



Photon+V measurements in ATLAS

Dimitrii Krasnopevtsev on behalf of ATLAS collaboration Moscow NRNU MEPhI

PHOTON 2017, Geneva

Outline

Motivation

- Photon identification in ATLAS
- Photon+V measurements with 8 TeV
 - Zγ vector boson scattering (VBS)
 - $Z\gamma(\gamma)$ production
 - WVγ production
- Anomalous triple gauge coupling (aTGC)
- Anomalous quartic gauge coupling (aQGC)
- ➤ Summary

Importance of Photon+V measurements

- To test the electroweak sector of the Standard Model with high accuracy using multi-boson production cross section measurements.
- ➤ To probe the SU(2)_L × U(1)_Y gauge symmetry of the electroweak theory that determines the structure and self-couplings of the vector bosons.
- To search for signs of new physics using anomalous triple and quartic gauge-boson couplings studies.



Last but not least:

SM multi-boson production is a background for Higgs and exotic searches. Precision Photon+V measurements help to tune Monte-Carlo, which describes some of these backgrounds.

ATL-COM-PHYS-2014-542

Photons in ATLAS

- ATLAS uses Electromagnetic calorimeter and Inner Detector system to reconstruct photons with high efficiencies. Both photons that do or do not convert to electronpositron pairs are reconstructed in ATLAS.
- ➤ The jet suppression is about 10⁴ along with a high identification efficiency for photons:



• PHOTON2017, May 22-26, Geneva

Multi-boson production



Sketch of a barrel module of the ATLAS electromagnetic calorimeter



Close-up view of hits and reconstructed tracks in the ID

• PHOTON2017, May 22-26, Geneva



Z(*vv*) production in association with one converted and one unconverted photons (orange lines)

Photon+V measurements with 8 TeV

Standard Model cross sections (σ) for V γ production in ATLAS were measured with high accuracy already with 7 TeV data. Proton-proton $\sigma = \frac{\sigma}{R}$ collisions at 8 TeV allowed to improve some measurements...



Agreement between data and NNLO theory predictions! ratio to best theory

...and to study rare processes of Zy scattering and triboson productions.



Obs.-Bkg.

 $Eff.\times$

Zγ VBS

EWK component:



 $Z(II)\gamma$ – cross section measurements, aQGC limits setting. $Z(vv)\gamma$ – aQGC limits setting.

• PHOTON2017, May 22-26, Geneva

Zγ VBS

Basic selection for charged channel:

 $E_T^{\gamma} > 15 \text{ GeV}$, two OS same flavor leptons with $E_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$, $N_{\text{iets}} \ge 2$, $m_{\text{ll}} > 40 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{\text{ll}} + m_{\text{ll}\gamma} > 182 \text{ GeV}$.

<u>Two regions were studied:</u>
 Search region with max. VBS contribution was used for σ_{EWK}, σ_{EWK+QCD} measurements.

Control region with max. QCD contribution was used for QCD formalization in search region and also for σ_{EWK+QCD} measurements.



The significance of the observed EWK production signal is 2σ (1.8 σ expected)



Initial state radiation (ISR) photon



Final state radiation (FSR) photon

Zy production

Basic selection:

Events with $N_{jets} \ge 0$ and $N_{jets} = 0$ were studied (jet $p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$). $Z(ll)\gamma: E_T^{\gamma} > 15 \text{ GeV}$, two OS leptons with $p_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{ll} > 40 \text{ GeV}$. $Z(\nu\nu)\gamma: E_T^{\gamma} > 130 \text{ GeV}$ (trigger 120 GeV), $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 100 \text{ GeV}$.

$$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} = E_{x(y)}^{\mathrm{miss},e} + E_{x(y)}^{\mathrm{miss},\gamma} + E_{x(y)}^{\mathrm{miss},jets} + E_{x(y)}^{\mathrm{miss},SoftTerm} + E_{x(y)}^{\mathrm{miss},\mu}$$

Major backgrounds were estimated from data: Z+jets for $Z(ll)\gamma$. γ +jets and W($l\nu$) γ for $Z(\nu\nu)\gamma$.

