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- Grand Unified Theory:

Unifies fermions within each family and reproduces an universal mass matrix structure.
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## The model

We propose a natural $S_{4} \times S O(10)$ supersymmetric grand unified theory of flavour.
$S_{4}$ :

- Enforces CSD(3) vacuum alignments
$S O(10)$ :
- Predicts right-handed $(\mathrm{RH})$ neutrinos $\Longrightarrow$ type-I seesaw mechanism.


## The model

We only allow small Higgs representations 10, 16 and 45.

| Field | Representation |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $S_{4}$ | $S O(10)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}^{R}$ |  |
| $\psi$ | $3^{\prime}$ | 16 | 1 | Quarks and leptons |
| $H_{10}^{u, d}$ | 1 | 10 | 0 | Break electroweak symmetry |
| $H_{\overline{16}, 16}^{u}$ | 1 | $\overline{16}$ | 0 | Break $S O(10)$ and give RH neutrino masses |
| $H_{45}^{X, Y, Z}$ | 1 | 45 | 0 | Separate quarks and lepton masses |
| $H_{45}^{B-L}$ | 1 | 45 | 2 | Gives DT splitting via DW mechanism |
| $\phi_{i}$ | $3^{\prime}$ | 1 | 0 | Acquire CSD3 vacuum alignments |

$\mathbb{Z}_{4}^{R}$ breaks to $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{R}$, the usual $R$ parity in the MSSM.

## CSD(3) from $S_{4}$

$S_{4}$ enforces the flavon vacuum alignments

$$
\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=v_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
3 \\
-1
\end{array}\right), \quad\left\langle\phi_{2}\right\rangle=v_{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right), \quad\left\langle\phi_{3}\right\rangle=v_{3}\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

VEVs driven to scales with the hierarchy

$$
v_{1} \ll v_{2} \ll v_{3} \sim M_{\mathrm{GUT}} .
$$

Yukawa matrices will have an universal structure dictated by CSD(3).

## Yukawa Matrices

- Up-type quarks and neutrinos couple to one Higgs $H_{10}^{u}$, leading to Yukawa matrices $Y_{i j} \sim\left\langle\phi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{j}\right\rangle^{T}$ with an universal structure

$$
Y^{u, \nu}=y_{1}^{u, \nu}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 3 \\
1 & 1 & 3 \\
3 & 3 & 9
\end{array}\right)+y_{2}^{u, \nu}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1
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The right-handed (RH) neutrino mass $M^{R}$ has the same structure as the $Y^{\nu}$.
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The right-handed (RH) neutrino mass $M^{R}$ has the same structure as the $Y^{\nu}$.

- Each matrix is rank 1.
- Natural understanding of the hierarchical Yukawa couplings: $y_{u} \sim v_{1}^{2} / M_{\mathrm{GUT}}^{2}, y_{c} \sim v_{2}^{2} / M_{\mathrm{GUT}}^{2}, y_{t} \sim v_{3}^{2} / M_{\mathrm{GUT}}^{2}$.


## Yukawa matrices

- Down-type quarks and charged leptons couple to a second Higgs $H_{10}^{d}$, with a new mixed term involving $Y_{12} \sim\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{2}\right\rangle^{T}$

$$
Y^{d, e}=y_{12}^{d, e}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 4 \\
1 & 4 & 6
\end{array}\right)+y_{2}^{d, e}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)+y_{3}^{d, e}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)+y^{P}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Yukawa matrices

- Down-type quarks and charged leptons couple to a second Higgs $H_{10}^{d}$, with a new mixed term involving $Y_{12} \sim\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{2}\right\rangle^{T}$
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- This new term enforces a texture zero in the $(1,1)$ element of $Y^{d}$, giving the GST relation for the Cabbibo angle, i.e. $\vartheta_{12}^{q} \approx \sqrt{y_{d} / y s}$.
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- This new term enforces a texture zero in the $(1,1)$ element of $Y^{d}$, giving the GST relation for the Cabbibo angle, i.e. $\vartheta_{12}^{q} \approx \sqrt{y_{d} / y s}$.
- It also leads to a milder hierarchy in the down and charged lepton sectors.


