

# String corrected Near-Horizon Geometries

ANDREA FONTANELLA



University of Surrey

JHEP **1610** (2016) 121 [arXiv:1605.05635 [hep-th]],  
with J. B. Gutowski and G. Papadopoulos

The 4th Conference of the Polish Society on Relativity

# Black holes in higher dimensions

- In  $D = 4$ , Einstein equations admit a unique class of asymptotically flat black hole solutions, parametrized by  $(M, Q, J)$ , with horizon topology  $S^2$  (*No-hair Theorem*). [Carter, Hawking, Mazur, Israel, Robinson]

# Black holes in higher dimensions

- In  $D = 4$ , Einstein equations admit a unique class of asymptotically flat black hole solutions, parametrized by  $(M, Q, J)$ , with horizon topology  $S^2$  (*No-hair Theorem*). [Carter, Hawking, Mazur, Israel, Robinson]
- In  $D = 5$ , new types of (asymptotically flat) BH solutions appear,

**Black ring**, BH with horizon topology  $S^1 \times S^2$ , discovered in

- Einstein gravity [Emparan, Reall],
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$  minimal supergravity [Elvang, Emparan, Mateos, Reall].

**BMPV**, class of supersymmetric BHs [Breckenridge, Myers, Peet, Vafa]

# Black holes in higher dimensions

- In  $D = 4$ , Einstein equations admit a unique class of asymptotically flat black hole solutions, parametrized by  $(M, Q, J)$ , with horizon topology  $S^2$  (*No-hair Theorem*). [Carter, Hawking, Mazur, Israel, Robinson]
- In  $D = 5$ , new types of (asymptotically flat) BH solutions appear,  
**Black ring**, BH with horizon topology  $S^1 \times S^2$ , discovered in
  - Einstein gravity [Empanan, Reall],
  - $\mathcal{N} = 2$  minimal supergravity [Elvang, Empanan, Mateos, Reall].
- **BMPV**, class of supersymmetric BHs [Breckenridge, Myers, Peet, Vafa]
- String/M-theory suggests us to look at gravitational systems in ten and eleven dimensions. Exotic black hole solutions are expected.

# Black holes in higher dimensions

- In  $D = 4$ , Einstein equations admit a unique class of asymptotically flat black hole solutions, parametrized by  $(M, Q, J)$ , with horizon topology  $S^2$  (*No-hair Theorem*). [Carter, Hawking, Mazur, Israel, Robinson]
- In  $D = 5$ , new types of (asymptotically flat) BH solutions appear,  
**Black ring**, BH with horizon topology  $S^1 \times S^2$ , discovered in
  - Einstein gravity [Empanan, Reall],
  - $\mathcal{N} = 2$  minimal supergravity [Elvang, Empanan, Mateos, Reall].
- **BMPV**, class of supersymmetric BHs [Breckenridge, Myers, Peet, Vafa]
- String/M-theory suggests us to look at gravitational systems in ten and eleven dimensions. Exotic black hole solutions are expected.
- Finding full BH solutions is difficult (based on ansatz)

# Near-horizon geometries

- Reduce a space-time problem down to a spatial problem.



# Near-horizon geometries

- Reduce a space-time problem down to a spatial problem.
- Non-existence of near-horizon geometries rules out the existence of classes of black holes

# Near-horizon geometries

- Reduce a space-time problem down to a spatial problem.
- Non-existence of near-horizon geometries rules out the existence of classes of black holes
- Approaches:
  - Assume isometries
  - “Blackfold approach” - assume conditions on  $T^{\mu\nu}$
  - Assume supersymmetry



# Near-horizon geometries

- Reduce a space-time problem down to a spatial problem.
- Non-existence of near-horizon geometries rules out the existence of classes of black holes
- Approaches:
  - Assume isometries
  - “Blackfold approach” - assume conditions on  $T^{\mu\nu}$
  - Assume supersymmetry
- Supersymmetric near-horizon geometries often experience a doubling of preserved supersymmetries (*supersymmetry enhancement*).

