
Future Accelerators at the High Energy Frontier


Professor Emmanuel Tsesmelis

Accelerator Physics Graduate Course
John Adams Institute for Accelerator Science
15 February 2017


Aims of Lecture

- Present overview of various future collider projects, including beam parameters.
 - Compare potential science goals & reach at each future collider.
 - Characterize required R&D to be carried out prior to construction.
 - Juxtapose possible timelines of each project.
-

The Three Frontiers



Colliders – Energy vs. Time



M. Tigner: "Does Accelerator-Based Particle Physics have a Future?"
Physics Today, Jan 2001 Vol 54, Nb 1

The Livingston plot shows a saturation effect!

Practical limit for accelerators at the energy frontier:

Project cost increases as the energy must increase!

Cost per GeV C.M. proton has decreased by factor 10 over last 40 years (not corrected for inflation)!


Not enough: Project cost increased by factor 200!

New technology needed...

Colliders - 2006

- In operation
- In construction


Hadrons
Leptons
Leptons-Hadrons



Colliders - 2012


- In operation
- In construction

Hadrons
Leptons
Leptons-Hadrons



Why Build Colliders?

- **Want to see constituents of matter .**
- **Smash matter together and look for the building blocks.**
- **Take small pieces of matter:**
 - **accelerate them to very high energy**
 - **crash them into one another**



$$E = mc^2 = \gamma m_0 c^2$$


Higher energy produces more massive particles.

When particles approach speed of light, they get more massive but not faster.

Why Colliders?




Only a tiny fraction of energy converted into mass of new particles
(due to energy and momentum conservation)




Entire energy converted into the mass of new particles

Scientific Challenge:

to understand the very first moments of our Universe
after the Big Bang



The big Bang



Electro-weak phase transition (ATLAS, CMS...)

QCD phase transition (ALICE, ATLAS, CMS...)

LHC will study the first 10^{-10} - 10^{-5} seconds...

Key Equation

Momentum



$$\lambda = h / p \quad (1.2 \text{ fm} / p [\text{GeV}/c])$$

Planck

Constant



De Broglie
wavelength

De Broglie Wavelength
Wave-particle duality
For higher E, probe
shorter distances
inside matter




LHC

Super-Microscope




Radius of Galaxies



Collider Characteristics


■ Hadron collider at the frontier of physics

- huge QCD background
- not all nucleon energy available in collision





■ Lepton collider for precision physics

- well defined initial energy for reaction
- Colliding point like particles




■ Candidate next machine after LHC

- e^+e^- collider
- energy determined by LHC discoveries
- study in detail the properties of the new physics that the LHC finds




Circular versus Linear Collider



Circular Collider

many magnets, few cavities, stored beam
higher energy \rightarrow stronger magnetic field
 \rightarrow higher synchrotron radiation losses (E^4/m^4R)



Linear Collider

few magnets, many cavities, single pass beam
higher energy \rightarrow higher accelerating gradient
higher luminosity \rightarrow higher beam power (high bunch repetition)

Today's Accelerators

■ Hadron Colliders

- Protons are composite particles
 - Only ~10% of beam energy available for hard collisions producing new particles
 - Need $O(10 \text{ TeV})$ Collider to probe 1 TeV mass scale
 - Desired high energy beam requires strong magnets to store and focus beam in reasonable-sized ring.
 - Anti-protons difficult to produce if beam is lost
 - Use proton-proton collisions instead
 - Demand for ever-higher luminosity has led LHC to choose proton-proton collisions
 - Many bunches (high bunch frequency)
 - Two separate rings that intersect at select locations
-

Today's Accelerators

■ Lepton Colliders (e⁺e⁻)


- Synchrotron radiation is the most serious challenge
 - Emitted power in circular machine is

$$P_{SR}[\text{kW}] = \frac{88.5 E^4[\text{GeV}] I[\text{A}]}{\rho[\text{m}]}$$

- For a 1 TeV CM energy Collider in the LHC tunnel with a 1 mA beam, radiated power would be 2 GW
 - Would need to replenish radiated power with RF
 - Remove it from vacuum chamber
- Approach for high energies is Linear Collider (ILC, CLIC)

THE LHC AND ITS UPGRADES

CERN Accelerator Complex




▶ p [proton] ▶ ion ▶ neutrons ▶ \bar{p} [antiproton] ↔ proton/antiproton conversion ▶ neutrinos ▶ electron