## Light neutrino mass matrix

- The light neutrino Majorana matrix, after seesaw, will also have the $\operatorname{CSD}(3)$ structure

$$
m^{\nu}=\mu_{1}^{\nu}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 3 \\
1 & 1 & 3 \\
3 & 3 & 9
\end{array}\right)+\mu_{2}^{\nu}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)+\mu_{3}^{\nu}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

where the parameters $\mu_{i}$ are given by

$$
\mu_{i}=v_{u}^{2} \frac{\left(y_{i}^{\nu}\right)^{2}}{M_{i}^{R}}
$$

- Flavons yield to normal hierarchy $m_{1} \ll m_{2} \ll m_{3}$.


## Numerical fit

- The model accurately fits all available quark and lepton data within $1 \sigma$, with a minimum $\chi^{2} \approx 3.4$.
- The $C P$ phase $\delta^{l}$ is left as a pure prediction and 2 preferred regions are given by

$$
280.7^{\circ}<\delta^{l}<308.3^{\circ} \quad \text { and } \quad 225.1^{\circ}<\delta^{l}<253.2^{\circ}
$$

- The model predicts significant deviation from both zero and maximal $C P$ violation.
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## Complete

- Reproduces all available quark and lepton data.
- Doublet-triplet splitting.
- $\mu$ term of $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{TeV})$.
- Acceptable proton decay.


## If you want to know more...

A natural $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{4}} \times \mathbf{S O}(10)$ model of flavour
(based on arXiv:1705.01555)
Fredrik Bjorkerohh, Francisco J. de Anda, Stephen F King, Elena Perdomo

| Motivation |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Flavour problem | Family symmetry |
| Origin of the three families of quarks and leptons. Very hierar- | A non-Abelizn discrete symmetry imposes constraints on |
| chical charged fermion masses, | the Yukawa couplinges and re- |
| small and hierarchical quark | produces precise predictions for |
| mixing, small neutrino masses | masses and mixing. $S_{4}$ enforres |
| and large lepton mixing. | $\operatorname{CSD}(3)$. |


| Grand Unified Theory |
| :--- |
| Unifies fermions will |

Unifies fermions within each family and reproxduces an univeral mass mastrix structure,
predicting relationships between predicting relationships betwet
quark and lopton Yukawa matri quark and lepton Yukawa matri
ces.
elusiles
Southàmprofon

## Seesaw mechanism

The night-handed (RH) neutrino mass $M^{R}$ hax the same structure as $Y^{v}$. The light neutrino mass matrix is obtained by the type-I seesaw mechanism [3,4] and will also have the CSD (3) structure

$$
m^{v}=\mu_{1}^{v}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 3 \\
1 & 1 & 3 \\
3 & 3 & 9
\end{array}\right)+\mu_{2}^{v}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)+\mu_{s}^{v}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The parameters $\mu$, are given in terms of the paramesters $y_{f}^{y}$ and $M_{i}^{e}$
simply by samply by

$$
\mu_{1}=v_{\frac{1}{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}^{M_{1}^{2}}
$$

The flavons yield a light neutrino mass matrix $m^{v}$, where the nommal The flawons yied a light neutrino mass matrix $m^{\prime}$, where the nosmal
hierarchy $m_{1}<m_{1} \leqslant m_{1}$ after seesaw is due to the very hierarchical RH neutrino masses.
Doublet-triplet splitting and proton decay

$$
H_{10}^{140}: \quad 10 \rightarrow 2+2+3+3
$$

- Light MSSM doublets at electroneak scale
-Heavy doublets to preserve gauge unification
- Colour triplets of $\sigma\left(M_{\text {GIV }}\right)$ leading to acceptable proton decay ( 5 ). -DT spliting Dimopoalos-Wilczek (DW) mechanism [6]
 The triplets maxs matrix has three eigenvalues of $\sigma\left(M_{\text {cunt }}\right)$. Th
doublets mass matrix has two eigenvalues at $\sigma\left(M_{\text {curr }}\right)$ and one at doublets mass matrix has two cigervalues at $\sigma\left(M_{\text {curt }}\right)$ and one at
$\sigma(T e V)$, which we identify with the $\mu$ term. $\theta(T \mathrm{CV})$, which we identify with the $\mu$ term.


