

CLIC Crab Project Summary

<u>G. Burt</u>, A. Dexter, D. Constable, S. Smith, B. Woolley*, V. Hill, S. Kariman*

*no longer at Lancaster

Programme of Work



- Modelling of high efficiency klystrons, COM and CSM tubes (ongoing, but will move to FCC studies)
- Continue with commissioning of XBox2/3 until Ben finishes his thesis (complete but may restart)
- Testing of CLIC crab cavities (one built and tested, one being machined now)
- Design and testing of phase stabilisation setup (Phase detector built, phase shifter built, constructing experiment now)

Initial HEKCW MAGIC2–D Simulations

Lancaster University



- Initial attempts to model MBK cavities:
 - Examined in CST and MAGIC2-D.
 - Target R/Q's 352 Ohms.
 - Target Q0 ~ 10000.
- CST:
 - Allow optimiser to find geometry for required cavity parameters.
 - Highest R/Q achievable, 250 Ohms.
- MAGIC2-D:
 - MAGIC uses dielectric load with conductivity to obtain Q.
 - Attempt to 'fake' high R/Q's by also using relative permeability.
 - Results in significant decrease in maximum Q factor attainable, Q0max ~ 300.
- Results here promoted move to GSP style tubes:
 - Allow attainable tubes to be modelled in 2D.







Early MAGIC2–D GSP Simulations



- Seven cavity, 800 MHz tube (07-02):
 - Frequencies of cavities 3-6 very close together, ~830 MHz.
 - 1-D simulations predicted high efficiency, >80%, and highlighted reflected electrons.
 - MAGIC predicted much lower efficiency, ~70%, and reflected electrons.
 - Best stable efficiency, ~60%.
 - Output FFT has mixed spectra, ~800 MHz and 840 MHz.
 - Strong beating of cavity 6 voltage FFT shows mix of drive and 820 MHz signal.
 - During RF ramp, temporary instability generated in cavities 4-6.
 - Behaviour also observed in CST.
- Initially, reflected electrons from final cavity:
 - Voltage in final cavity ~ beam voltage.
 - Few electrons initially reflected, leads to avalanche, increase in cavity 6 voltage.
 - Electrons eventually reflected from cavity 6.



GSP 07-02 Cavity 6 AJDISK Study





- Perform examination of GSP 07-02 in AJDISK:
 - ~90% efficiency predicted at 800 MHz.
 - Q0 of intermediate cavities, 10000.
 - Reasonable efficiencies can be attained at ~810 MHz drive frequency.
 - Due to intermediate cavities, 3-6, operating at similar frequency.
- Examine cavity 6 voltages:

Cavity Number

Frequency (MHz)

- Unphysical voltages when driven at 825 MHz.
- Identification of problem with 07-02 design:
 - Close cavity frequencies result in instability klystron within a klystron.
 - Initial attempt to resolve, reduce Q0 to 500.
 - Reduces cavity 6 voltage by an order of magnitude in AJDISK.
 - Reflected electrons still predicted in AJDISK.
 - Unstable tube still predicted in MAGIC.
 - Reflected electrons identified as primary challenge to tube development!

1

801.07

2

805.588

3

825,198

826.79



MAGIC 2-D, GSP 17-01



3

Time 769.049 ns: PHASESPACE for all particles

2 Z (M)

Time 580.656 ns: PHASESPACE for all particles

Axial momentun

Geometry

- Move to redesign, GSP 17-01:
 - Broader frequency span between intermediate cavity resonances.
- Promising output power, but still unstable.
 - Max stable efficiency, ~59%.
 - Reflected electrons from final cavity.
 - Tube eventually destabilizes, as seen previously.
- Also experimented with varying Qe of output cavity:
 - To reduce energy being extracted from beam.
 - Reduction in efficiency to 75%.



