



Gauge theory at strong coupling, isomonodromy problem and non-perturbative string

Alessandro Tanzini, SISSA, Trieste

CERN, Geneva, 9 May 2017

Exact results in Quantum Field Theories

Going beyond perturbation theory is an important challenge in

Quantum Field Theory and String Theory.

N=2 supersymmetric gauge theories in four dimensions are useful to study:

- exact non-perturbative effects (instantons)
- strong-weak coupling dualities
- confinement through breaking to N=1

systematic study of the above lead to discover rich algebraic and integrable

structures, which had deep impact also in mathematics.

Exact results in Quantum Field Theories

In the *weak coupling* phase the supersymmetric path integral can be reduced via *equivariant localization* to combinatorial objects - Nekrasov function, matrix models - paving the way to the link with rich algebraic structures as CFT - type algebrae (Virasoro, W - algebrae, Kac-Moody) and *quantum integrable systems.*

In the *strong coupling* phase the embedding in superstring theories provides a crucial tool to explore S-duality and to calculate protected gauge theory quantities - e.g. *holomorphic anomaly equations, topological recursion.*

Exact results in Quantum Field Theories

In this talk we will show how to compute a class of gauge theory amplitudes in strongly coupled phases by reducing them to the solutions of non-linear ODEs . These are associated to a set of *isomonodromy problems* and display a deep link with the non-perturbative completion of topological string proposed by Grassi, Hatsuda and Marino. Today's talk: gauge theory partition functions and BPS correlators as τ - functions of isomonodromy problems



quantum statistical systems and non-perturbative string

new tools to explore strongly coupled phases/ sectors of gauge theory based on:

G. Bonelli, O. Lisovyy, K. Maruyoshi, A. Sciarappa, A.T. 1612.06235

G. Bonelli, A. Grassi, A.T. arXiv:1603.01174, 1704.01517 & to appear

N=2 supersymmetric gauge theories in 4d

class S: M-theory compactification from 6d naturally hints a relation with 2d theory

description in terms of *Hitchin's algebraic integrable system*

Renormalization group equations of SU(2) asymptotically free gauge theories are systematically described by **Painleve' equations**

Spectral determinant presentation of Painleve' tau-function hints to a **new relation between gauge theory and quantum statistical systems** via geometric engineering limit of **non-perturbative string**

Generalisation to higher rank: **new class of Matrix models** describing the **magnetic phase** of pure **SU(N) Super Yang-Mills** theories.

Seiberg-Witten curve from M-theory

[Klemm-Lerche-Mayr-Vafa-Warner, Witten, Gaiotto]

Consider M-theory on $\mathbb{R}^7 \times Q$, holomorphic symplectic two-fold

decoupling gravity \longrightarrow local geometry $\mathbb{R}^4 \times T^*\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{R}^3$

r M5 branes on $\mathbb{R}^4 imes \mathcal{C}$ in the **Coulomb branch**

$$y^r + \sum_{k=2}^r \phi_k(z) y^{r-k} = 0$$

holomorphic k-differential

 S^1 compactification gives rise to U(r) Super Yang-Mills theory in 5d on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{C}$. BPS vacua invariant under Super-Poincare' of \mathbb{R}^3 satisfy **Hitchin's equations**

$$F + R^{2}[\varphi, \bar{\varphi}] = 0,$$

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}\varphi + [A_{\bar{z}}, \varphi] = 0,$$

$$\partial_{z}\bar{\varphi} + [A_{z}, \bar{\varphi}] = 0,$$

these are equivalent to the flatness of the $SL(r,\mathbb{C})$ connection

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{R}{\zeta}\varphi + A + R\zeta\overline{\varphi};$$

Seiberg-Witten curve and Hitchin's system

 \mathcal{M} is HyperKahler \longrightarrow twistor parameter $\zeta \in \mathbb{CP}^1$

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{R}{\zeta}\varphi + A + R\zeta\overline{\varphi};$$

for $\zeta o 0$ base space $\, \mathcal{U} \,$ described by $\phi_k(z) = \mathrm{tr} \; arphi^k$

SW curve is the spectral curve

$$\det(y - \varphi) = 0$$

Seiberg-Witten curve and Hitchin's system

Hitchin's complex algebraic integrable system:



Polar structure of the k-differentials



Collision of poles with suitable rescalings of the residues

changes the matter sector

for SU(2) gauge theory

quadratic differentials on a Riemann sphere with at most

four simple poles:

 $N_f = 4$ superconformal gauge theory

collision of simple poles

hol. decoupl. of matter

Argyres-Douglas sect.

holomorphic decoupling: Lagrangian theories

$$N_{f} = 0: \frac{\Lambda^{2}}{z^{3}} + \frac{2u}{z^{2}} + \frac{\Lambda^{2}}{z},$$

$$N_{f} = 1: \frac{\Lambda^{2}}{z^{3}} + \frac{3u}{z^{2}} + \frac{2\Lambda m}{z} + \Lambda^{2},$$

$$N_{f} = 2: \frac{\Lambda^{2}}{z^{4}} + \frac{2\Lambda m_{1}}{z^{3}} + \frac{4u}{z^{2}} + \frac{2\Lambda m_{2}}{z} + \Lambda^{2}, \quad \text{(first realization)}$$

$$N_{f} = 2: \frac{m_{+}^{2}}{z^{2}} + \frac{m_{-}^{2}}{(z-1)^{2}} + \frac{\Lambda^{2} + u}{2z} + \frac{\Lambda^{2} - u}{2(z-1)}, \quad \text{(second realization)}$$

$$N_{f} = 3: \frac{m_{+}^{2}}{z^{2}} + \frac{m_{-}^{2}}{(z-1)^{2}} + \frac{2\Lambda m + u}{2z} + \frac{2\Lambda m - u}{2(z-1)} + \Lambda^{2}.$$

Argyres-Douglas sectors:

$$H_0: z^3 - 3cz + u$$

$$H_1: z^4 + 4cz^2 + 2mz + u, \quad \text{(first realization)}$$

$$H_1: z + c + \frac{u}{z} + \frac{m^2}{z^2}, \quad \text{(second realization)}$$

$$H_2: z^2 + 2cz + (2\tilde{m} + c^2) + \frac{u + 2cm_-}{z} + \frac{m_-^2}{z^2}$$



Painleve' coalescence diagram

Classification problem for ODEs

Painleve' property: ODE with only movable poles

 $\ddot{q} = F(q, \dot{q}; t),$

F rational function of q, \dot{q} analytic in t : full classification



Painleve' and isomonodromy deformations

-inear system:
$$(\kappa \partial_z - \mathbf{A}(z)) \Psi(z) = 0$$

$$\mathbf{A}(z) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \frac{A^{(\nu)}(z)}{(z - z_{\nu})^{r_{\nu} + 1}}, \qquad A^{(\nu)}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{r_{\nu}} A_{i}^{(\nu)}(z - z_{\nu})^{r_{\nu} - i}$$

 $\mathbf{A}(z) \in sl(2,\mathbb{C}), \quad \mathbf{Z}$ affine coordinate on punctured sphere isomonodromy: family $\mathbf{A}(z; \{\vec{t}\})$ of flat $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ conn.

four punctures — one parameter t

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dz}\Psi(z,t) = \mathbf{A}(z,t)\Psi(z,t) \\ \frac{d}{dt}\Psi(z,t) = \mathbf{B}(z,t)\Psi(z,t) \end{cases}$$

Compatibility condition $\Psi_{zt}(z,t) = \Psi_{tz}(z,t)$:

$$\mathbf{A}_t(z,t) = \mathbf{B}_z(z,t) + [\mathbf{B}(z,t),\mathbf{A}(z,t)]$$

namely variation of flat conn. is infinitesimal gauge transf.

yields Painleve' equations. $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{Lax}$ pair

 $\kappa \to 0$ isospectral deformations, leave invariant

$$\det(y - \mathbf{A}) = 0, \qquad \Sigma \in T^* \mathcal{C}_{0,n}$$

Seiberg-Witten curve ! Upon ident. $\mathbf{A} = \varphi$

example: Painleve' I vs H_0 Argyres-Douglas

$$A = A_0 + zA_1 + z^2A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -p & q^2 + zq + z^2 + t/2 \\ 4z - 4q & p \end{pmatrix}$$
$$B = B_0 + zB_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q + z/2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

compatibility

quad. different.

$$\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} A^2 = 4z^3 + 2tz + 2\sigma_I(t) \qquad \sigma_I(t) = \frac{1}{2}p^2 - 2q^3 - qt$$

coincide with SW curve upon ident. $t \sim c$, $\sigma_I \sim u$ source of relevant deformation vev of relevant deformation