Photon E_T spectrums:



• PHOTON2017, May 22-26, Geneva



High level of agreement



Zy production

An unfolding procedure was performed to remove measurement inefficiencies and resolution effects from the observed distributions.





Cross section measurements:

Channel	Measurement [fb]	MCFM Prediction [fb]	NNLO Prediction [fb]					
$N_{ m jets} \ge 0$								
$e^+e^-\gamma$	$1510 \pm 15 (\text{stat.})^{+91}_{-84} (\text{syst.})^{+30}_{-28} (\text{lumi.})$							
$\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$	$1507 \pm 13(\text{stat.})^{+78}_{-73}(\text{syst.})^{+29}_{-28}(\text{lumi.})$	1345_{-82}^{+66}	1483^{+19}_{-37}					
$\ell^+\ell^-\gamma$	$1507 \pm 10(\text{stat.})^{+78}_{-73}(\text{syst.})^{+29}_{-28}(\text{lumi.})$							
$ u ar{ u} \gamma$	$68 \pm 4(\text{stat.})^{+33}_{-32}(\text{syst.}) \pm 1(\text{lumi.})$	$68.2 {\pm} 2.2$	$81.4^{+2.4}_{-2.2}$					
$N_{ m jets}=0$								
$e^+e^-\gamma$	$1205 \pm 14(\text{stat.})^{+84}_{-75}(\text{syst.}) \pm 23(\text{lumi.})$							
$\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$	$1188 \pm 12(\text{stat.})^{+68}_{-63}(\text{syst.})^{+23}_{-22}(\text{lumi.})$	1191_{-89}^{+71}	1230^{+10}_{-18}					
$\ell^+\ell^-\gamma$	$1189 \pm 9(\text{stat.})^{+69}_{-63}(\text{syst.})^{+23}_{-22}(\text{lumi.})$							
$ u \bar{ u} \gamma$	$43 \pm 2(\text{stat.}) \pm 10(\text{syst.}) \pm 1(\text{lumi.})$	$51.0^{+2.1}_{-2.3}$	$49.21_{-0.52}^{+0.61}$					

• PHOTON2017, May 22-26, Geneva



Zyy production



Mixed ISR+FSR channel





Selection:

 $Z(ll)\gamma\gamma$: similar as for one photon channel. $Z(vv)\gamma\gamma$: $E_T^{\gamma} > 22$ GeV (trigger 20 GeV), $E_T^{miss} > 110$ GeV.

Major backgrounds estimated from data: $Z(ll)\gamma\gamma$: $Z(\gamma)$ +jets. $Z(\nu\nu)\gamma\gamma$: $\gamma(\gamma)$ +jets; $W(e\nu)\gamma$.

<u>Cross section:</u> $Z(ll)\gamma\gamma$: **<u>significance is greater 6</u>\sigma. Z(vv)\gamma\gamma: measured for the first time at LHC.**





The measurements are statistically limited, but the data and SM predictions agree within 1.5σ stat. error

• PHOTON2017, May 22-26, Geneva

https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PAPERS/STDM-2016-05/

WWy production (fully-leptonic)



> WW γ events are studied solely in the evµv γ final state.

 \triangleright evevy and $\mu\nu\mu\nu\gamma$ channels have low sensitivity because of large Z γ backgrounds.

Basic selection:

 $E_T^{\gamma} > 15 \text{ GeV}, p_T^{e,\mu} > 20 \text{ GeV}, N_{jets} = 0 (p_T > 25 \text{ GeV}), E_T^{miss} > 50 \text{ GeV}, m_{ll} > 50 \text{ GeV}.$

Measured cross section (significance of 1.4σ):

 $\sigma_{\rm fid}^{ev\mu v\gamma} = (1.53 \pm 0.92(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.46(\text{syst.})) \,\text{fb}$

Agreement with NLO predictions by VBFNLO: $(2.02 \pm 0.10) \text{ fb}$



WVγ production (semi-leptonic)

WV γ events with one leptonically decaying W boson and one hadronically decaying W or Z boson are studied: evjj γ , μ vjj γ .