(E-3) 100

0

09 (W) 0 Ц

(E6) 400

(N/S) 0

2

MAGIC 2-D, GSP 17-01 Electron **Phasespace**

Lancaster 💴 University 🍧 The Cockcroft Institute

Output Power

E.J OMMICLOV at A COND 7

- Significant deceleration of electrons in output gap. "Bouncing" regime of electrons. Promising behaviour, as was observed in 1 GHz tube. Bunch entering cavity 6 is appears saturated: 0.2 0.0 TDE (SEC) We remove cavity 6 to examine effect (17-02). Time \$79,942 ns: PERSONS for all particles time 900.74) acc HERESONS for all particles Time 901.142 no: PRACESING for all particles fine 90.30 no: PRESENS for all particles file 90.50 pc HREENS for all particle Time 90,940 no: PRESSACE for all particles Cavity 6 Accelerated Accelerated Accelerated Decelerated bunch Bunch Caught in cavity gap bunch bunch bunch experiences 1.01 3.85 4.00 1.9 3.95 - 60 1.6 4.0 1.15 1.4 4.00 1.01 1.6 4.0 acceleration 1.00 eler brin F + 1 Hill 27, June in 5 Hill with his 1+ 1,000 KE, her is 5,000 ete: him (+ 1.000 st, her is 1. arter frim Fa 1 Hill 12, Juar in 1 1 marin: frim F + 1.000 GZ, Page in 5.001
- Electron phase space for stable situation:
 - 59% efficiency, output power, 1.05 MW.

MAGIC 2-D, GSP 17-02

1

0



PIC results:

- Some reflected electrons along transfer curve, although not catastrophic.
- Deceleration of electrons towards 0 ms⁻¹ at output, before being accelerated out of gap.
- Peak efficiency, 76%.
- Current ratio, 1.72.
- Reflected electrons remain • challenge.







Move to HEKCW 08-Series



- Given previous results, move to 8 cavity designs of COM tubes:
 - In each case, cavity 7 has large detuning to prevent ~830 MHz issues seen in previous designs.
 - Due to reflected electrons, implementation of no overtaking electrons in optimisations from KlypWin.
 - Four tubes, 08-01 (optimised in KlypWin), 08-02 (Klys2D), 08-03 (TESLA), 08-04 (TESLA).
- All tubes show >79% stable efficiency in MAGIC2-D:
 - HEKCW 08-01 and 08-02 predict reflected electrons in MAGIC2-D and AJDISK with enough input power.
 - HEKCW 08-03 and 08-04 stable across entire transfer curves.
 - Peak efficiency, 83.3%, HEKCW 08-04 tube. _ 100 100 **HEKCW 08-03 HEKCW 08-04** 90 90 80 80 -----70 70 60 60 Efficiency (%) Efficiency (%) -KlypWin -Magic 50 50 -KlypWin -MAGIC TESLA 40 40 AJDisk ----AJDISK 30 30 20 20 10 10 0 0 10 20 25 0 5 15 30 0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 Input Power (W) Input Power (W)

08-04-08 Results



- 1-D & 2-D modelling: KlypWin, AJDISK, Klys2D, MAGIC.
- Number of tube iterations, frequencies, drift lengths.
- Consistent efficiencies across HEIKA codes.
- Tube length, ~6m; impractical at 800 MHz.
- Stable across transfer curve.
- Radial stratification of electron bunch in PIC:
 - Bunch velocity varies with radial position.
 - Not observed in 1-D/2-D codes.
 - Electrons close to axis not affected by RF.
 - Number of particles per bunch consistent.
 - Slight variations correlate with small ripple in output.

0

0.

0.2

0.0 0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

Time (us)

0.7

0.8

0.9

1.0

– Variation in particle distribution in bunch.





Lancaster University

The Cockcroft Institute

Frequency (GHz)

Electron Bunch Comparison

HEKCW GSP #08-03, HEKCW GSP #08-04 & HEKCW GSP #08-04-08

- Improvements in efficiency lie with the bunch shape at the output gap:
 - Requires the use of (at least) 2-D code in order to properly examine stratification in bunch.
- Highest stable efficiencies:
 - 08-03: 82.8%.
 - 08-04: 83.3%.
 - **08-04-08: 84.6%.**
- 08-04-08 has more slab like profile at output gap:
 - Hence, highest efficiency.
 - 08-03 has most lens shaped bunch.
 - Other tubes have more particles in the pedestal.
 - Particles on axis not affected by cavity voltages.
 - Radial stratification results.



Lancaster 💴 University 🍧



HEKCW 08H-Series

Hollow Beam, with Core Oscillation – 800 MHz

- Remove stratification effects by using a hollow beam:
 - Same operational parameters as 08-Series.
 - On axis particles removed from situation.
 - Beam density kept constant with 08-series.
 - Consistent efficiency predictions across 2-D codes, >85%.
 - Stable across all input powers.
 - Well bunched electron beam.
 - Very few particles outside of bunch.
 - Similar bunch profile between bunches.