Painlevé isomonodromy problem	$\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory Hitchin system				
punctured Riemann sphere $\mathcal{C}_{0,n}[z]$	Gaiotto surface $\mathcal{C}_{0,n}[z]$				
connection $\kappa \partial_z - \mathbf{A}(z)$	holomorphic $\zeta D_z + R\varphi_z(z)$ (gauge $A_z = 0$)				
isomonodromic deformations	Whitham deformations				
compatibility condition	gauge transformation				
overall scale κ	parameter ζ of complex structure J of \mathcal{M}				
isospectral limit $\kappa \to 0$ (Higgs bundle)	limit $\zeta \to 0$ to complex structure I (Higgs bundle)				
Painlevé time t	gauge coupling Λ , c				
Painlevé σ -function (Hamiltonian)	Coulomb branch parameter u				
Painlevé free parameters	masses $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory				

$$N_{f} = 2 \text{ (I)} \longrightarrow N_{f} = 1 \longrightarrow N_{f} = 0$$

$$N_{f} = 4 \longrightarrow N_{f} = 3 \longrightarrow N_{f} = 2 \text{ (II)} \qquad H_{1} \text{ (I)} \longrightarrow H_{0}$$

$$H_{2} \longrightarrow H_{1} \text{ (II)} \qquad H_{0}$$

$$H_{1} \text{ (II)} \qquad H_{0}$$

$$H_{1} \text{ (II)} \qquad H_{1} \text{ (II)}$$

$$PVI \longrightarrow PV \longrightarrow PV_{deg} \qquad PIII_{2} \longrightarrow PIII_{3}$$

$$PVI \longrightarrow PV \longrightarrow PV_{deg} \qquad PIII_{JM} \longrightarrow P_{I}$$

$$PIV \longrightarrow PII_{FN}$$

VI	V	III_1	V_{deg}	III ₂	III ₃	IV	II_{JM}	II_{FN}	Ι
$N_f = 4$	$N_f = 3$	$N_f = 2(1st)$	$N_f = 2 (2nd)$	$N_f = 1$	$N_f = 0$	$ H_2 $	$H_1\left(1st\right)$	$H_{1}\left(2nd\right)$	H_0

Painleve' "transcendents" and gauge theory dual partition function

We can extend the correspondence to the full gauge theory in the so-called



$$Z_{\text{Nek}}(a,m;\Lambda,\epsilon) = \exp\left[-\sum_{g} \epsilon^{2g-2} \mathcal{F}_g(a,m;\Lambda)\right]$$

Nekrasov-Okounkov dual partition function

$$Z_{\rm NO}(a/\epsilon, m; \Lambda, \eta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{4\pi i n \eta} Z_{\rm Nek}(a + n\epsilon, m; \Lambda)$$

Theorem: this is the tau-function for Painleve' equations [Gamayun-lorgov-Lisovyy, Bershtein-Shedchin, lorgov-Lisovyy-Teschner]

$$(a/\epsilon=\sigma,\eta)$$
 initial conditions, $\{m\}$ monodromy param.

RGE scale Λ : Painleve' time - short time expansion corresponds to weakly coupled *electric frame*

$$\tau(t) \sim \sum e^{4\pi i n \eta} Z_{\rm Nek}(\sigma + n, t)$$

$$Z_{\text{Nek}}(\sigma, t) = C(\sigma) \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k(\sigma) t^k \right]$$

Strongly coupled sectors from long time expansion of Painleve'

RGE scale Λ : Painleve' time **strong coupling from long time exp.**

Relation with gauge theories suggests a *new expansion* of Painleve' transcendents for long times:

$$\tau(s) \sim \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{in\rho} \mathcal{G}(\nu + n, s), \qquad \mathcal{G}(\nu, s) = C(\nu, s) \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_k(\nu)}{s^k} \right]$$

conjecture [Bonelli, Lisovyy, Maruyoshi, Sciarappa, A.T.]

$$\ln \left[\mathcal{G} \left(\frac{\nu}{\epsilon}, \frac{s}{\epsilon} \right) \right] = \sum_{g \ge 0} \epsilon^{2g-2} \mathcal{F}_g(\nu, s),$$

S-dual prepotential $\nu = a_D$

CHECKS: genus zero from special geometry on the Coulomb branch

$$a = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_0^D}{\partial a_D} = \oint_A \lambda(u) , \quad a_D = \oint_B \lambda(u)$$

$$\lambda(u) = \sqrt{\phi_2}$$
 Seiberg-Witten differential.