Basic selection:

 $E_T^{\gamma} > 15 \text{ GeV}, \ p_T^{e,\mu} > 25 \text{ GeV}, \ N_{jets} \ge 2 \text{ (no b - jets)}, \ E_T^{miss} > 30 \text{ GeV}, \ m_T > 50 \text{ GeV}, \ 70 < m_{ll} < 100 \text{ GeV}.$



Upper exclusion limits on evjj γ , $\mu\nu$ jj γ and ν jj γ production cross-section were set. <u>Results are as low as 2.5 x Standard Model expectation.</u>

Triple and quartic gauge couplings

- Non-Abelian nature of SU(2)_L × U(1)_Y allows for self-couplings of the gauge bosons in fermions interactions: <u>triple and quartic</u> <u>gauge couplings.</u>
- Allowed are vertices with charged gauge couplings: WWZ, WWγ, WWW, WWZZ, WWZγ, WWγγ.
- Neutral boson gauge couplings are forbidden at tree level in the SM, but can arise in theories beyond the SM via anomalous couplings. Limits on anomalous couplings will provide constraints on many exotic models.







Allowed in SM





Zγ production and aTGC

Vertex approach was used to study aTGC. Anomalous ΖΖγ vertex function:

$$\Gamma_{Z\gamma Z}^{\alpha\beta\mu}(q_1, q_2, P) = \frac{P^2 - q_1^2}{M_Z^2} \left[h_1^Z (q_2^\mu g^{\alpha\beta} - q_2^\alpha g^{\mu\beta}) + \frac{h_2^Z}{M_Z^2} P^\alpha (P \cdot q_2 g^{\mu\beta} - q_2^\mu P^\beta) + h_3^Z \varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\rho} q_{2\rho} + \frac{h_4^Z}{M_2^2} P^\alpha \varepsilon^{\mu\beta\rho\sigma} P_\rho q_{2\sigma} \right]$$

CP-conversing parameters $h_{3,4}^{V}$ and CP-violating $h_{1,2}^{V}$ do not interfere and their sensitivities are nearly identical. $h_{3,4}^{V}$ were studied in this analysis.

For Zyy:

$$\frac{P^2 - q_1^2}{M_Z^2} \to \frac{P^2}{M_Z^2}, h_i^Z \to h_i^\gamma, i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Unitarity preservation at high energies:

$$h_3^V(\hat{s}) = h_3^V / (1 + \hat{s} / \Lambda_{\rm FF}^2)^n$$

 $\Lambda_{\rm FF}$ - form factor energy scale. Unitarized scheme: $\Lambda_{\rm FF} = 4$ TeV. Violation scheme: $\Lambda_{\rm FF} = \infty$.



aTGC limits from Zy production



• PHOTON2017, May 22-26, Geneva

Zyy production and aQGC

Effective field theory with higher-dimensional operators is adopted to parameterize the anomalous couplings. It uses EFT Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{SM} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_i}{\Lambda^2} O_i + \sum_{j} \frac{f_j}{\Lambda^4} O_j$$

 $O_{i(j)}$ – dimension-6(8) operators Λ [mass] - energy scale of the new physics f_i - numerical coefficients used to set limits

Operators	WWWW	WWZZ	ZZZZ	$WW\gamma Z$	$WW\gamma\gamma$	$ZZZ\gamma$	$ZZ\gamma\gamma$	$Z\gamma\gamma\gamma$	γγγγ
$\mathcal{O}_{S,0}, \mathcal{O}_{S,1}$	Х	Х	Х	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathcal{O}_{M,0}, \mathcal{O}_{M,1}, \mathcal{O}_{M,6}, \mathcal{O}_{M,7}$	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	0	0
$\mathcal{O}_{M,2}, \mathcal{O}_{M,3}, \mathcal{O}_{M,4}, \mathcal{O}_{M,5}$	0	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	0	0
$\mathcal{O}_{T,0}, \mathcal{O}_{T,1}, \mathcal{O}_{T,2}$	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
$\mathcal{O}_{T,5}, \mathcal{O}_{T,6}, \mathcal{O}_{T,7}$	0	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
$\mathcal{O}_{T,8}, \mathcal{O}_{T,9}$	0	0	Х	0	0	Х	Х	Х	Х

These constants were studied.