## Numerical fit

The model accurately fits all available quark and lepton data, with a mininumm $x^{2}=3.4$ It predicts normal neutrino hierarchy. The $C P$ phase $\delta^{\prime}$ is left as a pure prediction and 2 preferred regions are given
$280 . T^{\circ}<\theta^{\prime}<308.3^{\circ}$ and $225.1^{\circ}<\hat{\theta}^{\prime}<253.2^{\circ}$.
The neutrino masses are also predicted
$m_{1} \approx 0.44 \mathrm{meV}, \quad m_{2} \approx 8.68 \mathrm{meV}, \quad m_{2} \approx 50.24 \mathrm{meV}$
The model predicts significant deviation from both zero and maximal $C P$ violation.
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## Field Content

| Field | Representation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $S_{4}$ | $S O(10)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}^{R}$ | Field | Representation |  |  |  |  |
| $\psi$ | $3^{\prime}$ | 16 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | $S_{4}$ | $S O(10)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}^{R}$ |
| $H_{10}^{u}$ | 1 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | $\bar{\chi}_{1}$ | 1 | $\overline{16}$ | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| $H_{10}^{d}$ | 1 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $\chi_{1}$ | 1 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| $H_{\overline{16}}$ | 1 | $\overline{16}$ | 2 | 1 | 0 | $\bar{\chi}_{2}$ | 1 | $\overline{16}$ | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| ${ }_{H_{16}}^{H_{Y}}$ | 1 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 0 | $\chi_{2}$ | 1 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| $H_{45}^{X, Y}$ | 1 | 45 | 2 | 1 | 0 | $\bar{\chi}_{3}$ | 1 | $\overline{16}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $H_{45}^{Z}$ $H_{45}^{B-L}$ | 1 | 45 | 1 | 2 | 0 | $\chi$ $\chi$ | 1 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| ${ }_{\xi}^{45}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | $\chi_{3}^{\prime}$ | 1 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| $\phi_{1}$ | $3^{\prime}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\chi_{2}^{\prime}$ | 1 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $\phi_{2}$ | $3^{\prime}$ | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $\rho$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| $\phi_{3}$ | $3^{\prime}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Superpotential

At the GUT scale, the renormalisable Yukawa superpotential is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{Y}^{(\mathrm{GUT})}= & \psi \phi_{a} \bar{\chi}_{a}+\bar{\chi}_{a} \chi_{a} H_{45}^{Z}+\chi_{a} \chi_{a} H_{10}^{u}+\rho \chi_{3} H_{\overline{16}}+M_{\rho} \rho \rho \\
& +\bar{\chi}_{b} \chi_{b}^{\prime}\left(H_{45}^{X}+H_{45}^{Y}\right)+\chi_{b}^{\prime} \chi_{b}^{\prime} H_{10}^{d}+\chi_{1} \chi_{2} H_{10}^{d},
\end{aligned}
$$

There are also Planck-suppressed terms

$$
W_{Y}^{(\text {Planck })}=\frac{\chi_{a} \chi_{a} H_{\overline{16}} H_{\overline{16}}}{M_{P}}+\frac{\psi \psi \phi_{3} H_{10}^{d}}{M_{P}}
$$

## Up-type quarks and Dirac neutrinos



Down-type quarks and charged leptons


Right-handed neutrinos



## Analytical estimates

Flavon VEV scales:

$$
v_{1} \approx 0.002 M_{\mathrm{GUT}}, \quad v_{2} \approx 0.05 M_{\mathrm{GUT}}, \quad v_{3} \approx 0.5 M_{\mathrm{GUT}}
$$

Estimated Yukawa couplings:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{1}^{u} \sim y_{1}^{\nu} \sim v_{1}^{2} / M_{\mathrm{GUT}}^{2} & \approx 4 \times 10^{-6}, \\
y_{2}^{u} \sim y_{2}^{\nu} \sim y_{2}^{d} \sim y_{2}^{e} \sim v_{2}^{2} / M_{\mathrm{GUT}}^{2} & \approx 2.5 \times 10^{-3}, \\
y_{3}^{u} \sim y_{3}^{\nu} \sim y_{3}^{d} \sim y_{3}^{e} \sim v_{3}^{2} / M_{\mathrm{GUT}}^{2} & \approx 0.25, \\
y_{12}^{d} \sim y_{12}^{e} \sim v_{1} v_{2} / M_{\mathrm{GUT}}^{2} & \approx 1 \times 10^{-4}, \\
y^{P} & \sim v_{3} / M_{P}
\end{aligned} \approx 5 \times 10^{-4} .
$$