# Near-horizon geometries

- Reduce a space-time problem down to a spatial problem.
- Non-existence of near-horizon geometries rules out the existence of classes of black holes
- Approaches:
  - Assume isometries
  - “Blackfold approach” - assume conditions on  $T^{\mu\nu}$
  - Assume supersymmetry
- Supersymmetric near-horizon geometries often experience a doubling of preserved supersymmetries (*supersymmetry enhancement*).
- Enhanced supersymmetry  $\implies$  Symmetry enhancement  
symmetry of the full solution, generally at least  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

# Higher-derivative horizons

- String corrections are important for understanding quantum corrections of BHs (small BHs, singularity resolution)

# Higher-derivative horizons

- String corrections are important for understanding quantum corrections of BHs (small BHs, singularity resolution)
- Susy enhancement when string corrections are considered?
- e.g.  $\mathcal{N} = 2, D = 5$  sugra + higher-derivative corrections:
  - ∃ new class of near-horizon solutions, which do not enjoy the susy enhancement. [Gutowski, Klemm, Sabra, Sloane]

# Higher-derivative horizons

- String corrections are important for understanding quantum corrections of BHs (small BHs, singularity resolution)
- Susy enhancement when string corrections are considered?
- e.g.  $\mathcal{N} = 2, D = 5$  sugra + higher-derivative corrections:
  - ∃ new class of near-horizon solutions, which do not enjoy the susy enhancement. [Gutowski, Klemm, Sabra, Sloane]

Aim of this work:

- Investigate properties of  $D = 10$  near-horizon geometries with higher-derivative corrections.
- Theory: heterotic supergravity

- Near-Horizon Geometries
- Heterotic Near-Horizon Geometries
- Supersymmetry enhancement?
- Lichnerowicz Theorem



## Assumption

- spacetime contains an (extremal) Killing horizon, i.e. a null-hypersurface  $\mathcal{H}$  associated with the Killing vector  $V$ .

## Assumption

- spacetime contains an (extremal) Killing horizon, i.e. a null-hypersurface  $\mathcal{H}$  associated with the Killing vector  $V$ .

One can introduce a Gaussian Null Co-ordinate system  $\{u, r, y^I\}$ , such that  $V = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ , the horizon  $\mathcal{H}$  is located at  $r = 0$ , and the metric is

$$ds^2 = 2drdu + 2rh_I du dy^I - r^2 \Delta du du + \gamma_{IJ} dy^I dy^J$$

[Isenberg, Moncrief]

where  $\Delta$ ,  $h_I$  and  $\gamma_{IJ}$  are analytic in  $r$ ,  $u$ -independent scalar, 1-form and metric of the 8-dim horizon spatial cross section  $\mathcal{S}$ , which we shall assume **smooth** and **compact without boundary**.

Then we perform the **near-horizon limit**

$$r \rightarrow \varepsilon r \qquad u \rightarrow \frac{u}{\varepsilon} \qquad y^I \rightarrow y^I \qquad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

the metric remains invariant in form, and the near-horizon data  $\{\Delta, h_I, \gamma_{IJ}\} = \{\Delta(y), h_I(y), \gamma_{IJ}(y)\}$ .

In light-cone basis:

$$\mathbf{e}^+ = du \qquad \mathbf{e}^- = dr + rh - \frac{1}{2}r^2\Delta du \qquad \mathbf{e}^i = e^i_J dy^J$$

$$ds^2 = 2\mathbf{e}^+\mathbf{e}^- + \delta_{ij}\mathbf{e}^i\mathbf{e}^j$$

The near-horizon limit only exists for *extremal* black holes.

# Heterotic Near-Horizon Geometries

The bosonic fields of heterotic supergravity are the metric  $g$ , a real scalar dilaton field  $\Phi$ , a real 3-form  $H$ , and a non-abelian 2-form field  $F$ .

They must be well-defined and regular in the near-horizon limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

# Heterotic Near-Horizon Geometries

The bosonic fields of heterotic supergravity are the metric  $g$ , a real scalar dilaton field  $\Phi$ , a real 3-form  $H$ , and a non-abelian 2-form field  $F$ .

They must be well-defined and regular in the near-horizon limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

dilaton  $\Phi = \Phi(y)$

3-form  $H = \mathbf{e}^+ \wedge \mathbf{e}^- \wedge N + r\mathbf{e}^+ \wedge Y + W$

2-form  $A = r\mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}^+ + \mathcal{B}$  ,  $F = dA + A \wedge A$

$N(y), Y(y), W(y)$  are 1, 2, 3-forms,  $\mathcal{P}(y), \mathcal{B}(y)$  are scalar and 1-form.

# Heterotic Near-Horizon Geometries

The bosonic fields of heterotic supergravity are the metric  $g$ , a real scalar dilaton field  $\Phi$ , a real 3-form  $H$ , and a non-abelian 2-form field  $F$ .