Unitarity preservation at high energies with energy dependent Form Factor:

$$f \rightarrow \left(1 + \frac{s}{\Lambda_{\rm FF}^2}\right)^{-n} \times f$$

aQGC limits from Zyy production



To maximize aQGC sensitivity regions with high $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ were considered: $ll\gamma\gamma: m_{\gamma\gamma} > 200$ GeV. $vv\gamma\gamma: m_{\gamma\gamma} > 300$ GeV.

Non-unitarized intervals:





PHOTON2017, May 22-26, Geneva

arXiv:1705.01966

aQGC from Zy VBS

The neutrino channel provides best expected limits for all studied operators. Combination with charged channels improves results by 5-10%.

Basic selection for neutral channel:

 $N_{iets} \ge 1, E_T^{\gamma} > 150 \text{ GeV}, E_T^{miss} > 100 \text{ GeV}, \text{ angular cuts}.$

Non-unitarized limits:



	Limits 95% CL	Measured $[TeV^{-4}]$	Expected $[\text{TeV}^{-4}]$						
	f_{T9}/Λ^4	[-3.9, 3.9]	[-2.7, 2.8]	_					
	f_{T8}/Λ^4	[-1.8, 1.8]	[-1.3, 1.3]						
	f_{T0}/Λ^4	[-3.4, 2.9]	[-3.0, 2.3]						
ATLAS $Z(\to \ell \bar{\ell} / \nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma$ -EWK	f_{M0}/Λ^4	[-76, 69]	[-66, 58]						
	f_{M1}/Λ^4	[-147, 150]	[-123, 126]						
	f_{M2}/Λ^4	[-27, 27]	[-23, 23]						
	f_{M3}/Λ^4	[-52, 52]	[-43, 43]						
	f_{T9}/Λ^4	[-4.0, 4.0]	[-6.0, 6.0]						
	f_{T8}/Λ^4	[-1.8, 1.8]	[-2.7, 2.7]						
CMS $Z(\to \ell \bar{\ell})\gamma$ -EWK	f_{T0}/Λ^4	[-3.8, 3.4]	[-5.1, 5.1]						
	f_{M0}/Λ^4	[-71, 75]	[-109, 111]						
	f_{M1}/Λ^4	[-190, 182]	[-281, 280]						
	f_{M2}/Λ^4	[-32, 31]	[-47, 47]						
	f_{M3}/Λ^4	[-58, 59]	[-87, 87]	_					
	f_{T0}/Λ^4	[-5.4, 5.6]	[-3.2, 3.4]						
	f_{M0}/Λ^4	[-77, 74]	[-47, 44]						
CMS $W(\rightarrow \ell \nu)\gamma$ -EWK	f_{M1}/Λ^4	[-125, 129]	[-72, 79]						
	f_{M2}/Λ^4	[-26, 26]	[-16, 15]						
	f_{M3}/Λ^4	[-43, 44]	[-25, 27]						
PHOTON2017 May 22-26 Geneva									

ATLAS obtained stronger limits with combined measurements of *llγ* and vvγ channels. https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PAPERS/STDM-2016-05/

aQGC limits from WVy production

- ➤ Effective field theory was used for these studies.
- > To maximize aQGC sensitivity regions with high E_T photons were considered.
- > First exclusion limits on the coupling parameters f_{M4} , f_{M5} , f_{T6} and f_{T7} .



Summary

- > ATLAS provides great possibilities to study $V\gamma(\gamma)$ productions in high energy proton-proton collisions with previously unattainable accuracy.
- All measurements reported here demonstrate an agreement with Standard Model predictions.
- No evidence for physics beyond the SM was found in anomalous boson triple and quartic couplings.
- New energies at LHC open frontiers to the new research areas. Vγ studies with 13 TeV data are in progress now and more results will come soon.

