

# Track 7

## Clouds, virtualization and containers



D. Dykstra (FNAL)

F. Hernandez (CC-IN2P3)

A. McNab (U. Manchester)

M. Sevier (U. Melbourne)

# Some figures

23 oral presentations  
(half-track)

22 posters

Well-attended  
sessions



# Trends/frequently seen themes

- ❖ “Lightweight” sites
- ❖ Much more new interest in containers than VMs
  - Docker, singularity, sometimes both
- ❖ Using clouds to manage demand variability, and own a smaller baseline of hardware

## Lightweight sites

- ❖ LW Sites have resources but want to run HEP work without lots of effort
- ❖ VMs/Containers are key technologies in this emerging area
- ❖ Several solutions discussed: [SIMPLE](#), [CloudScheduler](#), [Vac/Vcycle](#), [DODAS](#), [ElasticCluster](#)
- ❖ Useful BOF on Thursday to bring people face-to-face
- ❖ Ongoing WLCG Working Group

# Lightweight site approaches

- ❖ Three broad approaches:
  - Make it easier to install a conventional site (eg SIMPLE)
  - “Parachute” appropriate VMs on to local cloud resources
    - (eg CloudScheduler, Vcycle)
  - Radically different ways of running conventional jobs in boxes
    - (eg BOINC, Vac)

# Optimisation of cloud platforms

- ❖ On-premises OpenStack platforms of significant size used for both science workloads and infrastructure services, e.g. CERN's: 300 K virtual CPU cores, 3K+ users, 9K+ hypervisors, 40K+ VMs
- ❖ Work on optimization of OpenStack for reducing virtualization overhead: reached 3%
- ❖ Additional OpenStack services being progressively put into production, including provision of baremetal machines, file shares among VMs, container orchestration, etc.

## Optimisation of cloud platforms (cont.)

- ❖ Improving the mechanisms for sharing the resources (e.g. VMs) allocated to different projects, to increase overall resource utilisation
- ❖ Instead of a fixed quota per project, use low and high threshold quotas to allow for sharing otherwise unused resources
- ❖ Software agent monitors job queues and send jobs to cloud platforms where resources are available for that project: being used by LHAASO experiment at IHEP

# Large scale cloud usage, cloudbursting

- ❖ CERN made use of 10K core baremetal cloud resources from Oracle for a couple of months See: [K. Wojcik](#)
- ❖ Helix-Nebula Science Cloud (plenaries, [S. Pardi](#) poster)
- ❖ HEPcloud simultaneously used a million cores on an HPC (track 3)
- ❖ Belle II experimented with running simulation jobs in containers on Amazon AWS and Microsoft Azure
  - No additional servers needed outside the cloud
  - Small scale but they verified viability See: [R. Grzymkowski](#)



# Integrating HPC resources

- ❖ CERN has its own HPC resource and managing it with as many of the common grid/cloud tools as possible
  - Backfill with grid jobs to improve utilization See: [P. Sanmillan](#)
- ❖ The University of Torino developed a similar system for managing an HPC they call OCCAM See: [S. Bognasco](#) poster
- ❖ The University of Freiburg integrated their HPC system as a full-featured ATLAS Tier2/Tier3 See: [F. Buhrer](#) poster
- ❖ Some HPC sites do not allow cvmfs and so “fat” containers need to be built with full software stack See: [W. Yang](#) poster

## Backfilling with containerized BOINC

- ❖ ATLAS@home developed an option to run “native” jobs on Linux hosts, using singularity containers on non-RHEL hosts
  - Friendly hosts are asked to install cvmfs and singularity
  - Most of them are already grid sites, using BOINC to improve utilization
  - Runs simulation jobs only (which is half of all ATLAS jobs)
- ❖ Traditional version uses Virtual-box to run on predominantly Windows PCs
- ❖ 85% of ATLAS@Home BOINC uses containerized version
- ❖ At times ATLAS@Home provides 3.5% of all CPU resources for ATLAS