LHC Large Hadron Collider SPS Super Proton Synchrotron PS Proton Synchrotron


AD Antiproton Decelerator CTF3 Clic Test Facility CNGS Cern Neutrinos to Gran Sasso ISOLDE Isotope Separator OnLine DEvice

LEIR Low Energy Ion Ring LINAC LINear ACcelerator n-ToF Neutrons Time Of Flight

A New Era in Fundamental Science



LHC Main Bending Cryodipole



8.3 T
nominal field

11850 A
nominal field

**The
15-m long
LHC cryodipole**



The LHC Arcs

The LHC Experimental Challenge

LHC Machine Parameters

pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$
 bunch crossing interval 25 nanoseconds
 pp interaction rate 10^9 interactions/s

High Interaction Rate


data for only ~ 100 out of the 40 million crossings can be recorded per second
 First trigger decision will take $\sim 2\text{-}3 \mu\text{s}$
 \Rightarrow electronics needs to store data locally (pipelining)

Large Particle Multiplicity

$\sim \langle 20 \rangle$ superposed events in each crossing
 ~ 1000 tracks emerge into the detector every 25ns
 need highly granular detectors
 \Rightarrow large number of channels

High Radiation Levels

\Rightarrow radiation hard detectors and electronics



Selection of 1 in 10,000,000,000,000

PROTON PHYSICS: STABLE BEAMS

Energy:

6500 GeV


I(B1):

2.93e+11


I(B2):

2.96e+11

FBCT Intensity and Beam Energy Updated: 10:40:51



Instantaneous Luminosity Updated: 10:40:51



BIS status and SMP flags

B1

B2

Comments (03-Jun-2015 10:40:01)

collapsed separation bumps in IP1 and 5
collapsed separation bumps in I IP2 and 8
preparing for stable beams

Link Status of Beam Permits

false

false

Global Beam Permit

true

true

Setup Beam

false

false

Beam Presence

true

true

Moveable Devices Allowed In

true

true

Stable Beams

true

true

AFS: Single_3b_2_2_2_with_nc_probes


PM Status B1

ENABLED

PM Status B2


ENABLED

The Predictable Future - *LHC Timeline*



Beam Focusing High-Field SC Magnets


- 13 T, 150 mm aperture quadrupoles for the inner triplet:
 - LHC: 8 T, 70 mm.
- More focus strength, β^* as low as 15 cm (55 cm in LHC).
 - In same scheme even β^* down to 7.5 cm considered.
- Dipole separators capable of 6-8 T with 150-180 mm aperture (LHC: 1.8 T, 70 mm)



Goal:


Enable focusing of the beams to $\beta^*=0.15$ m in IP1 and IP5.

HL-LHC: In-kind Contribution and Collaboration for Design and Prototypes



ATLAS
CMS

1.2 km of LHC to change !!




CC : R&D, Design and in-kind **USA**


CC : R&D and Design **UK**

Q1-Q3 : R&D, Design, Prototypes and in-kind **USA**
D1 : R&D, Design, Prototypes and in-kind **JP**
MCBX : Design and Prototype **ES**
HO Correctors: Design and Prototypes **IT**
Q4 : Design and Prototype **FR**

The Tevatron at FERMILAB



The Tevatron



9745

BEYOND THE LHC
CIRCULAR COLLIDERS

Future Circular Collider Study - SCOPE


CDR and cost review for the next ESU (2018)

Forming an international collaboration to study:

- pp -collider (*FCC-hh*) → defining infrastructure requirements


~16 T ⇒ 100 TeV pp in 100 km
~20 T ⇒ 100 TeV pp in 80 km

- e^+e^- collider (*FCC-ee*) as potential intermediate step
- $p-e$ (*FCC-he*) option
- 80-100 km infrastructure in Geneva area



High-Energy LHC (HE-LHC)?


HE-LHC >2035
16.5 TeV
20 T Dipoles



Main challenge:
High-field Magnets

CEPC+SppC

- For about 8 years, we have been talking about “What can be done after BEPCII in China”
- Thanks to the discovery of the low mass Higgs boson, and stimulated by ideas of Circular Higgs Factories in the world, CEPC+SppC configuration was proposed in Sep. 2012
- Circular Higgs factory (phase I) + super pp collider (phase II) in the same tunnel




Yifang Wang
Feb. 2014

A 50-70 km tunnel is
very affordable in China
NOW

Site

- Preliminary selected: Qinhuangdao (秦皇岛)
- Strong support by the local government



CEPC+SppC Current Design

CEPC Basic Parameters:

- Beam energy ~120 -125 GeV
- Synchrotron radiation power ~50 MW
- 50/70 km in circumference

SppC Basic Parameters:


- Beam energy ~50-90 TeV
- 50/70 km in circumference
- Needs $B_{\max} \sim 20\text{T}$

CEPC circumference determined later based on cost estimate.