They must be well-defined and regular in the near-horizon limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

$$\text{dilaton} \quad \Phi = \Phi(y)$$

$$\text{3-form} \quad H = \mathbf{e}^+ \wedge \mathbf{e}^- \wedge N + r\mathbf{e}^+ \wedge Y + W$$

$$\text{2-form} \quad A = r\mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}^+ + \mathcal{B} , \quad F = dA + A \wedge A$$

$N(y), Y(y), W(y)$  are 1, 2, 3-forms,  $\mathcal{P}(y), \mathcal{B}(y)$  are scalar and 1-form.

Assume all fields, including spinors, admit a Taylor series expansion in  $\alpha'$

$$\Delta = \Delta^{[0]} + \alpha' \Delta^{[1]} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$$



# Supersymmetry

We further assume that the solution is *supersymmetric*, i.e. there exists a Majorana-Weyl Killing spinor  $\epsilon$ , well defined on  $\mathcal{H}$ , satisfying the KSE:

$$\nabla_M^{(+)}\epsilon \equiv \left(\nabla_M - \frac{1}{8}H_{MN_1N_2}\Gamma^{N_1N_2}\right)\epsilon = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2) \quad \text{gravitino}$$

$$\left(\Gamma^M\nabla_M\Phi - \frac{1}{12}H_{N_1N_2N_3}\Gamma^{N_1N_2N_3}\right)\epsilon = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2) \quad \text{dilatio}$$

$$F_{MN}\Gamma^{MN}\epsilon = \mathcal{O}(\alpha') \quad \text{gaugino}$$

[Bergshoeff, de Roo]

$\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection.

$\nabla^{(+)}$  is the connection with torsion  $H$ .

# Supersymmetry

We further assume that the solution is *supersymmetric*, i.e. there exists a Majorana-Weyl Killing spinor  $\epsilon$ , well defined on  $\mathcal{H}$ , satisfying the KSE:

$$\nabla_M^{(+)}\epsilon \equiv \left(\nabla_M - \frac{1}{8}H_{MN_1N_2}\Gamma^{N_1N_2}\right)\epsilon = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2) \quad \text{gravitino}$$

$$\left(\Gamma^M\nabla_M\Phi - \frac{1}{12}H_{N_1N_2N_3}\Gamma^{N_1N_2N_3}\right)\epsilon = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2) \quad \text{dilatio}$$

$$F_{MN}\Gamma^{MN}\epsilon = \mathcal{O}(\alpha') \quad \text{gaugino}$$

[Bergshoeff, de Roo]

$\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection.

$\nabla^{(+)}$  is the connection with torsion  $H$ .

We shall integrate the gravitino KSE along the  $e^+$  and  $e^-$  directions ( $u, r$  dependence of all bosonic fields is known).

Split the Killing spinors into positive and negative light-cone chiralities

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_+ + \epsilon_- , \quad \Gamma_{\pm}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0$$

Integrating the gravitino KSE along  $e^+$  and  $e^-$

$$\epsilon_+ = \eta_+ + \frac{1}{4}u(h + N)_i\Gamma^i\Gamma_+\eta_- + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$$

$$\epsilon_- = \eta_- + \frac{1}{4}r(h - N)_i\Gamma^i\Gamma_-\eta_+ + \frac{1}{8}ru(h - N)_i(h + N)_j\Gamma^i\Gamma^j\eta_- + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$$

$\epsilon$  must satisfy the full KSE  $\implies \eta_{\pm}$  must satisfy the *reduced KSE*

Split the Killing spinors into positive and negative light-cone chiralities

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_+ + \epsilon_- , \quad \Gamma_{\pm}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0$$

Integrating the gravitino KSE along  $e^+$  and  $e^-$

$$\epsilon_+ = \eta_+ + \frac{1}{4}u(h + N)_i\Gamma^i\Gamma_+\eta_- + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$$

$$\epsilon_- = \eta_- + \frac{1}{4}r(h - N)_i\Gamma^i\Gamma_-\eta_+ + \frac{1}{8}ru(h - N)_i(h + N)_j\Gamma^i\Gamma^j\eta_- + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$$

$\epsilon$  must satisfy the full KSE  $\implies \eta_{\pm}$  must satisfy the *reduced KSE*

Global analysis (maximum principle) on  $\tilde{\nabla}^2 \|\eta_{\pm}\|^2$  implies:

$$\Delta = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2) \quad N = h \quad Y = dh$$

**Theorem (Completion of *AdS* classification):**

No *AdS*<sub>2</sub> solutions in heterotic supergravity, up to  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$ , for which  $\mathcal{S}$  is smooth and compact without boundary, and all fields are smooth.