# Opportunistic usage of online farms

- ❖ Both ATLAS and CMS working on improving their mechanisms for exploiting the computing capacity of high level trigger farms (~74K CPU cores each) for offline processing during inter-fills and no-beam periods
- ❖ Constraints: to be able to switch fast between data taking mode and offline processing mode
- ❖ Using OpenStack overlays to build and populate a cluster and launch compute nodes

# A surge in singularity usage

- ❖ Singularity is a great lightweight tool for allowing unprivileged users to run containers
  - Especially good for isolation between jobs run by a pilot, and for flexible control of O.S. versions
  - Use cases for it are popping up in many places
- ❖ CMS requires all its sites to install it
- ❖ ATLAS has put it to some use, has bigger plans [See: A. Forti poster](#)
- ❖ University of Glasgow created Container Pilot for singularity containers to manage their own lifecycle [See: G. Roy poster](#)

# Managing VMs and containers

- ❖ UK grid sites use a tool called Vac to make it easy to manage VMs, and last year it was extended to also manage docker and singularity containers
  - A mix of all 3 types can be running on the same host
  - < 4k lines of python, available for others to use See: [A. McNab](#)
  - Also have a monitoring system called VacMon See: [A. McNab](#) poster

# Virtualized platforms for infrastructure services

- ❖ IHEP has an OpenStack platform for web hosting purposes on VMs, instrumented for collecting network traffic data
  - Purpose: monitoring and detection of abnormal behavior that could be related to security threats or incidents See: [Y. Tian](#)
- ❖ ALICE is using grid services in pre-made containers, “services in a box”, run from docker See: [M. Storetvedt](#)
- ❖ LHCb also makes docker containers available as “black boxes” for sites to run DIRAC services See: [A. McNab](#)

# Dynamic services for clouds

- ❖ Compute Canada developed an accounting and monitoring system for clouds, used by ATLAS and Belle II
  - Cloud VMs are instantiated by CloudScheduler and are instrumented to upload information to ElasticSearch at CERN
  - Securely transfers authorization secrets to the VMs See: [R Sobie](#)
- ❖ They also integrated Dynafed and Rucio for the ATLAS data management system See: [F Berghaus](#) poster
- ❖ DESY developed a system for batch system monitoring using singularity containers and Google cAdvisor See: [T Hartmann](#) poster

# Apache Spark, SWAN, Up2U

- ❖ Apache Spark is an open-source parallel processing framework that allows for sophisticated analytics, real-time streaming and machine learning on large datasets
- ❖ CERN has deployed Spark on several clusters
  - 18 to 48 nodes See: [P Kothuri](#)
  - For accelerator logging, computer center monitoring, and CMS “big data”
- ❖ Integration of Spark clusters with SWAN (Service for Web-based Analysis) enables interactive data exploration and analysis from jupyter notebook interface See: [E Saavedra](#)
- ❖ SWAN used for EU Up2University ed. project See: [E Saavedra](#) poster



# FPGAs in the cloud

- ❖ Amazon AWS and Microsoft Azure have FPGA cloud resources available
  - Azure's FPGAs support distributed tensorflow models
- ❖ There has been some experimentation with FPGA as a Microservice (FaaS) with an FPGA coupled to a CPU

See: [R Kunz](#)

# Other posters

- ❖ [Sharing Openstack private clouds](#)
- ❖ [Openstack accounting management](#)
- ❖ [Kubernetes for object store stress testing](#)
- ❖ [Fair share scheduler for OpenNebula](#)
- ❖ [Oracle Weblogic on Kubernetes](#)
- ❖ [ComputeOps: container for High Performance Computing](#)
- ❖ [Extending a site with containers and cloud technology](#)
- ❖ [A web-based service for parallel applications on the JINR cloud](#)
- ❖ [Advanced Scheduling in IaaS clouds](#)
- ❖ [A Software Management and Testing Platform-as-a-Service for Research Software](#)
- ❖ [OpenShift PaaS as key to the evolution of CERN Web Services](#)
- ❖ [Collaborative Scientific Authoring at CERN](#)

# Overall session link

- ❖ [Track 7 session](#)