Higher genera from **holomorphic anomaly equations** of **B-model** topological strings on the **SW curve** in terms of **modular forms** [Huang-Klemm]:

$$\partial_a \partial_{\bar{a}} F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} C_{aaa} C^{aa}_{\bar{a}},$$

$$\bar{\partial}_{\bar{a}} F^{(g)} = \frac{1}{2} C^{aa}_{\bar{a}} \left(D_a D_a F^{g-1} + \sum_{g=1}^{g-1} D_a F^{(g-h)} F^{(h)} \right), \quad \text{for } g > 1 .$$

we performed various checks in the magnetic, dyonic and Argyres-Douglas points.

what do we gain ?

new viewpoint on strongly coupled amplitudes

systematic approach to their computations in terms of ODEs

new long time expansions of Painleve' tau-functions

link to quantum statistical systems and non-perturbative string

Matrix model for gauge theory at strong coupling

[Bonelli-Grassi-A.T.]

Consider pure SU(2) Super Yang-Mills in 4d : this corresponds to $PIII_3$

 τ_{PIII_3} admits a spectral determinant presentation [Zamolodchikov]

$$\tau_{PIII_3} = \sum_{M \ge 0} \kappa^M Z_M(\Lambda)$$

$$Z_{M}(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{M!} \int \prod_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\mathrm{d}x_{i}}{4\pi} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\Lambda}{\pi^{2}\epsilon} \cosh x_{i}} \prod_{i < j} \tanh\left(\frac{x_{i} - x_{j}}{2}\right)^{2}$$

By using the Painleve'/gauge theory correspondence we can relate the 't Hooft expansion of the above matrix model with the **genus expansion of the dual Seiberg-Witten prepotential** ($\Lambda = 1$)

$$\log Z_M = \sum_{g \ge 0} \epsilon^{2g-2} F_g^D(a_D)$$

 $\epsilon^{-1}, M \to \infty$, $M\epsilon = a_D$ fixed

Spectral determinants and topological strings

 $\phi: \Sigma_q \to X$

In the polor of the strings on local $\mathbb{P}^1 imes \mathbb{P}^1$



Spectral determinants and topological strings $\Xi_X(\kappa, \hbar) = \det(1 + \kappa \rho_X) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \kappa e^{-i\pi})^{n}$

The operator $\rho_X = O_X^{-1}$ admits an **analytic** spectral determinant

 $\mathcal{K} \qquad \{\mathrm{e}^{-E_n}\}_{n>0}$

[Grassi-Hatsuda-Marino, Kashaev-Marino, Laptaev-Schimmer-Takhtajan]

$$\Xi(\kappa,\hbar) = \det\left(1 + \kappa\rho_X(\hbar)\right) = \sum_{N \ge 0} \kappa^N Z_X^{\rho}(N,\hbar)$$

$$\operatorname{Tr} \rho_X^{\ell} \equiv \sum e^{-\ell E_n} < \infty$$

 ρ_X density matrix of a Fermingas with spectral traces

$$\begin{split} Z_X^{\rho}(N,\hbar) &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} (-1)^{\sigma} \int \mathrm{d}^N x \rho_X(x_i, x_{\sigma(i)}) \\ \Xi_X(\\ \mathbf{TS/ST \ conjecture} \ [\text{Grassi-Hatsuda-Marino}] \\ Z_X^{\rho}(1,\hbar) &= \prod_{r=1}^{n \geq 0} \Gamma \rho_X \end{split}$$

$$\kappa$$

$$\frac{1}{\Xi(\kappa,\hbar)} = \frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{top}} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{Tr} } \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{Tr} } \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{Tr} } \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{Tr} } \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{Tr} } \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{Tr} } \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} = Z_X^{\operatorname{Tr} } \right) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{Tr} \ Z_X^{\rho} =$$



Topological strings and gauge theory in the magnetic phase [Bonelli-Grassi-A.T.]

Four-dimensional pure SU(2) gauge theory in the **dual magnetic phase** is described by type IIA superstring on local $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ in the limit



 $t_F/\hbar \to 0 \qquad t_B/\hbar \to \infty \qquad \hbar \to \infty$

 $1/\hbar \sim g_s$ v.e.v. of self-dual graviphoton field strength, a.k.a. topological string coupling

remark: it is a rescaled version of geometric engineering limit of Katz-Klemm-Vafa $t_F \rightarrow 0 \quad t_B \rightarrow \infty$

 $t_F \to 0 \quad t_B \to \infty$

this makes an important difference at the level of **quantum operators** associated to the mirror curve:

the standard geometric engineering limit makes contact with **NS quantisation** of the underlying Seiberg-Witten curve, in this case **quantum Toda chain**

 $\epsilon_1=\hbar, \epsilon_2=0$ [Hatsuda-Marino]

the rescaled 4d limit gives instead a Fermi gas formulation of Seiberg-Witten theory in a self-dual $\,\Omega$ - background

 $\epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2 = \epsilon$ [Bonelli-Grassi-A.T.]