# Supersymmetry enhancement

We simplified the reduced KSE to the following minimal set of KSE:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_i^{(+)}\eta_{\pm} &\equiv \left(\tilde{\nabla}_i - \frac{1}{8}W_{ijk}\Gamma^{jk}\right)\eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2) \\ \mathcal{A}\eta_{\pm} &\equiv \left(\Gamma^i\tilde{\nabla}_i\Phi \pm \frac{1}{2}h_i\Gamma^i - \frac{1}{12}W_{ijk}\Gamma^{ijk}\right)\eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)\end{aligned}$$

# Supersymmetry enhancement

We simplified the reduced KSE to the following minimal set of KSE:

$$\tilde{\nabla}_i^{(+)} \eta_{\pm} \equiv \left( \tilde{\nabla}_i - \frac{1}{8} W_{ijk} \Gamma^{jk} \right) \eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$$

$$\mathcal{A} \eta_{\pm} \equiv \left( \Gamma^i \tilde{\nabla}_i \Phi \pm \frac{1}{2} h_i \Gamma^i - \frac{1}{12} W_{ijk} \Gamma^{ijk} \right) \eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$$

- Zeroth order in  $\alpha'$ :

$$\eta_+ \text{ satisfies " + " } \implies \eta_- = \Gamma_- \Gamma^i h_i \eta_+ \text{ satisfies " - "}$$

and conversely

$$\eta_- \text{ satisfies " - " } \implies \eta_+ = \Gamma_+ \Gamma^i h_i \eta_- \text{ satisfies " + "}$$

Key ingredient:  $\tilde{\nabla}^{(+)} h = \mathcal{O}(\alpha')$

by global analysis on  $\tilde{\nabla}^2 h^2$  (maximum principle)



# Supersymmetry enhancement

We simplified the reduced KSE to the following minimal set of KSE:

$$\tilde{\nabla}_i^{(+)} \eta_{\pm} \equiv \left( \tilde{\nabla}_i - \frac{1}{8} W_{ijk} \Gamma^{jk} \right) \eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$$

$$\mathcal{A} \eta_{\pm} \equiv \left( \Gamma^i \tilde{\nabla}_i \Phi \pm \frac{1}{2} h_i \Gamma^i - \frac{1}{12} W_{ijk} \Gamma^{ijk} \right) \eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$$

- Zeroth order in  $\alpha'$ :

$$\eta_+ \text{ satisfies " + " } \implies \eta_- = \Gamma_- \Gamma^i h_i \eta_+ \text{ satisfies " - "}$$

and conversely

$$\eta_- \text{ satisfies " - " } \implies \eta_+ = \Gamma_+ \Gamma^i h_i \eta_- \text{ satisfies " + "}$$

Key ingredient:  $\tilde{\nabla}^{(+)} h = \mathcal{O}(\alpha')$   
by global analysis on  $\tilde{\nabla}^2 h^2$  (maximum principle)

- First order in  $\alpha'$ :

Susy enhancement if  $\exists$  at least one  $\eta_-^{[0]} \neq 0$ .

Reason: if  $\exists \eta_-^{[0]} \neq 0$ , extra eqn. + local analysis  $\implies \tilde{\nabla}^{(+)} h = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$ .

# Lichnerowicz Theorem

Can we make the statement:

**Killing Spinors  $\eta_{\pm}$   $\stackrel{1:1}{\iff}$  solutions of a Dirac equation ?**

(It works in  $D = 11$  sugra, type IIA, IIB and for  $AdS$  geometries )

# Lichnerowicz Theorem

Can we make the statement:

**Killing Spinors**  $\eta_{\pm}$   $\stackrel{1:1}{\iff}$  **solutions of a Dirac equation** ?

(It works in  $D = 11$  sugra, type IIA, IIB and for  $AdS$  geometries )

*Proof.* Define the modified connection with torsion:

$$\hat{\nabla}_i \equiv \tilde{\nabla}_i^{(+)} + \kappa \Gamma_i \mathcal{A}$$

and the modified near-horizon Dirac operator:

$$\mathcal{D} \equiv \tilde{\not{X}}^{(+)} + q \mathcal{A}$$

$\kappa, q \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\tilde{\nabla}_i^{(+)} \eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{A} \eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$  are the KSE.