Thank you for your attention!



aQGC limits summary

CMS

May 2017

				May 2017	CN AT	IS LAS	4	Channel	Limits	∫ <i>L</i> dt	√s
				$f_{T,0} / \Lambda^4$				Wyy	[-3.4e+01, 3.4e+01]	19.4 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
								Wyy	[-1.6e+01, 1.6e+01]	20.3 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
								Ζγγ	[-1.6e+01, 1.9e+01]	20.3 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
								WVγ	[-2.5e+01, 2.4e+01]	19.3 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
						H		Zγ	[-3.8e+00, 3.4e+00]	19.7 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
						H		Zγ	[-3.4e+00, 3.4e+00]	29.2 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
						H		Wγ	[-5.4e+00, 5.6e+00]	19.7 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
						H		ss WW	[-4.2e+00, 4.6e+00]	19.4 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
						н		ss WW	[-6.2e-01, 6.5e-01]	35.9 fb ⁻¹	13 TeV
						н		ZZ	[-4.6e-01, 4.4e-01]	35.9 fb ⁻¹	13 TeV
				$f_{T,1}/\Lambda^4$				Ζγ	[-4.4e+00, 4.4e+00]	19.7 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
May 2017	CMS ATLAS	Channel	Limits			H		Ŵγ	[-3.7e+00, 4.0e+00]	19.7 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
$f_{M,0} / \Lambda^4$	——————————————————————————————————————	WVγ	[-7.7e+01, 8.1e+01]	1		н		ss WW	[-2.1e+00, 2.4e+00]	19.4 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
11,0	н	Zγ	[-7.1e+01, 7.5e+01]			H		ss WW	[-2.8e-01, 3.1e-01]	35.9 fb ⁻¹	13 TeV
	H	Ŵγ	[-7.7e+01, 7.4e+01]			Ĥ		ZZ	[-6.1e-01, 6.1e-01]	35.9 fb ⁻¹	13 TeV
	H	ss WW	[-3.3e+01, 3.2e+01]	f_{T_2}/Λ^4				Ζγ	[-9.9e+00, 9.0e+00]	19.7 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
	Ĩ	ss WW	[-6.0e+00, 5.9e+00]	1,2		· · · · ·	-4	Ŵγ	[-1.1e+01, 1.2e+01]	19.7 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
	Ĥ	γγ→WW	[-2.8e+01, 2.8e+01]			·		ss WW	[-5.9e+00, 7.1e+00]	19.4 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
	i i	γγ→WW	[-4.2e+00, 4.2e+00]			́н'		ss WW	[-8.9e-01, 1.0e+00]	35.9 fb ⁻¹	13 TeV
f_{MA}/Λ^4	 F	ŴVγ	[-1.3e+02, 1.2e+02]			i ii		77	[-1.2e+00, 1.2e+00]	35.9 fb ⁻¹	13 TeV
WI, I	i i	Zγ	[-1.9e+02, 1.8e+02]	$f_{\pi\pi}/\Lambda^4$				7.00	[-9.3e+00.9.1e+00]	20.3 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
	i 🖂 i	Ŵγ	[-1.2e+02, 1.3e+02]	1,5		· · · · · ·			[-3.8e+00_3.8e+00]	10.7 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
	Η.	ss WW	[-4.4e+01, 4.7e+01]	f_{-1}/Λ^4				Wy	[-2 8e+00_3 0e+00]	10.7 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ss WW	[-8.7e+00, 9.1e+00]	f_{-1/Λ^4}				Wy	[-7.3e+00.77e+00]	19.7 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
	н. Н	γγ→WW	[-1.1e+02, 1.0e+02]	$f_{T,7}/\Lambda^4$		'		72	[-1.8e+00, 1.8e+00]	10.7 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
	H	γγ→WW	[-1.6e+01, 1.6e+01]	'T,8 // L				-, 7∨	[-1.80+00, 1.80+00]	20.2 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
$f_{M,2}/\Lambda^4$		Ζγγ	[-5.1e+02, 5.1e+02]					77		20.2 ID 25.0 fb ⁻¹	13 ToV
WI,Z		Wyy	[-7.0e+02, 6.8e+02]	f /A ⁴				7.07	[-7.4e+00, 7.4e+00]		8 ToV
	· · · · ·	Wyy	[-2.5e+02, 2.5e+02]	IT,977X				Z11 Zv	[-1.40+00, 1.40+00]	20.3 ID	8 TeV
	Н	Zγ	[-3.2e+01, 3.1e+01]					Z1 Z2	[-3.90+00, 3.90+00]	20.0 fb ⁻¹	8 ToV
	Ĥ	Ŵγ	[-2.6e+01, 2.6e+01]					27	[-1.80,00, 1.80,00]	20.2 ID	12 ToV
f_{M3}/Λ^4		Ζγγ	[-8.5e+02, 9.2e+02]							35.9 10	13 160
m,o		Wyy	[-1.2e+03, 1.2e+03]	_50		0		50	1(10	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Wyy	[-4.4e+02, 4.7e+02]			0		50			
	н	Zγ	[-5.8e+01, 5.9e+01]					a	QGC Limits @9	95% C.L	[TeV⁻⁴
	Ĥ	Ŵγ	[-4.3e+01, 4.4e+01]	19.0 10	olev						1.2.1
$f_{M.4}/\Lambda^4$	H	Wγ	[-4.0e+01, 4.0e+01]	19.7 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV						
$f_{M,5}/\Lambda^4$	H	Ŵγ	[-6.5e+01, 6.5e+01]	19.7 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV						
$f_{M,6}/\Lambda^4$	 ⊨	Ŵγ	[-1.3e+02, 1.3e+02]	19.7 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV						
111,0	H	ss WW	[-6.5e+01, 6.3e+01]	19.4 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV		No evide	nco	or nhysic	s how	ond
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ss WW	[-1.2e+01, 1.2e+01]	35.9 fb ⁻¹	13 TeV				or physic	s ney	ulu
$f_{M,7}/\Lambda^4$	 	Wγ	[-1.6e+02, 1.6e+02]	19.7 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV						
	́н`	ss WW	[-7.0e+01, 6.6e+01]	19.4 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV	f	he Stan	dard	Model		
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ss WW	[-1.3e+01, 1.3e+01]	35.9 fb ⁻¹	13 TeV	L L	ne stan	uaru	TTUULI.		
											• .
-2000	0	2000)	4000			ATT AS	and (UNS a()(i lin	nits
		-	CC Limite	05% C I	$[T_{0}V^{-4}]$						
		a		90% U.L.	[iev]		no of th	0.00-	an and ar		
						— a	ire of th	e san	le order.		

