Final Discussion

THE EUROPEAN STRATEGY FOR FUTURE NEUTRINO PHYSICS concentrate on accelerator-based program

AA Lindnon	(Heidlehene theory DCHOOZ)
M. Lindner	(Heidleberg, theory, DCHOOZ)
A. Rubbia	(ETHZ, Larg, LAGUNA)
M. Mezzetto	INFNPadova, beta-beam)
K. Long	(ICLondon, UKNF)
S. Katsanevas	(IN2P3 Paris, WC, ASPERA)
M. Zisman	(Berkeley, Accelerator)
S. Myers	(CERN, Accelerator)
K. Nishikawa	(KEK; IPNS director)
Y.K. Kim	(Fermilab, deputy director)

- 1. General strategy: science vs. time
- 2. Getting prepared: what should be done
- 3. Concluding remarks from round table panel members

We are starting a process: more important to collect all information & questions than to reach conclusions today

European Strategy for Future Neutrino Physics Alain Blondel round table intro

- -- International harmonization of efforts
- -- What area is it most important to focus on?
- -- What are the contributions that Europe can make in a global context?
- -- what would be a good path for CERN to follow

1. SCIENCE vs TIME

2010-2015 (CNGS, DCHOOZ and reactor, T2K, NOvA) we are contributing

2015-2025? There will be another generation of experiments (DUSEL, T2KX) start construction date in 5 years? How should EU contribute? Should we have our own superbeam in Europe? Can we do something significant with CNGS? (x2-4 in 2018) θ₁₂ reactor experiment? or participate in Japan -- or US Contribute to beam? to detector?

Ultimate machine (would not begin construction in EU before 2020): our chance? Neutrino Factory and/or beta-beam and/or "superior superbeam" what are the physics aims?

- -- precision on mixing angles?
- -- CP violation?
- -- mass hierarchy?
- -- constrain the matrix?
- -- synergies (LFV experiments, EURISOL, near detector physics)

do aims, choice of facility or only design details depend on the value of theta13? Can we see reasons to favour BB over NF or vice versa? What do we need to know to chose? (who will make the choice and how?)

European Strategy for Future Neutrino Physics Alain Blondel round table intro

2 Getting prepared

Does something need to be done? Is community well organized/structured?

2.1 Accelerator

--Make sure SPL can be compatible with high power... (is that enough? Is it necessary? Is it possible?)

- -- increase accelerator R&D in a coordinated way (across Europe? At CERN -lab itself? Global coordination?)
- -- what are the priorities?

```
2.2 Detector WC, TASD, LArg, MIND, Magnetized Larg, TASD ECC... ...
Is this a complicated problem (herding cats) ?
or a simple one?
(more than one detector – they are complementary)
```

R&D on detectors

What framework? Can CERN contribute to helping R&D on detectors (how/how many to chose?) Interest in a short baseline beam? (GGM-II)