Estimated RH neutrino mass parameteres:

$$
M_{1}^{\mathrm{R}} \sim 4 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{GeV}, \quad M_{2}^{\mathrm{R}} \sim 2.5 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{GeV}, \quad M_{3}^{\mathrm{R}} \sim 10^{16} \mathrm{GeV}
$$

## Analytical estimates for quark mixing

Strong hierarchy in $Y^{u} \Longrightarrow$ almost all mixing in $Y^{d}$.
Leading terms in $Y^{d}$ (and ignoring phases):

$$
Y^{d} \approx\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & y_{12}^{d} & y_{12}^{d}-y^{P} \\
y_{12}^{d} & y_{2}^{\prime} & y_{2}^{\prime}+2\left(y_{12}^{d}-y^{P}\right) \\
y_{12}^{d}-y^{P} & y_{2}^{\prime}+2\left(y_{12}^{d}-y^{P}\right) & y_{3}^{d}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Mixing angles estimated by

$$
\theta_{12}^{q} \approx \frac{Y_{12}^{d}}{Y_{22}^{d}}=\frac{y_{12}^{d}}{y_{2}^{\prime}}, \quad \theta_{13}^{q} \approx \frac{Y_{13}^{d}}{Y_{33}^{d}}=\frac{y_{12}^{d}-y^{P}}{y_{3}^{d}}, \quad \theta_{23}^{q} \approx \frac{Y_{23}^{d}}{Y_{33}^{d}}=\frac{y_{2}^{\prime}+2\left(y_{12}^{d}-y^{P}\right)}{y_{3}^{d}} .
$$

Down-type quark Yukawa eigenvalues

$$
y_{d} \approx\left(y_{12}^{d}\right)^{2} / y_{2}^{\prime}, \quad y_{s} \approx y_{2}^{\prime}, \quad y_{b} \approx y_{3}^{d} .
$$

## Analytical estimates for quark mixing

Solving for $y_{12}^{d}, y_{2}^{\prime}$ and $y_{3}^{d}$, we have

$$
\theta_{12}^{q} \approx \sqrt{\frac{y_{d}}{y_{s}}}, \quad \theta_{13}^{q} \approx \frac{\sqrt{y_{d} y_{s}}-y^{P}}{y_{b}}, \quad \theta_{23}^{q} \approx \frac{y_{s}+2\left(\sqrt{y_{s} y_{d}}-y^{P}\right)}{y_{b}} .
$$

The first equality is exactly the GST relation.
The GUT-scale values ${ }^{\star}$ from observation (with $y^{P}=0$ ) are

$$
\theta_{12}^{q} \approx 12.85^{\circ}, \quad \theta_{13}^{q} \approx 0.23^{\circ}, \quad \theta_{23}^{q} \approx 1.48^{\circ} .
$$

*assuming no SUSY threshold corrections

## Quarks

| Observable | Data |  |  | Model |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Central value | $1 \sigma$ range |  | Best fit | Interval |
| $\theta_{12}^{q} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 13.03 | $12.99 \rightarrow 13.07$ |  | 13.02 | $12.94 \rightarrow 13.10$ |
| $\theta_{13}^{q} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 0.039 | $0.037 \rightarrow 0.040$ |  | 0.039 | $0.036 \rightarrow 0.041$ |
| $\theta_{23}^{q} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 0.445 | $0.438 \rightarrow 0.452$ |  | 0.439 | $0.426 \rightarrow 0.450$ |
| $\delta^{q} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 69.22 | $66.12 \rightarrow 72.31$ |  | 69.21 | $63.22 \rightarrow 73.94$ |
| $y_{u} / 10^{-6}$ | 2.988 | $2.062 \rightarrow 3.915$ |  | 3.012 | $1.039 \rightarrow 4.771$ |
| $y_{c} / 10^{-3}$ | 1.462 | $1.411 \rightarrow 1.512$ |  | 1.493 | $1.445 \rightarrow 1.596$ |
| $y_{t}$ | 0.549 | $0.542 \rightarrow 0.556$ |  | 0.547 | $0.532 \rightarrow 0.562$ |
| $y_{d} / 10^{-5}$ | 2.485 | $2.212 \rightarrow 2.758$ |  | 2.710 | $2.501 \rightarrow 2.937$ |
| $y_{s} / 10^{-4}$ | 4.922 | $4.656 \rightarrow 5.188$ |  | 5.168 | $4.760 \rightarrow 5.472$ |
| $y_{b}$ | 0.141 | $0.136 \rightarrow 0.146$ |  | 0.137 | $1.263 \rightarrow 1.429$ |