BEYOND THE LHC
LINEAR COLLIDERS

ILC (and the Compact Linear Collider CLIC)

CLIC



- 2-beam acceleration scheme at room temperature
- Gradient 100 MV/m
- \sqrt{s} up to 3 TeV
- Physics + Detector studies for 350 GeV - 3 TeV

Linear e^+e^- colliders


Luminosities: few $10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$

ILC



- Superconducting RF cavities (like XFEL)
- Gradient 32 MV/m
- $\sqrt{s} \leq 500 \text{ GeV}$ (1 TeV upgrade option)
- Focus on $\leq 500 \text{ GeV}$, physics studies also for 1 TeV


The International Linear Collider





shield wall removed

CLIC Implementation




← Possible lay-out near CERN

↓ CLIC parameters


Parameter	Symbol	Unit			
Centre-of-mass energy	\sqrt{s}	GeV	500	1500	3000
Repetition frequency	f_{rep}	Hz	50	50	50
Number of bunches per train	n_b		312	312	312
Bunch separation	Δ_t	ns	0.5	0.5	0.5
Accelerating gradient	G	MV/m	100	100	100
Total luminosity	\mathcal{L}	$10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	1.3	3.7	5.9
Luminosity above 99% of \sqrt{s}	$\mathcal{L}_{0.01}$	$10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	0.7	1.4	2
Main tunnel length		km	11.4	27.2	48.3
Charge per bunch	N	10^9	3.7	3.7	3.7
Bunch length	σ_z	μm	44	44	44
IP beam size	σ_x/σ_y	nm	100/2.6	$\approx 60/1.5$	$\approx 40/1$
Normalised emittance (end of linac)	ϵ_x/ϵ_y	nm	—	660/20	660/20
Normalised emittance	ϵ_x/ϵ_y	nm	660/25	—	—
Estimated power consumption	P_{wall}	MW	235	364	589

Note: the design is currently being re-optimised, e.g. to include 350 GeV as the first stage


For CLIC & ILC - Similar World Projects: Channel Tunnel



50Km




Other Technological Challenges



The final focusing quadrupole should be stabilized to 0.15 nm
for frequencies about 4 Hz

Other Technological Challenges



MUON ACCELERATORS

Physics with Muon Beams

□ Neutrino Sector

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^+ &\rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu \Rightarrow 50\% \nu_e + 50\% \bar{\nu}_\mu \\ \mu^- &\rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \Rightarrow 50\% \bar{\nu}_e + 50\% \nu_\mu\end{aligned}$$

Produces high energy neutrinos

- Decay kinematics well known
- $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ oscillations give easily detectable wrong-sign μ

□ Energy Frontier


- Point particle makes full beam energy available for particle production
 - Couples strongly to Higgs sector
- Muon Collider has almost no synchrotron radiation
 - Narrow energy spread
 - Fits on existing laboratory sites

Muon Beam Challenges


- Muons created as tertiary beam ($p \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow \mu$)
 - Low production rate
 - Need target that can tolerate multi-MW beam
 - Large energy spread and transverse phase space
 - Need solenoidal focusing for the low-energy portions of the facility
 - (solenoids focus in both planes simultaneously)
 - Need acceptance cooling
 - High-acceptance acceleration system and decay ring
- Muons have short lifetime (2.2 μs at rest)
 - Puts premium on rapid beam manipulations
 - Presently untested ionization cooling technique
 - High-gradient RF cavities (in magnetic field)
 - Fast acceleration system
- Decay electrons give backgrounds in Collider detectors and instrumentation & heat load to magnets

Muon Collider (?)

Neutrino Factory (NuMAX)



Muon Collider (Muon Accelerator Staging Study)



Plasma Accelerators


RF Cavity



1 m => 100 MeV Gain

Electric field < 100 MV/m

Plasma Cavity



1 mm => 100 MeV

Electric field > 100 GV/m

V. Malka et al., Science **298**, 1596 (2002)

Plasma accelerators:

Transform transverse fields into longitudinal fields.

Significantly higher accelerating gradients than conventional RF.

e.g. AWAKE at CERN

Demonstration experiment to verify novel technique of p-driven plasma wakefield acceleration

Laser driven

e- driven

p driven

Dielectric wakefields

The Sub-Fermi Scale (2015-2050)?

