

Design and radiation tests on a LED based emergency evacuation directional lighting



Juan Casas and Nikolaos Trikoupis, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

Abstract

A LED (Light Emitting Diode) based directional lighting system has been designed to indicate the best evacuation direction for applications like the LHC tunnel. The design includes constraints for redundancy required by safety systems and for components selection by radiation effects. Prototype lighting units were irradiated in CERN's CHARM facility up to a Total Integrated Dose (TID) of 870 Gy and no failures were observed. This paper describes the basic design and the irradiation effects.

Introduction

Directional lighting that guide the personnel present in confined spaces is used in some safety systems, but this type of equipment is not qualified to operate in a radiation environment. The use of directional lighting was investigated during the tests performed in 2014 simulating an accidental liquid helium release into the 27 km circumference LHC tunnel that lies about 100 m below ground level and has 9 access shafts. The LHC safety system provides, in its present status, excellent protection to the personnel present in the tunnel although a directional lightning system would be a useful addition to avoid personnel going initially towards the wrong exit shaft when an evacuation alarm is triggered.

At the nominal LED current of 350 mA, the red and green LEDs produce an approximate luminous flux of respectively 60 lm and 100 lm. These luminous flux through the IRIS lens would be far too bright resulting in glare that exceed the upper vision tolerance threshold (approximately $300'000 \text{ cd/m}^2$) and within distances of 5 m or less, may be dangerous to personnel working in close proximity to the light source.

To address glare, the XLamp LEDs are used with a very strong derating (current of 10 mA and 5 mA respectively for the red and green LED) and by using per color up to five Ledil IRIS lenses in parallel to increase the light emittance area to about 57 cm².



The tests performed in the tunnel demonstrate that the directional lighting is clearly visible within a distance up to the maximum line of sight in the circular region. Within close proximity the luminance does not exceed 10'000 cd/m², which is an acceptable figure producing tolerable glare to personnel working in close proximity to the source of light.

Radiation Tests

The radiation tests were started in November 2014 in the CHARM irradiation facility on four clusters of 5 Cree XP-E2 red and green LEDs and their associated lens. Each cluster was powered independently and during the irradiation two were kept OFF.



Directional Lighting Design

The directional lighting uses red and green lights to forbid or permit circulation in a given direction inside the tunnel. The maximum separation between the lights is limited by the tunnel curvature that has a maximum line of sight of about 320 m although typical locations for personnel and equipment will limit it to less than 220 m. The directional lightning shall satisfy the contradictory requirements of being visible over 350 m and of limiting the amount of glare to which personnel is exposed while working in close proximity

Main Components

The light emitting elements are red and green LEDs XLamp[®] XP-E2 model manufactured by Cree[®]. The LED light is guided using a lens model FCN12790_IRIS-M manufactured by Ledil, made of PMMA (Polymethyl methacrylate) material and with a Full Width Half Maximum (FWHM) angle of 29°.



Directional lightning made of 5 LEDs per color and direction, for practical purposes the control relay is integrated with the LEDs. The design do not permit the simultaneous operation of the red and green lights for a given side of the directional light.

Tunnel and Radiation Constraints

The relatively low currents permit to design a robust radiation scheme for which the safety control equipment and dc power supply stays in a radiation-protected area and only passive components (resistors and diodes) are located in the hazardous area:



CHARM test facility: Control room with laboratory equipment Radiation area with a 19" rack keeping the equipment in place

The irradiation campaign duration was 22 days and the Total Integrated Dose (TID) was 870 Gy. The luminance of each single lens and LED was measured at a distance of about 5.5 m corresponding to a viewing surface slightly smaller than the lens area when using the Minolta LS-110.



Luminance Measurement $[cd/m^2]$ at the start of the tests and photograph showing the relative light strength for each LED and lens.

There were five stops of the irradiation and the luminance was measured each time by the same person in the same geometrical conditions. The irradiation stops imposed a limited duration policy requiring to perform the measurements as fast as possible resulting in a large variation of the luminance data as seen on the relative luminance versus TID figure.

Lens visibility and maximum LHC tunnel line of sight of about 350 m





Lens and Led assemblies and its distribution of light for the FCN 12790 lens and the XP-E2 LED



Directional Lightning design. For applications like the LHC the protected area may require cable lengths of up to 2 km.

In an application like the LHC, distances up to 2 km may exist between the radiation protected zone and the directional lighting. The associated cable resistance (up to 150 ohm assuming copper cables with a crosssection of 0.5 mm2) produces a maximum voltage drop of 1.5 Vdc which from practical considerations is very low compared with the 48Vdc supply installed in the remote location and the corresponding light intensity reduction is negligible from a physiological point of view.

The directional lightning shall be clearly visible from at least the tunnel line of sight that has a background luminance of about 4 to 6 cd/m2 with brighter spots produced by the neon lighting and the emergency light. The luminance measurements rely on a Minolta LS-110 meter with an acceptance angle of 1/3°. Each red and green LED luminous flux is estimated to be respectively about 1.7 lm and 2 lm.



Both red and green LEDs showed a decrease in luminance although this reduction in light intensity (about 80% for the red color) could not be recognized by the different persons that accessed the test area, it means that this reduction is not significant from a physiological point of view. Accidentally all LEDs were kept ON during two irradiation periods.



Luminance variation versus TID. Accidentally all LEDs were kept ON during the periods shown in gray.

At the end of the irradiation the PMMA material transparency was not affected by the accumulated radiation dose.

After 2.5 years the lights were measured again, qualitatively the lights recovered about 20% of the loss of luminance (e.g. the green color was as bright as new) but the uncertainty of the measuring angle can easily yield errors of 50 % on the measured luminance.

C

LEDs derated operation

Measured (Circles) and calculated (lines) luminance versus distance. Empty/Filled circles correspond to the clockwise/counter-clockwise direction. LCH tunnel view of the green and red directional lighting from a distance of 336 m.

The measurement of luminance assumes that the observation angle either encloses completely the light source or that the luminance is uniform on the observation area; at a distance of 10 m about 50% of the surface is not luminous and the measured luminance is underestimated by 50%. At longer distances, the tunnel lights might perturb the meter.

Summary

A directional lighting to permit or forbid personnel circulation in a given direction has been designed. Field tests confirm that the light intensity is compatible with good visibility over long distances in an environment like the LHC tunnel and has an acceptable amount of glare to personnel working in close proximity.

The present design provides ample robustness against failures like for instance parallel supplies of the LEDs circuitry to permit operation in case of LED failure, parallel cabling supplies to cope with open contacts, etc. A robust design requires that any degradation be observed, which can be performed by measuring the supply current that shall be able to detect open or shortened LEDs, open contacts, etc.

The TID does indeed produce a reduction of the LEDs luminance, but this reduction is not visible from a physiological point of view and if required the luminosity is easily increased by an increase of the supply cur-

rent.

