



Big experiments computing challenges

*Claudio Grandi
INFN Bologna*





Computing for the HEP experiments



Claudio Grandi

PhD School on Open Science Cloud - Perugia

05/06/2017

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare



HEP computing has different aspects

For instance the characteristics of an accelerator-based experiment are different from those of an astro-particle experiment

The infrastructure built by the community is tailored on the needs of LHC that is the most demanding user at the moment (but it serves all the HEP community and more)






What is HEP about?

High Energy Physics studies the fundamental constituents of matter and the forces that drive their interactions

One of the methods is to create very high energy densities

This reproduces the environmental conditions of the primordial universe






Particle accelerators

In order to create high energy densities we accelerate particles in opposite directions and make them collide one against the other

The CERN LHC accelerates protons. It has 27 km of circumference and is located in a tunnel about 100 m underground in the Geneva area






Particle detectors

Around collision points we have built particle detectors that can “see” the particle produced in the proton collision so that we can understand what happened.

Detectors have about 100 million channels that are acquired at each collision






Collision events

We call “event” a single crossing of the proton bunches in the detector area.

For each event we reconstruct the particles produced in the collisions.

There are 40 millions crossings per second






LHC Physics

The reason why in LHC we produce so many events is that experiments study rare events

For example the signal to noise ratio for Higgs events is $\sim 10^{-13}$

Effective data reduction techniques are needed!



LHC data


In each LHC experiment there are 40 million bunch crossings per second. Every time 100 million channels are acquired (100 MB)

→ **40,000 EB/y (4x10²² Byte)**

Obviously it is not affordable!

The data reduction process brings to 1000 events per second each ~ 1 MB

→ **~10 PB/y (10¹⁶ Byte)**





LHC Data processing

In general physicists do not like to work on RAW data coming from the detector

Typically they prefer to work with particles, jets, vertices, missing energy, etc...

*The process that interprets RAW data in terms of physics objects is the **reconstruction***

Actually there are many reconstruction phases

*Physicists do **analysis** on reconstructed data*






LHC Real data

LHC collisions

Decay of unstable particles

ATLAS




Detector electronics

Trigger

Reconstruction

Analysis





LHC Simulation

Not just real data from detectors!

Since it is not possible to use analytical solutions of physical processes going from the proton interactions to the final state particles, we use simulations based on Monte Carlo techniques

Events are generated according to theoretical models and then simulated in order to reproduce the detector behaviour and then treated in the same way of the real data

The simulated data sample is 1 to 2 times the real data sample





LHC Simulated data

Geant4,
...

Theoretical model

Simulation of decays of
unstable particles

Simulation of
interactions particle-
detector


Pythia,
...

Simulation of detector
electronics

Trigger

Reconstruction

Analysis





Computing infrastructure

*Management of different kinds of **data** (raw, reconstructed, simulated, analysis products) and of **processes** (different phases of reconstruction, simulation, end-user analysis) is done on an **infrastructure** built by all countries participating to the LHC experiments*

The project that coordinates the operations on the infrastructure is the

World-wide LHC Computing Grid (WLCG)





Units used

Storage

1 byte (*B*) = [0...255] = 8 bit

1 GB = 10^9 *B*

1 PB = 10^{15} *B*

1 EB = 10^{18} *B*

Today: Hard Disk ~ 7 TB

Network

Gb/s = 2^{30} bit/s ~ 100 MB/s

Today: sites are connected at $n \times 10$ Gb/s to $n \times 100$ Gb/s

CPU

Using a unit specific for HEP:
HepSpec06 (HS06)

Today:

1 computing core $\sim > 10$ HS06

1 CPU (~ 12 cores) $\sim > 100$ HS06





Data flow



Numbers from the movie (2013)


600 million collisions every second

Only 1 in a million collisions is of interest

Fast electronic preselection passes 1 out of 10 000 events and stores them on computer memory

100 GB/s transferred to the experiment computing farm

15 000 processor cores select 1 out of 100 of the remaining events





CERN Data Centre (Tier 0)

