







#### SEARCHES FOR AXIONLIKE PARTICLES WITH THE FERMI LARGE AREA TELESCOPE

MANUEL MEYER (G. Zaharijas) FOR THE FERMI-LAT COLLABORATION

amm pace Telescope

#### DETECTING AXIONS/ALPs WITH PHOTONS

$$\mathcal{L}_{a\gamma} = -\frac{1}{4}g_{a\gamma}F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}a = g_{a\gamma}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{B}a$$



QCD Axion: 
$$m_a \approx 0.3 \,\mathrm{eV} \frac{g_{a\gamma}}{10^{-10} \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-1}} = 0.3 \,\mathrm{eV} g_{10}$$

[Birenji, Gaskins, Meyer 2016]



Dwek & Krennsrich 2013. Slide adopted from M. Raue]









[Hooper & Serpico 2007; Fairbairn et al. 2011;Horns et al. 2012; Wouters & Brun 2012,2013; Abramowski et al. 2013; MM et al. 2014, MM & Conrad 2014; Ajello et al. 2016; Berg et al. 2016] [Credit: SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory/Chris Smith]

Energy

B



#### SEARCHES FOR REDUCED OPACITY

- Expectations if opacity lower than EBL model predictions:
  - We should detect γ rays from blazars at energies corresponding to high values of τ and positive residuals at highest energies
  - Correcting measured blazar spectra for EBL absorption should give a spectral hardening at high values of τ — or very hard intrinsic spectra
  - 3. Absorption corrected spectral indices should become harder (lower) with increasing redshift
     ⇔ Difference in Spectral Indices at low and high energies should be > 0 and evolve with redshift

$$\Delta \Gamma = \Gamma_{\log E} - \Gamma_{\operatorname{high} E}^{\operatorname{int}} \sim mz + b > 0$$



# SEARCHES FOR REDUCED OPACITY

Expectations if opacity lower than EBL model

2. SPECTRAL HARDENING

Redshift z

- CURRENT STATUS:
- Many analyses found hints / evidences
   [e.g. De Angelis et al. 2009,2011,2015; Essey & Kusenko 2012, Horns & MM 2012, Rubtsov & Troitsky 2014]
- Recent analyses (Fermi-LAT / IACT) are consistent with EBL only
- [Sanchez et al. 2013; Biteau & Williams 2015; Dominguez & Ajello 2015]
- Dedicated Future (re-) analysis of IACT data and CTA observations will settle the Issue
- Analyses w/ ALPs did not account for photon dispersion [Kartavtsev, Raffelt, Vogel 2016]

3

$$\Delta \Gamma = \Gamma_{\log E} - \Gamma_{\operatorname{high} E}^{\operatorname{int}} \sim mz + b > 0$$

#### SEARCH FOR IRREGULARITIES WITH FERMI LAT FROM NGC 1275

15

- Radio galaxy NGC 1275, bright Fermi source [e.g. Abdo et al. 2009]
- In the center of cool-core
   Perseus cluster
- Rotation measures: central B field ~25µG [Taylor+ 2006]
- B ≥ 2 µG from nonobservation of γ rays [Aleksic et al. 2012]

# FERMI-LAT DATA ANALYSIS



- 6 years of LAT data
- Split into analysis EDISP event types igodot
- Method: log-likelihood ratio test for no-ALP and ALP hypothesis
- Hypothesis test calibrated with **Monte-Carlo simulations**

No axions observed, constraints

[Ajello et al. (Fermi-LAT Collaboration) 2016]



**NO-ALP HYPOTHESIS:** 

Photon. surv. prob.; incl. EBL spectrum

> exp( EBL attenuation only spectrum

#### AXIONLIKE PARTICLES FROM CORE COLLAPSE SUPERNOVAE

ALPs would be **produced in a core collapse SN** explosion via Primakoff process

Could convert into gamma-rays in Galactic magnetic field



### EXPECTED ALP SIGNAL



- ALPs produced in SN core within ~10 s after explosion and escape core → short burst
- Spectrum has thermallike shape, peaks at ~50 MeV
- Gamma rays would arrive co-incident with SN neutrinos (provides time tag)

#### ALP / $\gamma$ -ray flux integrated over explosion time



Better gamma-ray sensitivity and large FoV of Fermi LAT promise unparalleled sensitivity for ALPs in case of a Galactic core-collapse SN within Fermi-LAT lifetime and FoV

LIMITS

#### SENSITIVITIES



LIMITS

#### SENSITIVITIES





LIMITS

LIMITS

#### SENSITIVITIES





#### SENSITIVITIES



[MM; M. Giannotti; A. Mirizzi; J. Conrad; M. Sanchez-Conde, accepted in PRL. <u>ArXiv:1609.02350</u>]

#### Extra Slides

# PHOTON-AXION/ALP MIXING



#### PHOTON-AXION/ALP MIXING



[Östman & Mörtsell 2005; Hooper & Serpico 2007; Mirizzi et al 2007; Hochmuth & Sigl 2007 De Angelis et al. 2008; Wouters & Brun 2012,2013; Abramowski et al. 2013; Ajello et al. 2016