## Leptons

| Observable | Data |  |  | Model |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Central value | $1 \sigma$ range |  | Best fit | Interval |
| $\theta_{12}^{\ell} /^{\circ}$ | 33.57 | $32.81 \rightarrow 34.32$ |  | 33.62 | $31.69 \rightarrow 34.46$ |
| $\theta_{13}^{\ell} /^{\circ}$ | 8.460 | $8.310 \rightarrow 8.610$ |  | 8.455 | $8.167 \rightarrow 8.804$ |
| $\theta_{23}^{\ell} /^{\circ}$ | 41.75 | $40.40 \rightarrow 43.10$ |  | 41.96 | $39.47 \rightarrow 43.15$ |
| $\delta^{\ell} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 261.0 | $202.0 \rightarrow 312.0$ |  | 300.9 | $280.7 \rightarrow 308.4$ |
| $y_{e} / 10^{-5}$ | 1.017 | $1.011 \rightarrow 1.023$ |  | 1.017 | $1.005 \rightarrow 1.029$ |
| $y_{\mu} / 10^{-3}$ | 2.147 | $2.134 \rightarrow 2.160$ |  | 2.147 | $2.121 \rightarrow 2.173$ |
| $y_{\tau} / 10^{-2}$ | 3.654 | $3.635 \rightarrow 3.673$ |  | 3.654 | $3.616 \rightarrow 3.692$ |
| $\Delta m_{21}^{2} /\left(10^{-5} \mathrm{eV}^{2}\right)$ | 7.510 | $7.330 \rightarrow 7.690$ |  | 7.515 | $7.108 \rightarrow 7.864$ |
| $\Delta m_{31}^{2} /\left(10^{-3} \mathrm{eV}^{2}\right)$ | 2.524 | $2.484 \rightarrow 2.564$ |  | 2.523 | $2.443 \rightarrow 2.605$ |
| $m_{1} / \mathrm{meV}^{2}$ |  |  |  | 0.441 | $0.260 \rightarrow 0.550$ |
| $m_{2} / \mathrm{meV}$ |  |  |  | 8.680 | $8.435 \rightarrow 8.888$ |
| $m_{3} / \mathrm{meV}$ |  |  |  |  | 50.24 |
| $\sum m_{i} / \mathrm{meV}$ |  |  |  | $49.44 \rightarrow 51.05$ |  |

## Input parameters

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| $y_{1}^{u} / 10^{-6}$ | 3.009 |
| $y_{2}^{u} / 10^{-3}$ | 1.491 |
| $y_{3}^{u}$ | 0.549 |
| $y_{12}^{d} / 10^{-4}$ | -1.186 |
| $y_{2}^{d} / 10^{-4}$ | 6.980 |
| $y_{3}^{d}$ | 0.137 |
| $y^{P} / 10^{-4}$ | 1.243 |


| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| $y_{12}^{e} / 10^{-4}$ | 1.558 |
| $y_{2}^{e} / 10^{-3}$ | 2.248 |
| $y_{3}^{e} / 10^{-2}$ | 3.318 |
| $\mu_{1} / \mathrm{meV}$ | 2.413 |
| $\mu_{2} / \mathrm{meV}$ | 27.50 |
| $\mu_{3} / \mathrm{meV}$ | 2.900 |


| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\alpha_{d}$ | $0.043 \pi$ |
| $\beta_{d}$ | $0.295 \pi$ |
| $\alpha_{e}$ | $1.692 \pi$ |
| $\beta_{e}$ | $1.755 \pi$ |
| $\gamma$ | $0.918 \pi$ |
| $\eta^{\prime}$ | $1.053 \pi$ |

## Pulls