~ 100 000

~~73.000 processor cores~~

Data aggregation and initial data reconstruction

copy to long-term tape storage and distribute to other data centres

11 Tier 1 centres

Permanent storage, re-processing, analysis

140 Tier 2 centres

Simulation, ent-useer analysis

> 2 multicore

~~1,5 million jobs running every day~~

25

~~10 GB/s global transfer rate~~





...more numbers


Global resources for 2017 are:

- 5,200,000 HS06 (~500.000 processor cores)
- 395.000 TB disk
- 590.000 TB tape
- Dedicated network connections (from multiples of 10 Gb/s to multiples of 100 Gb/s)

...and more available in collaborating institutes

More than 180 data centres in over 35 countries

More than 8000 analysts all over the world



Claudio Grandi



PhD School on Open Science Cloud - Perugia

05/06/2017

©2009 Google


20

Eye alt 470



If you're wondering why
a bunch crossing rate of
40 MHz produces 600
collisions per second:

*Every bunch crossing
(event) there are on
average 15 p-p collisions
(AKA **pileup**)*



Pileup is increasing to 50 and eventually to more than 150 in HL-LHC





How?




Claudio Grandi

PhD School on Open Science Cloud - Perugia

05/06/2017

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare




Information Management: A Proposal

Abstract

This proposal concerns the management of general information about accelerators and experiments at CERN. It discusses the problems of loss of information about complex evolving systems and derives a solution based on a distributed hypertext system.

Keywords: Hypertext, Computer conferencing, Document retrieval, Information management, Project control



WWW

In 1989 CERN had needs that were not addressed by existing tools

Tim Berners-Lee proposed a mechanism for information sharing in the scientific community: the World Wide Web





*Today WWW is available to
the entire society for free!*



Claudio Grandi

PhD School on Open Science

Declaration

The following CERN software is hereby put into the public domain:

- W 3 basic ("line-mode") client
- W 3 basic server
- W 3 library of common code.

CERN's intention in this is to further compatibility, common practices, and standards in networking and computer supported collaboration. This does not constitute a precedent to be applied to any other CERN copyright software.

CERN relinquishes all intellectual property rights to this code, both source and binary form and permission is granted for anyone to use, duplicate, modify and redistribute it.

CERN provides absolutely NO WARRANTY OF ANY KIND with respect to this software. The entire risk as to the quality and performance of this software is with the user. IN NO EVENT WILL CERN BE LIABLE TO ANYONE FOR ANY DAMAGES ARISING OUT THE USE OF THIS SOFTWARE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, DAMAGES RESULTING FROM LOST DATA OR LOST PROFITS, OR FOR ANY SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES.

Geneva, 30 April 1993

W. Hoogland
Director of Research

H. Weber
Director of Administration

copie certifiée conforme

ait à Genève le 03-05-93





The first picture on the web (1992)

Collider

*I gave you a golden ring to show you my love
You went to stick it in a printed circuit
To fix a voltage leak in your collector
You plug my feelings into your detector
You never spend your nights with me
You don't go out with other girls either
You only love your collider
Your collider.*

(CERN Hardronic Festival – 1990)

Les Horribles Cernettes





The first web-cam (1993)



Not verified...

*Computer Laboratory,
University of Cambridge*



Claudio Grandi

PhD School on Open Science Cloud - Perugia

05/06/2017

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

From Web to Grid

In the years 2000s the LHC community had to address the problem of how to manage the data that the experiments would produce

They started from an idea of a group of American computing scientists: the Computing Grid




Computing resources are treated in the same way of the electrical power:

A computer is plugged to the network and gets what needed without knowing where it comes from

The **middleware** is a software layer between resources and users



The Grid metaphor



Supercomputer, PC-Cluster



Data-storage, Sensors, Experiments



Internet, networks



A distributed system

*Advantages of a **distributed system** (w.r.t. a unique data centre)*

Avoid single point of failure

Have access to local funding otherwise not provided by member states

*Investment on **manpower** available in different countries*

Build an adaptable system able to integrate external resources that are made available






Only a few technical details...





