



Transient condition storage

Hadrien Grasland

LAL - Orsay







This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme under Grant Agreement no. 654168.

Introduction

- Review of the ATLAS ICondSvc proposal revealed a number of fundamental shortcomings:
 - Design is complex and largely undocumented
 - Leaks many implementation details
 - Strongly tied to ATLAS infrastructure
- Benedikt and I hence decided to propose a new interface:
 - Take into account ATLAS' use cases and requirements
 - Design interface so that it can wrap ATLAS infrastructure
 - But account better for the wider Gaudi ecosystem

Interface design process

- High-level overview given at LHCb computing workshop^[1]
- To produce concrete interface proposal and prove feasibility, started writing a prototype implementation
- Prototype uses C++/Boost, written outside of Gaudi
 - Enables faster progress towards AIDA-2020 milestone
 - More interesting for the linear collider community
- Focus so far: transient condition storage

Problem statement

- Some entities produce and consume condition data
 - IO services, algorithms...
- Other entities hold condition data in RAM
 - DetectorStore, DD4Hep, ATLAS ConditionStore...
- We shouldn't need to know or care who does what
 - Provide standard interface to condition storage
 - Use handles for data access & dependency tracking

TransientConditionStorageSvc

- Standardized interface to in-RAM condition storage
- Sets storage bounds (N sets of conditions/unbounded)
- Tracks condition producers & consumers
 - Can provide this information to the Gaudi scheduler
- Manages condition storage for events
- Abstracts storage using handles and slots
 - Handle = "Mean to read or write a condition"
 - Slot = "One version of the condition data"

Bounded condition storage

• Query implementation capabilities

// This method indicates the maximum storage capacity supported by the active implementation.
// If no conceptual limit exists, UNBOUNDED_STORAGE will be returned.
static size_t max_capacity();

Setup condition storage accordingly

Monitor storage usage

// This method indicates how many condition storage slots are currently available.
// If no conceptual limit exists, UNBOUNDED_STORAGE will be returned.
size_t availableStorage();

Condition dataflow tracking

• Register condition readers and writers...

• ...with configuration error checking!

// Condition type checking errors will be reported as follows
class InconsistentConditionType : public ConditionPrototypeException { };

// Attempts to register two writers for a single condition will be reported as follows
class WriterAlreadyRegistered : public ConditionPrototypeException { };

Condition data access

Conditions are accessed via thread- and type-safe handles

```
template< typename T >
class ConditionReadHandle
{
public:
```

```
// Condition handles are movable, but not copyable
ConditionReadHandle( const ConditionReadHandle & ) = delete;
ConditionReadHandle( ConditionReadHandle && other ) = default;
ConditionReadHandle & operator=( const ConditionReadHandle & ) = delete;
ConditionReadHandle & operator=( ConditionReadHandle && other ) = default;
```

• WriteHandles can put condition data into storage

```
// Tell whether the condition is set or needs to be (re)generated
bool exists( const ConditionSlotID slot ) const;
```

ReadHandles access this data by const reference

// Provide read-only access to the condition data
const ConditionData<T> & get(const ConditionSlotID slot) const;

A storage allocation caveat

- Imagine that a new event comes, and we cannot give it a condition storage slot yet
 - Classic scenario: all condition slots are used up
 - Other scenarios exist if condition slot initialization is asynchronous (e.g. done by CondAlgs)
- What should we do?
 - Return an error code? (Forces client to poll, less efficient)
 - Block the event scheduling thread? (May deadlock)
 - Best strategy depends on scheduler implementation!

Taking a third option

- The client knows best what to do, so let it choose
- C++ Concurrency TS futures give it many possibilities
 - Poll slot allocation status: is_ready()
 - Wait for slot allocation to complete: get()
 - Execute code once the slot is ready: then()
 - No extra threads needed, mutexes are optional
- Sadly not a priority of the C++ standard committee...
 - ...but an implementation is available in Boost::Thread

Storage management interface

- Condition storage is requested asynchronously...
 cpp_next::future<ConditionSlotID> allocateSlot(const detail::TimePoint & eventTimestamp);
- ...and disposed of after use^[2]
 void liberateSlot(const ConditionSlotID slot);
- Behind the scenes, clever machinery can be used to avoid storage and effort duplication:
 - Events reuse entire condition slots when they fit
 - New slots reuse matching data from other slots
 - Condition data is accessed by shared_ptr

Transient storage status

- Transient storage prototype is now implemented
- Proves (efficient) feasibility of abstract condition storage
- More work will obviously be needed for Gaudi integration
 - Interface consistency with event data storage
 - Format of the dataflow report to Gaudi scheduler
 - Mechanism to signal cached/missing conditions
 - Component/condition identifiers, time representation...
- All the data is there, it just needs to be formatted right

Outlook

- So far, shown that we can store conditions in RAM and tell Gaudi how to schedule producers and consumers
- Also need example of producer/consumer components
 - Show how interface makes it easy to manipulate conditions
 - If we stumble upon anything complicated, simplify it
- Provide a basic outline of Gaudi scheduler integration
 - Helps define our requirements for said integration
- Prototype should be complete in time for mid-January
- Gaudi & experiment integration to come after that

Thanks for your attention

Prototype code @ https://gitlab.cern.ch/hgraslan/conditions-prototype