Topological strings and gauge theory in the magnetic phase

[Bonelli-Grassi-A.T.]

Fermionic spectral traces for local $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ computed by [Kashaev-Marino-Zakany] in the **conifold frame.** In the rescaled 4d limit, via Cauchy identity, become

$$Z_{4\mathrm{D}}^{\rho} = \frac{1}{M!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_M} (-1)^{\sigma} \int \mathrm{d}^M x \rho_{4\mathrm{D}}(x_i, x_{\sigma(i)})$$
$$= \frac{1}{M!} \int \prod_{i=1}^M \frac{\mathrm{d}x_i}{4\pi} \mathrm{e}^{-2T \cosh x_i} \prod_{i < j} \tanh\left(\frac{x_i - x_j}{2}\right)^2$$

coincides with Painleve' upon $T\sim\Lambda/\epsilon$ $ho_{4\mathrm{D}}$

density matrix
$$\rho_{4\mathrm{D}} = \mathrm{e}^{-T\cosh\hat{x}}2\cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{\hat{p}}{2}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-T\cosh\hat{x}}$$
$$\rho_{4\mathrm{D}} = \mathrm{e}^{-T\cosh\hat{x}}2\cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{\hat{p}}{2}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-T\cosh\hat{x}}$$

classical system

$$H_{4d}^{cl}(x,p) = \log (2\cosh p/2) + 2T\cosh x$$
$$H_{4d}^{cl}(x,p) = \log (2\cosh p/2) + 2T\cosh x$$
$$E_{1}$$

The zeroes of the Painleve' III3 tau-function give the spectrum of the quantum Fermi gas : $\tau(T,\kappa) = e^{4\sqrt{T}} \det(1+\kappa\rho_{4D})$

$$\{E_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots} = \left\{ \log \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \cosh(2\pi\sigma_r^{(n)}) \right] : \Xi_S^{4d}(T, \frac{1}{2} + i\sigma_r^{(n)}) = 0 \right\}.$$

$$\tau(21/\pi,\kappa) = Z^{\rm NO}(\kappa,21/\pi)$$



The zeros of the NO partition function give the spectrum of ρ_{4d}

quantum statistical system associated to gauge theory in the self-dual Omega background with the consequences of the genus one proposal for SU(2) theories. In section of this paper is to extra purpose of this paper is to extra provide theorem of the section the general prescription of $\begin{bmatrix} 23 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}$, we derive the matrix models computing the SU(2) theories. In section when the consequences of the genus one proposal for SU(2) theories D(EC) setuge theories. More precisely, he general prescription on the VIN geometries The result is given by the N 1 cut models computing the ntion function of the property of the state Then, in section 4, we perform the so-called *dual* four dimensional galled *dual* four dimensional round [10]. More indecised the data and we perform the so-cance traction high the mensional models and we make contact with $\mathcal{N} = 2.50$ (N) SYM in the four dimensional self-dual Ω background [10]. More precisely we find that the partition function in the magnetic round $\mathcal{N} = 2.50$ (N) SYM in the four dimensional self-dual Ω background [10]. More precisely we find that the partition function in the magnetic round $\mathcal{N} = 2.50$ (N) SYM in the four dimensional self-dual Ω background [10]. More precisely we find that the partition function in the magnetic round $\mathcal{N} = 2.50$ (N) SYM in the four dimensional self-dual Ω background [10]. More precisely we find that the partition function in the magnetic round $\mathcal{N} = 2.50$ (N) SYM in the four dimensional self-dual Ω background [10]. More precisely we find that the partition function in the magnetic round $\mathcal{N} = 2.50$ (N) SYM in the four dimensional self-dual Ω background [10]. gives the matrix model [Penell Siassi A. The r_{j} $(I_{N-1}) =$ $I_{N-1}) = \frac{1}{M_1}$ $-NT \sin\left(\frac{\pi j}{N}\right) \cosh(x_{i_i})$ 2,\$inh $) 2 \sinh\left(\frac{x_i - x_j}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(f_i - f_j)\right)$ Х $\prod_{1 \le i < j \le M} 2 \sinh$ $\frac{\sqrt{r}}{j=2}$ $\frac{\sqrt{r}}{2}$ $\cos \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ (sinh $\left(f_{i}^{x_{i}+x_{i}}\right)$ Х $2\cosh($ the instanton counting parameter in gauge theory. The shifts $f_i = 1$ ($d_i - f_i$) (1.1