• PHOTON2017, May 22-26, Geneva

Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 031802

Wyy production





- Signature: two isolated photons and W decays into leptons (isolated lepton + missing energy).
- The largest background from jets fake photons or leptons are estimated using data.

<u>Results</u>

- Above **3σ significance** for SM Wγγ process for combined results.
- Cross sections are higher by 1.9σ than SM NLO prediction in inclusive case, better agreement in exclusive case.

First measurement of triboson process in ATLAS!

	$\sigma^{ m fid}$ [fb]	$\sigma^{ m MCFM}$ [fb]
Inclusive $(N_{\text{jet}} \ge 0)$		
μνγγ ενγγ ℓνγγ	7.1 $^{+1.3}_{-1.2}$ (stat.) ± 1.5 (syst.) ± 0.2 (lumi.) 4.3 $^{+1.8}_{-1.6}$ (stat.) $^{+1.9}_{-1.8}$ (syst.) ± 0.2 (lumi.) 6.1 $^{+1.1}_{-1.1}$ (stat.) ± 1.2 (syst.) ± 0.2 (lumi.)	2.90 ± 0.16
Exclusive $(N_{jet} = 0)$		
μνγγ ενγγ ℓνγγ	$\begin{array}{l} 3.5 \pm 0.9 \ (\text{stat.}) \ {}^{+1.1}_{-1.0} \ (\text{syst.}) \ {}^{\pm}0.1 \ (\text{lumi.}) \\ 1.9 \ {}^{+1.4}_{-1.1} \ (\text{stat.}) \ {}^{+1.1}_{-1.2} \ (\text{syst.}) \ {}^{\pm}0.1 \ (\text{lumi.}) \\ 2.9 \ {}^{+0.8}_{-0.7} \ (\text{stat.}) \ {}^{+1.0}_{-0.9} \ (\text{syst.}) \ {}^{\pm}0.1 \ (\text{lumi.}) \end{array}$	1.88 ± 0.20

aQGC limits from Wyy production

- > Anomalous quartic couplings limits are set for exclusive case.
- $M_{\gamma\gamma} > 300 \text{ GeV}.$
- Limits better or similar to LEP and D0.