The network - LHCOPN




The network technology evolved significantly, offering adequate performance to support the distributed computing model






The network - LHCONE



Grid Security management

- Authentication based on x.509 certificates
- Authorization based on *attribute certificates* (VOMS)
- *Policy management system* (ARGUS)




Grid Computing management

*Access is based on **batch jobs**: asynchronous execution*


Dedicated interfaces allow to manage remote submissions as if local

*Interactive processing
is limited and based
on local resources or
on systems able to
manage part of the
load in batch mode
(e.g. PoD)*



The “pilot” model

Separation of resource allocation and job management





Grid Data management

*Heavily relying on **tape libraries** for persistent data storage*

Accessible in a transparent way (nearline)

Dedicated interfaces to uniformly manage data on disk and on tape

*Tools to manage the **transfer** of large amounts of data*

*Local access to data by jobs but today network performances allow transparent **remote access** on the Wide Area Network*

Storage Federations




Storage Federations

Starts from the possibility to have remote data access

Clients always ask the closest location for files

If the file is not available, the request is forwarded to a hierarchy of redirectors until it is satisfied (or fails globally)

*In production for **xrootd** and **http***





Let's see how it works...



Claudio Grandi


PhD School on Open Science Cloud - Perugia

38

05/06/2017

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

4/15/2014 17:39:22

Running jobs: 262
Transfer rate: 11.0



Grid: an example of collaboration


Even though the HEP community has been dominant, the Grid has been thought and build for the whole scientific community




Long-tail of research

EGI Case Studies

- <http://www.egi.eu/case-studies>



Natural Sciences
Life Sciences, Earth Sciences, Mathematics, etc



Physical Sciences
Physics, Astronomy, Chemistry etc



Medical and Health Sciences
Medicine, Clinical sciences, etc



Engineering & technology
Material science, civil and mechanical engineering, etc



Agricultural sciences
Veterinary sciences, food technology, etc

Projects as the European Grid Initiative (EGI), to which INFN participates, and the Open Science Grid (OSG) in the US provide computing resources to many scientific communities, and more.

Involvement also in the industrial world.





Was that enough?



Claudio Grandi

PhD School on Open Science Cloud - Perugia

41

05/06/2017

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare



July 4th 2012



[credits: D.Bonacorsi]



42

Global Effort → Global Success

Results today only possible due to
extraordinary performance of
accelerators - experiments - Grid computing

Observation of a new particle consistent with
a Higgs Boson (but which one...?)

Historic Milestone but only the beginning

Global Implications for the future



R.D. Heuer

JCHEP 2012 Melbourne



[credits: D.Bonacorsi]



What about the years to come?



Claudio Grandi

PhD School on Open Science Cloud - Perugia


44

05/06/2017

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare



LHC roadmap






Resource requests for the future

Significant increase
in experiments'
requests in the
coming years

...but the buzz-word
is “flat-budget”!



Simone.Campana@cern.ch - ECFA2016

3/10/2016

7



Claudio Grandi

PhD School on Open Science Cloud - Perugia


05/06/2017

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

46

Not only LHC...

HEP Facility timescale



5



Foreseen evolution – LHC Run 3

ATLAS and CMS

Trigger rate is constant

50% increase in pile-up and luminosity → integrated luminosity doubles

ALICE

DAQ rate in 50 kHz → 1 Tb/s...

...but data reduction of a factor of 20 on the O² farm

LHCb

Software trigger only (30 MHz) → 2-5 GB/s to offline

In addition the CTA (and SKA) experiments starts!





Italian resources in 2017

Let's take CNAF, the Italian Tier-1, as an example to understand what changes:


	<i>CPU (kHS06)</i>	<i>Disk (PB)</i>	<i>Tape (PB)</i>
All WLCG	5200	340	590
INFN Tier-1 & 2	520	38	57
% INFN	10	11	10

From: <https://wlcg-rebus.cern.ch/>





CNAF evolution - LHC Run 1 & 2



Run2 is ok with the flat budget hypothesis:

CPU + 20 - 30%


Disk + 15 - 25%

Tape + 30% - 60%





CNAF evolution up to LHC Run 3







Does the technological evolution help?