depend on the rank N of the gauge group. We also used the instanton counting parameter in gauge theory. The shifts f_i, a_i are given in

epend on the $I_j =$ (1.2)

we have a spectral determinant representation of $M_0 = 1$, $M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i$. (1.2) we have a spectral determinant representation of $M_0 = 1$, $M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i$. ion function as Soloand to antision Stunction has rises as how MMT section the ories as phow MMT section 4.2. We We have a specter bet strike of the second set of the four dimensional set of the Hitchin's system Pevantes when assess the second second second second second of the second of the second of the second s the τ -function of the isomonodromy problem associated to the Hitchin's system

event SW(2) case Reviewing f the tiSU(2) case

 F_{g} (a) F_{g} (b) F_{g} (b) F_{g} (c) F_{g

Perturbing the massless monopole point

 $a_D^i = 0$ massless monopole point

One cut matrix model, with e.g. $a_D^1
eq 0$

$$Z_{\rm 4d}^{(1)}(M) = \frac{1}{M!} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^M x}{(2\pi)^M} \prod_{i=1}^M \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{g_s} \cosh(x_i)} \frac{\prod_{i$$

fermionic spectral traces of

$$\mathbf{K}(x,y) = \mathrm{e}^{-\cosh(x)/2g_s} \frac{1}{4\pi \cosh\left(\frac{x-y}{2} - \mathrm{i}\pi \frac{(N-2)}{2N}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-\cosh(y)/2g_s}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

spectral determinant satisfies the equations of \hat{A}_{N-1} Toda chain:

$$q_{\ell}'' + \frac{1}{t}q_{\ell}' = e^{q_{\ell}-q_{\ell-1}} - e^{q_{\ell+1}-q_{\ell}},$$

corresponds to **isomonodromic deformations** of $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ flat connection on the cylinder with regular singularities at 0 and ∞ [Cecotti-Vafa, Guest-Its-Lin].

q-Painleve', five dimensional gauge theories and topological strings

The 4d Renormalization Group diagram is part of a bigger picture which comes from the embedding of gauge theories in string theory.

This also has a counterpart in Painleve' theory by going to the **multiplicative** case.

SU(2) five dimensional gauge theories with $\,N_{f}\,$ fundamental hypers

are relevant deformations in the IR of strongly coupled SCFTs with exceptional global symmetries

Seiberg's classification:

$$E_8 \to E_7 \to E_6 \to E_5 \to E_4 \to E_3 \to E_2 \to E_1$$

 N_f from 7 to 0 via holomorphic decoupling of masses

reduction to four dimensions:
$$\ \mathbb{R}^4 imes S$$

1

$$S^1$$
 - radius going to zero

Sakai's classification of q-Painleve' :

$$E_8^{(1)} \rightarrow E_7^{(1)} \rightarrow E_6^{(1)} \rightarrow D_5^{(1)} \rightarrow A_4^{(1)} \rightarrow (A_1 + A_2)^{(1)} \rightarrow (A_1 + A_1)^{(1)} \rightarrow A_1^{(1)} \rightarrow$$

Backlund symmetries table: Weyl group of the **affinization** of **flavour symmetry group** of the corresponding 5d/4d gauge theory.

SU(2) gauge theories on $\mathbb{R}^4 imes S^1$ are geometrically engineered by **topological strings** on local del Pezzo surfaces.

e.g. up to $N_f=4$:



topological strings on local Hirzebruch and their blow-ups provide conjectural tau-functions for q-Paihleve' III_2 III_3

for toric geometries non-perturbative topological string would provide a candidate *spectral determinant* for those tau-functions.

it works for q-PIII3 tau function [Bonelli-Grassi-A.T., to appear]

Concluding remarks

gauge theory partition functions/BPS correlators and isomonodromy: window on strongly coupled sectors of gauge and string theories a new class of matrix models for magnetic phase quantum statistical systems capturing self-dual Omega background

Some open problems

direct derivation of matrix model from gauge theory

including matter fields

breaking to N=1, confinement and condensates

non-perturbative string: frame dependence, relation to isomonodromy

THANKS!!