Summary of Tubes Investigated



- All designs using Core Oscillation Method (COM) of bunching:
 - Scaled with GSP method.
 - Frequency, 800 MHz.
 - Investigated with 1-D disk code, AJDISK, and PIC code, MAGIC2-D.
- Initial 6 and 7 cavity designs:
 - All designs show reflected electrons in PIC.
 - Peak efficiencies lower than predicted by 1-D codes.
 - AJDISK and MAGIC simulations identified close frequencies of intermediate cavities as issue.
- HEKCW 08-Series:
 - Increased to 8 cavities.
 - Large detuning of penultimate cavity.
 - Incidence of reflected electrons significantly reduced.
 - High (and stable) efficiencies achieved.

Tube Name	Number of Cavities	Maximum Efficiency	Stable in PIC?		
Early Designs					
GSP 07-02	6	70	No, reflected electrons		
GSP 17-01	7	72	No, reflected electrons		
GSP 17-02	6	76.05	No, reflected electrons		
HEKCW 08-Series					
GSP 08-01	8	80.68	No, reflected electrons		
GSP 08-02	8	79.09	No, reflected electrons		
GSP 08-03	8	82.77	Yes		
GSP 08-04	8	83.28	Yes		
HEKCW 08-04	Optimisation				
GSP 08-04-02	8	84.05	Yes		
GSP 08-04-03	8	83.87	Yes		
GSP 08-04-04	8	83.81	Yes		
GSP 08-04-05	8	83.93	Yes		
GSP 08-04-06	8	82.8	Yes		
GSP 08-04-07	8	81.21	No, reflected electrons		
GSP 08-04-08	8	84.62	Yes		
GSP 08-04-09	8	83.45	Yes		
GSP 08-04-10	8	83.99	Yes		
GSP 08-04-11	8	80.06	Yes		
HEKCW 08-Se	ries Hollow				
GSP 08-H01	8	84.93	Yes		
GSP 08-H02	8	86.01	Ves		

F-Tube/FG-Tube

•

•

.



Extension of BAC method: 200 **F-Tube** Uses 1st, 2nd and 3rd harmonic ergy/particle (keV) 100 cavities. 2nd and 3rd harmonics allow _ FG-Tube, Efficiency: 73% collection of outsiders in reduced (MW) 50 distance. 0.6 Klystrons half the length of COM 0.0 02 0.4 0.6 0 8 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 0.4 tubes (~2 metres). z (m) 0.2 All Particles(z,Pz) @ 3.000 us For FCC (800 MHz), 3rd harmonic 300 cavities not difficult to construct. 15 Time (us) Output power < 2 MW. 250 Designed to minimise electron 200 phase crossover. ₩ 150 All Particles(z,r) @ 1.630 us Presents "ideal" bunch at output. **FG-Tube** F-Tube: Original 6 cavities 100 FG-Tube uses 2 additional 1st 50harmonic cavities. 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 1.4 1.6 1.8 20 • Allows more gradual/gentler 0.8 1.0 1.2 collection of particles. z (m) Early PIC results: 20. F-Tube efficiency, 80%. FG-Tube efficiency, 73%. EG-Tubo 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.8 2.0 0.0 0.4 1.6 1.4

All Particles(z, Energy) @ 1.500 us



Applegate Diagram from AJDisk





Magic Results – Output Power

- Below is the results for the input power 60 and 90 Watts. 60 Watts to show that the tube stabiles up to 2.5us and therefore can decrease the run time of consecutive simulations just after the rise time (450ns).
- Simulations of 125 Watts and 110 Watts are currently running.
- A sweep of many different input powers will also be completed to plot a power transfer curve (once the saturation point of input power is found).



Crab Cavity Design





A. Grudiev V. Dolgashev P.Ambattu



structures.

Full Processing History Lancaster



Structure has seen almost 390 million pulses with over 5700 breakdown events. Performed well above the operating limit of 13.35 MW: **43MW, 200ns flat-top, BDR 3e-6**. Peak power reached: **51 MW, 100 ns flat-top, BDR 3e-5**.

Pulse width and Power Dependencies



After pushing the structure to its limit at 200ns pulse width, pulse width and power level were changed to collect enough data to measure the pulse width and power dependency on the BDR.

Pulse width and Power Dependencies



Pulse width and Power Dependencies



Some structure degradation occurred after running at 250 ns flat-top; the BDR for 120 ns pulse width is higher than that of 160 ns.

