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## Proton Economics

- For CERN to have future neutrino physics option, high power SPL must be available
- encouraging Council decision to preserve this possibility is critical
- capability need not be implemented on Day 1 - but upgrade path must be available from the outset
- Is equivalent upgrade path for PS2 needed as well?


## Superbeams

- Not clear that CERN-Frejus baseline choice is optimum
- also not clear that there are volunteers to look at alternative options
- Fair comparison in EUROnu requires that each technical option be roughly optimized
- not only in cost, but in physics performance and "practicality" (technical risk)
- Getting international, as well as EU, buy-in on EUROnu recommendations will be invaluable
- too many Superbeam options worldwide??


## Beta Beams

- Ion production issues likely "make or break"
- ${ }^{8}$ B looks like the key and must be resolved before 2012 ( ${ }^{18} \mathrm{Ne}$ also an issue but tractable)
- High- $\gamma$ ring coupled with long baseline looks impractically deep
- must settle on realistic design parameter limits - avoid "promising everything and delivering nothing"
- Fermilab site seems unlikely option
- depth of decay ring would be an issue for long baseline


## Neutrino Factory

- EUROnu effort is well internationalized
- this design likely will have international buy-in for parameters, technical risks, and costs
- Is "coupling" to Muon Collider an advantage in Europe?
- if so, can it be better exploited?
- Science case for NF if $\sin ^{2} 2 \theta_{13}$ is large must be made more crisp
- how precision helps needs to be articulated
- Potential advantages of $v_{e} \rightarrow v_{\tau}$ must be considered

