introduced to mark jobs and resources for matching
  - Sites define number of cores to be accepted in DIRAC CS
    - NumberOfProcessors: Number of cores can be got from the site
    - RequiredTag: Number of cores can be pulled
  - Jobs define number of cores required in JDL
    - Tags=Nprocessors
    - Tags=WholeNode occupy all slots in one WN
  - Job Tag information will be kept in TaskQueue
  - Matcher uses these tags to do final matching
Multi-core pilots Implementation

- In customized Multi-core mode
  - MC pilot directors are introduced to submit MC pilots corresponding to the job tags in TaskQueue

- In partitionable Multi-core mode
  - Pilot directors are adjusted to submit pilots with same number of cores
  - New pilot working mode is introduced in pilots
    - Can accept more than one job
    - Auto-detect the available cores and do simple scheduling, just like little “cluster”

- Matching service takes care of matching using tags from JobDB and DIRAC central configuration service
To completely enable multi-core modes, also need sites to accept multi-core jobs

For Batch system or Grid

- A multi-processor queue or whole node queue need to be created to accept multi-core pilot jobs
- The interface to submit jobs to sites also need to add supports of multi-core jobs submission commands

For Cloud

- VM Director, in the same role of Pilot Director, need to be adjusted to create multi-core VMs instead of submitting multi-core pilots
- Multi-core pilots auto-booted up in VMs to get multi-core jobs
Monitoring for each pilot and job

- In Job Monitoring, Number of cores used by Jobs is added.

- In Pilot monitoring, Cores information of pilots are added to:
  - TotalCores to know the total number of processors the pilot got
  - UsedCores to know current cores being occupied
Monitoring for each pilot and job

- Pilots monitoring graph shows scheduling efficiency for the chosen pilot
  - X: Time, Y: Cores
  - Gray line shows available core in pilots
  - Blue line shows cores used by jobs
- From graph, we can see cores of pilots are not fully used in its life cycle
Tests

- Tests have been done with SLURM and HTCondor sites
  - JUNO Geant4 Monte Carlo jobs
  - 216 CPU core, each nodes with 12/24 cores
- Three job type input included
  - Single-core, whole-node
  - Mixture of SC and MC jobs
- Monitoring and accounting use ElasticSearch and Ganglia
- Three modes are tested and working well
  - Single-core
  - Customized Multi-core
  - Partitionable Multi-core
Efficiency study (1)

- With SC jobs, scheduling efficiency of three modes has no big differences
  - With same input of jobs

- Overhead and tail come from the pilot itself who need time for its life cycle

![Graph 1: 1 core pilots with 1 core jobs, 89.2%]

![Graph 2: 12 core pilots with 1 core jobs, 91.2%]
Efficiency study (2)

- Tests also done with a hybrid of various-core jobs
- Scheduling efficiency of Customized pilots (48%) much worse than that of Partitionable pilots (81%) as expected
  - More idle pilots in customized pilot mode due to its one-to-one matching policy
- Scheduling efficiency of Partitionable pilots mode also not good than SC mode
  - Resources occupied not fulfilled
Efficiency study (3)

- Deep into partitionable pilots mode
  - 12-core pilots
  - 1 core: 2 core: 4 core: 8 core = 1:1:1:1
  - Efficiency is 75%

- One of main efficiency loss is due to scheduling policy
  - Most jobs with less cores are easily selected at beginning
  - 8-core jobs are finished at last past with 4-core idle
Efficiency optimization

- Improvements on Scheduling policy in Matcher
  - Old: Randomly choose jobs matched
  - New: Choose jobs with high priority
    - Define priority with related factors, including:
      - Jobs waiting time, rest of cores in pilots and cores requested by jobs
    - An example to count priority of job \(i\), you can add more factors in
      \[ P_i = ae^{k(v-c_i)^2} + b(w_i + r_i)/r_i \]

The first part is to choose “Big” jobs to reduce resource gap
  - The smaller core gap between pilot \(v\) and the job \(c\), the higher priority the job get

The second part is to avoid “starving” of “Small” jobs
  - The higher waiting time \(w\) above average waiting time \(r\), the higher priority got

Experiments can tune parameters \(a, b, k\) according to different cases
Efficiency optimization

- The tests with new policy showed that the efficiency can be improved 15%?
  - “Big” jobs are matched first
  - Single-core jobs can fill the remaining gaps
Summary and outlook

- Two multi-core pilot modes have been implemented
- The prototype of multi-core supports in DIRAC-based JUNO distributed computing platform is working properly
- Scheduling efficiency is a concern hybrid of various-core jobs
- Efficiency study shown that the partitionable pilot mode is more promising in hybrid of various-core jobs
- With improvement of scheduling policy, the scheduling efficiency of partitionable pilot mode can be improved a lot
- Parameters need to be tuned with future real user cases and job pressure