Consider the functional

$$\mathcal{I} \equiv \int_S e^{c\Phi} \left( \|\hat{\nabla}_i \eta_{\pm}\|^2 - \|\mathcal{D} \eta_{\pm}\|^2 \right) , \quad c \in \mathbb{R}$$

Manipulate  $\mathcal{I}$  and finally assume  $\mathcal{D}\eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$ .

- Zeroth order in  $\alpha'$ :

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} e^{-2\Phi} \|\hat{\nabla}\eta_{\pm}\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{6}\kappa - 8\kappa^2\right) \int_{\mathcal{S}} e^{-2\Phi} \|\mathcal{A}\eta_{\pm}\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(\alpha')$$

( $q = \frac{1}{12}, c = -2, 0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{48}$ ).

$$\implies \tilde{\nabla}^{(+)}\eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'), \quad \mathcal{A}\eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha')$$

Manipulate  $\mathcal{I}$  and finally assume  $\mathcal{D}\eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$ .

- Zeroth order in  $\alpha'$ :

$$\int_S e^{-2\Phi} \|\hat{\nabla}\eta_{\pm}\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{6}\kappa - 8\kappa^2\right) \int_S e^{-2\Phi} \|\mathcal{A}\eta_{\pm}\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(\alpha')$$

( $q = \frac{1}{12}, c = -2, 0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{48}$ ).

$$\implies \tilde{\nabla}^{(+)}\eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'), \quad \mathcal{A}\eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha')$$

- First order in  $\alpha'$ :

$$\int_S e^{-2\Phi} \left[ \|\hat{\nabla}\eta_{\pm}\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{6}\kappa - 8\kappa^2\right) \|\mathcal{A}\eta_{\pm}\|^2 + \frac{\alpha'}{64} \left( 2 \|\mathit{d}h\eta_{\pm}\|^2 + \|\tilde{F}\eta_{\pm}\|^2 \right) \right] = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$$

$$\implies \tilde{\nabla}^{(+)}\eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'), \quad \mathcal{A}\eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha') \quad (\text{again!})$$

and the extra conditions

$$\tilde{F}_{ij}\Gamma^{ij}\eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha'), \quad \mathit{d}h_{ij}\Gamma^{ij}\eta_{\pm} = \mathcal{O}(\alpha')$$

Lichnerowicz Theorem is not enough to establish susy enh. at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$ .

## Summary

- Non-existence of  $AdS_2$  heterotic backgrounds, for which  $\mathcal{S}$  is smooth, compact and without boundary.



## Summary

- Non-existence of  $AdS_2$  heterotic backgrounds, for which  $\mathcal{S}$  is smooth, compact and without boundary.
- Zeroth order in  $\alpha'$ : Lichnerowicz Theorem is not needed.  
Global analysis of  $h^2$  implies susy enhancement

## Summary

- Non-existence of  $AdS_2$  heterotic backgrounds, for which  $S$  is smooth, compact and without boundary.
- Zeroth order in  $\alpha'$ : Lichnerowicz Theorem is not needed. Global analysis of  $h^2$  implies susy enhancement
- First order in  $\alpha'$ : global analysis ( $\tilde{\nabla}^2 h^2$  and Lichnerowicz) are insufficient to imply susy enhancement. The reason is our no-control on  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$  corrections.

## Summary

- Non-existence of  $AdS_2$  heterotic backgrounds, for which  $\mathcal{S}$  is smooth, compact and without boundary.
- Zeroth order in  $\alpha'$ : Lichnerowicz Theorem is not needed. Global analysis of  $h^2$  implies susy enhancement
- First order in  $\alpha'$ : global analysis ( $\tilde{\nabla}^2 h^2$  and Lichnerowicz) are insufficient to imply susy enhancement. The reason is our no-control on  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$  corrections.
- Found a sufficient condition for susy enhancement. There must exist at least one non-vanishing  $\eta_-^{[0]}$ .

## Open questions for heterotic horizons

- Susy enhancement when all  $\eta_-^{[0]} \equiv 0$  ?

## Open questions for heterotic horizons

- Susy enhancement when all  $\eta_-^{[0]} \equiv 0$  ?
- Extension into the bulk:

near-horizon geometry  $\longrightarrow$  BH solutions ?

- Taylor expand the horizon fields at first order in  $r$  (moduli).
  - Show that the moduli must satisfy an elliptic system of PDEs.
- $\implies$  The moduli space is finite dimensional (see Carmen Li's talk)

What happens to the moduli space when string corrections are considered?