Power Dependencies Lancaster





Property	CLIC T24 (unloaded)	LCLS deflector	CLIC Crab (un- damped)	CLIC Crab (un- damped)
	· · ·		. ,	1 /
Input Power	37.2 MW	20 MW	13.35 MW	40 MW
Transverse Kick	-	24 MV	2.55 MV	4.41 MV
Peak surf. E-field	219 MV/m	115 MV/m	88.8 MV/m	154 MV/m
Peak surf. H-field	410 kA/m	405 kA/m	292 kA/m	505 kA/m
Peak Sc	3.4 MW/mm ²	_	1.83 MW/mm ²	5.48 MW/mm ²
dT 200 ns	8 K	33 K	17 K	51 K
Group Velocity	1.8-0.9%c	-3.2%c	- 2 .9%c	-2.9%c
# Cells	24	117	12	12

BDR Vs Power @ 126 ns FWHM





Breakdown Location



Damage location are clustered around the electric field peak, there is no correlation with pointing flux or magnetic field This doesn't mean the breakdown is correlated with electric field, just the damage.



B-Field Effects: Cell



1.8000E+004 2.1546E-001



No fatigue signs in surface where the magnetic field peaks.

Very different from accelerating cavity results which show strong fatigue in a magnetic field.

Fatigue was shown in the coupler magnetic field.

B. Woolley/E. Rodriguez Castro

High B

25

Summary of observation



• Distribution of BDs is different than in monopole structuresfollows the peak surface electric field.





- Craters and features have same morphology that those in monopole structures
- BDs number drops significantly after firsts cells
 - To be fully confirmed with the complete observation of other cells (on going).
 B. Woolley/E. Rodriguez Castro 26

CLIC Synchronisation



Status Dec 2015



The PLLs under development were not quite complete before the staff member working on them left.

The phase board connectors had excessive reflection

Only part of the Labview code had been written

The phase shifter had not been developed

The fast switch had not been implemented

Dec 2015 Measuremetersity



Board from 2015





Mixer3



Progress 2016



- 1. Piezo activated phase shifter developed
- 2. Fast switch implemented required for measuring offsets
- 3. Two commercial DS programmable signal generators have been acquired.
- 4. EM simulations of the phase board connector allow new PCB design with better matching
- 5. New boards made and implemented
- 6. Full measurement system has been set up and power levels optimised.
- 7. Labview asynchronous sampling code developed
- 8. Labview logging code developed
- 9. Auto calibration under development

New Board 2016





Signals with Phase Noise Lancaster $A_1^2 \alpha_L \alpha_R \cos{\{\theta_L - \theta_R + \pi/2\}}$ Left arm of Interferometer Pulsed output from DBM1 does not depend on phase noise Phase noise for DBM1 $A_1A_2\alpha_L\cos\{(\omega_1-\omega_2)t+f_1(t)-f_2(t)+\theta_L\}$ cancels immediately. θ_L Phase noise in down Mixed down signal from left leg to 25 MHz converted signals can also be cancelled DBM = double balanced mixer DBM2 +π $A_1 \cos\{\omega_1 t + f_1(t)\}$ $A_2 \cos{\{\omega_2 t + f_2(t)\}}$ +π/2 DBM3 $+\pi/2$ f₂ is phase noise on **f**₁ is phase noise on **12** GHz 11.975 GHz Local input to interferometer All paths are exact $|\theta_{\underline{R}}|$ Oscillator multiples of $\pi/2$ but some gain $\pi/2$ or π $A_1A_2\alpha_R \cos\{(\omega_1-\omega_2)t+f_1(t)-f_2(t)+\theta_R\}$ **Right arm of** Mixed down signal from right leg to 25 MHz Interferometer

Notes on Synchronisation Lancaster



- For synchronisation DBM1 controlled to zero.
- Measurement independent of oscillator phase noise .
- Synchronisation does not require amplitude to be known.
- Very small 'd.c.' voltage pulses lasting the length of the RF pulse must be measured.
- Offsets can be determined between pulses and then removed.
- High amplification on DBM1 means that 360° can not be measured.
- Direct sampling of DBM2 and DBM3 allows:-
 - 360° to be determined
 - course phase variation on each arm to be monitored
 - phase differences to be brought to range of DBM1
 - calibration of DBM1 output
 - monitoring separate arms of interferometer needs RF and LO to be locked



High power phase shifter

 Prototype high power phase shifter has been produced in aluminium based on A. Grudiev's compact hybrid.



Future activities



Discussion with Walter have narrowed down our continued activity on

- Phase stabilisation, synchronisation and measurement (main linac)
- Development of high power X-band components
- Commissioning and running of XBoxes

Funding required



- One PDRA for 2 years (£180k+£20k Swiss top up) matched with another PDRA based at Lancaster.
- £10k to bond final crab cavity structure
- Travel (£20k)
- Top-up to make 3 x CERN studentships, one for each topic, (from Walter) fully funded for 2 years, remaining years will be paid by Lancaster (£85k)
- Lancaster will provide academic effort
- Total=£315k



Thank you!