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## 380 GeV Module Sequence

Sectors of the MB FODO lattice for one Linac

| Sector | T0 | T1 | T2 | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 |  | 120 |  | 120 | sequence: $120 \times$ T1 |
| 2 | 150 | 150 |  | 300 | sequence: $150 \times$ T0T1 |
| 3 | 172 | 86 |  | 258 | sequence: $86 \times$ T0TOT1 |
| 4 | 124 |  | 62 | 186 | sequence: $62 \times$ T0T0T2 |
| 5 | 450 |  | 150 | 600 | sequence: $150 \times$ T0T0TOT2 |
| 6 | 16 |  | 4 | 20 | sequence: $4 \times$ TOTOTOTOT2 |
| Totals | 912 | 356 | 216 | 1484 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

"traditional" Modules are considered with
T0 $=8 \times$ AS, T1 $=6 \times$ AS and T2 $=4 \times$ AS
length may vary depending on the AS choice

## 380 GeV RF choice

## Optimization of RF structure design - D. Schulte 21/01

| Parameter | Symbol | Unit | DB | K | DB244 | K244 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Frequency | $f$ | GHz | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Acceleration gradient | $G$ | $\mathrm{MV} / \mathrm{m}$ | 72.5 | 75 | 72 | 79 |
| RF phase advance per cell | $\Delta \phi$ | $\circ$ | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Number of cells | $N_{\mathrm{c}}$ |  | 36 | 28 | 33 | 26 |
| First iris radius / RF wavelength | $a_{1} / \lambda$ |  | 0.1525 | 0.145 | 0.1625 | 0.15 |
| Last iris radius / RF wavelength | $a_{2} / \lambda$ |  | 0.0875 | 0.09 | 0.104 | 0.1044 |
| First iris thickness / cell length | $d_{1} / L_{\mathrm{c}}$ |  | 0.297 | 0.25 | 0.303 | 0.28 |
| Last iris thickness / cell length | $d_{2} / L_{\mathrm{c}}$ |  | 0.11 | 0.134 | 0.172 | 0.17 |
| Number of particles per bunch | $N$ | 109 | 3.98 | 3.87 | 5.2 | 4.88 |
| Number of bunches per train | $n_{\mathrm{b}}$ |  | 454 | 485 | 352 | 366 |
| Pulse length | $\tau_{\mathrm{RF}}$ | ns | 321 | 325 | 244 | 244 |
| Peak input power into the structure | $P_{\mathrm{in}}$ | MW | 50.9 | 42.5 | 59.5 | 54.3 |
| Cost difference (w. drive beam) | $\Delta C_{\mathrm{w} .} \mathrm{DB}$ | MCHF | -50 | $(20)$ | 0 | $(20)$ |
| Cost difference (w. klystrons) | $\Delta C_{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{K}}$ | MCHF | $(120)$ | 50 | $(330)$ | 240 |

## 380 GeV K-based RF distribution

## Example of RF Distribution as presented by I. Syratchev on 21/01



## 380 GeV Layout - RF and Module Sequence

RF distribution with the TO module (1/2 length)


## 380 GeV Layout - RF and Module Sequence

RF distribution with the T1 module


In sector 1: 120 modulators

## 380 GeV Module Sequence

## RF distribution choice (for one Linac)

| Sector | T0 | T1 | T2 | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 |  | 120 |  | 120 | sequence: $120 \times$ T1 |
| 2 | 150 | 150 |  | 300 | sequence: $150 \times$ T0T1 |
| 3 | 172 | 86 |  | 258 | sequence: $86 \times$ T0TOT1 |
| 4 | 124 |  | 62 | 186 | sequence: $62 \times$ T0TOT2 |
| 5 | 450 |  | 150 | 600 | sequence: $150 \times$ TOTOTOT2 |
| 6 | 16 |  | 4 | 20 | sequence: $4 \times$ TOTOTOTOT2 |
| Totals | 912 | 356 | 216 | 1484 |  |
| 2-pack | 912 | 356 | 0 | 1268 | Modulators no bridging |
| 1-pack | 0 | 0 | 216 | 216 |  |
| 2-pack |  |  |  | 1287 | Modulators with bridging |

## Should this parameter be considered in the cost optimization ?

## 380 GeV K-based Layout - RF questions

do we see any inconvenience in bridging adjacent modules with the RF distribution (phase control, alignment requirements) ?

RF pulse compression and distribution in the tunnel: what are the temperature stability requirements and what the thermal impact on the environment?

Who is looking into the modulator + klystron space requirements and layout to provide input to the CE WG (including safety requirements) ?

## 380 GeV K-based Layout - Conclusions

We will soon have a 3D concept for the RF distribution, first based on TO modules;

In case we want to keep the DB option, clarifications from Beam Dynamics about the single girder option would provide an indication about the direction for development;

A shared strategy for deciding about the Module layout should be in place soon. Proposal :
Confirm figures
on

RF efficiency $\quad$\begin{tabular}{c}
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A revised PBS structure is in preparation for the 380 GeV case ( K and DBbased), including names of responsible people (to be decided) for the different cost centres;