CPU power

Moore's law (CPU performance doubles every 18 months at the same cost) does not hold any more




We may reasonably expect a 20% increase per year but we need to cope with multi-core systems






CPU power




Starting from the actual power of the nodes bought by CNAF in 2009-2015 we estimate an increase between 15 and 20%



Extrapolation is more difficult for disk because there are technology changes foreseen

Disk


It is safe to assume that disk size in 2023 will be around 40 TB



The number of disks may not need to increase




Electrical power



*CPU power to electrical power ratio increasing linearly. In 2023 foreseen 2 HS06/W
→ Low power architectures?*

Disk power consumption does not depend on size in first approx.



Total power (including services) in 2023 is foreseen to be ~ 1 MW



Costs

- *Provisioning of CPU, disk and tape*
- *Electrical power for IT*
- *Electrical power for cooling*

~60% of power for IT at CNAF (PUE 1.5 to 1.7 depending on the season)

- *Infrastructure maintenance*

→ *Far from a “flat budget” hypothesis for Run3*

And Run4 is even worse!

Need to change models and exploit new technologies






*Can't just follow the evolution of
currently used technologies!*



Are we different from the rest of the world?





HEP is not different from the rest of the world

We can try to follow what others are doing

*Even though Google, Facebook, & C. are making money
out of investments while we have budget restrictions*

*We can also try to exploit resources that others may
make available to science in opportunistic mode*





From Grid to Cloud

*Cloud Computing offers most of the functionalities needed by HEP computing
Commercial and industrial world offers solutions that are being integrated
Actually there is a lot of Grid in the Cloud!*




Freshersplane.com




From the Grid...

The “factory” harvests *job slots*



...to the Cloud


The “factory” harvests *machines* (or *containers*)



Hybrid Cloud model

The use of standard cloud interface will allow to exploit private and commercial clouds at the same time

Helix Nebula Hybrid Cloud Model




A new model for WLCG?

*Decouple data
and CPU
management*

*Data is stored
on a few, highly
controlled, sites*

*Most CPU is
found elsewhere*

The suggested LHC computing model





Not only distributed computing!



New architectures

Up to now HEP computing is based on a single architecture (x86-64)


- *Follow the market mainstream*
- *Use highly available architectures*

ARM, ...


- *Exploit parallelization*

Multi/many-core, GPGPU, ...

- *Use low-power architectures*



Exploit hardware capabilities





Algorithm parallelization in

NA64 RICH pattern recognition

Execution on NaNet-10

Based on GPU the Tesla K20c GPU



Effective programming!

[Outline](#) [Introduction](#) [Computing challenge](#) [Software solutions](#) [Hardware resources](#) [Analysis model](#) [Conclusions](#)



Spread the knowledge

Software has considerably moved in the last years

Consider that in few years we switched from C++98 to C++17

CPU power stopped moving towards higher frequencies, rather towards more tasks in parallel

The last generation of physicists was used to think in an OO way

Huge chains of inheritance, big number of virtual functions

HEP programming seems to turn back to the old good functional paradigm

→ **Training is mandatory**

C++ offers a wide range of smart solutions to improve performances

Compilers also are no more the *black magic boxes*


Flags matter!

Machine Learning

*Starting adopting
Machine Learning &
Deep Learning
techniques for data
processing*

Example:

*Glitches detection in
Gravitational Waves
searches*



Software: the key to the solution?

Why Software? Software is *the* Cyberinfrastructure



Computer hardware is a consumable.
Software is what we keep, and invest in, over time.

By P. Elmer
HSF workshop
23/1/2017





Concluding...





HEP computing is continuously evolving

*Experiment requests impose an evolution of the model
in order to comply with the (flat) budget*

Need to understand and exploit new technologies

Software is the key to scalability and sustainability

There is room for new ideas and innovative projects!

