

Yury Senichev

Frozen and Quasi-frozen spin lattices

on behalf of Collaboration "Jülich Electric Dipole moment Investigation"

10. März 2017



The EDM experiment problems

- 1. Beam optics (betatron tunes, sextupoles, DA, RF, straignt sections and so on)
- Spin coherence time maximizing up to t_{coh} >1000 sec to provide the possible EDM signal observation
- Systematic errors investigation to exclude "fake EDM signal"
- 4. Maximum beam polarization P~80%
- **5.** Beam intensity $\sim 10^{10} \div 10^{11}$ particle per fill
- 6. Maximum **analyzing power** of polarimeter A~0.6
- 7. Maximum efficiency of polarimeter $f > 10^{-3}$
- 8. Total **running time** of accelerator ~5÷7 thousand hours
- 9. Minimum radius of machine with E~10÷12 MV/m



Most important problems in the ring for EDM search:



- 1. Frozen (or quasi-frozen) spin lattice
- 2. Spin decoherence
- 3. Systematic errors



<u>Two concepts</u> of lattices for deuteron EDM search have been investigated:



2. Quasi-frozen spin (QFS) method



T-BMT and the basic measurement principle





Frozen spin method

$$\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} - G\right)\left(\frac{\vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}}{c}\right) + G\vec{B} = 0$$

- Combined E+B elements: radial E field and vertical B field
- The ring radius depends on deuteron G factor and energy
- For 270 MeV deuterons the ring radius and length are:

$$R = \frac{|G|}{(|G|-1)} \cdot \left[\frac{mc^2}{eE}\right] \gamma^3 \beta^2 \approx 9.2 \,\mathrm{m}$$

Length = 145 m





Quasi-frozen spin method

$$\gamma G \Phi_{\scriptscriptstyle B} = \left[\frac{1}{\gamma} (1 - G) + \gamma G \right] \Phi_{\scriptscriptstyle E}$$

 $\Phi_{\rm E}$ and $\Phi_{\rm B}$ - the angles of the momentum rotation in the electric and the magnetic parts of the ring

- Electric arcs with negative curvature and magnetic arcs
- The radii should fulfil the condition that keeps the spin vector parallel to the momentum after one revolution

 $R_{magnetic} \approx 2.3 m$ $R_{electric} \approx 42.1 m$

Length = 166 m





d-EDM ring for different energies



34 m x 71 m



Parameters of rings

Energy	75 MeV	200 MeV	270 MeV
Number FODO cells	18 cells	20 cells	20 cells
Number of quadrupole	36; 0.2 m; 6÷1.0 T/m	40; 0.2 m; 6÷4 T/m	40; 0.2 m; 4.5÷4
magnets, effective length,			T/m
gradient (T/m)			
Number of bend magnets,	8; 1.2 T; 1.4 m; 1.5 m	8; 1.5 T; 1.9 m; 2 m	8; 1.5 T; 2.2 m; 2.3
field (T), length (m), radius of			m
curvature			
Number of electrostatic	8; 120 kV/cm; 1.74 m;	16; 120 kV/cm; 2.54m;	16; 120 kV/cm;
deflectors, field, length,	12.3 m	31.7 m	3.6m; 42.6 m
radius of curvature			
Circumference, m	93 m	151 m	171 m
Momentum compaction factor	0.12	0.09	0.096
Maximum dispersion, m	2.5 m	7 m	9 m
Straight sections number	2x5.0 m;(D≠0)	2x7.5 m;(D≠0)	2x7.5 m;(D≠0)
with D≠0 and D=0 , length	2x20.4 m; (D=0)	2x22.8 m; (D=0)	2x23.2 m; (D=0)
Maximum beta-function X and	β_x 10 m; β_y changes in	β_x 14 m; β_y changes in	ß _x 20 m; ß _v
Y planes	range 10÷500 m	range 14÷500 m	changes in range
			20÷500 m
Tune, X and Y	$v_x = 6.4; v_y = 5.4 \div 0.4$	$v_x = 4.8; v_y = 4.3 \div 0.2$	v _x = 4.6; v _y = 3.3÷0.1
Number of sextupoles,	20; 0.15 m; S _x = 24	28; 0.15 m; S _x = 24	28; 0.15 m; S _x = 15
effective length, gradient	T/m ² ; S _y =43 T/m ²	T/m ² ; S _y =28 T/m ²	T/m ² ; S _y =17 T/m ²



An analogue of QFS option



Jülich







Cooler Synchrotron COSY in QFS mode JÜLICH







- The proposed method of searching for EDM is based on measuring the sum and difference frequency of spin precession in the vertical plane due to EDM and MDM, respectively, for the CW and CCW cases.
- In order to exclude the influence of spin precession frequencies in other planes on the spin precession frequency in the vertical plane, certain relations are fulfilled between them, having the character of an approximate value.
- For the transition from CW to CCW, it is suggested to use the calibration of the equilibrium Lorentz factor in terms of the precession of the spin in the horizontal plane, which is then used for EDM search in the vertical plane.



Systematic errors:

In fact, for the EDM measurement at 10^{-29} e cm we have two possibilities: either we should strive to reduce the misalignments to the values for today unrealistic ~ 10^{-11} m, or to develop a procedure for EDM measurement in the presence of the actual error values.

And we have gone on the second way!!!



COSY Infinity and MODE codes

Spin-orbit dynamics of polarized beam investigated using:

- the code COSY Infinity

(M. Berz, Michigan State University, USA)

- the code MODE (S. Andrianov and A. Ivanov St.Petersburg University).

The algorithms of COSY Infinity and MODE are different and it gives the possibility to check the results of calculation.





- We have formulated the basic requirements for the accelerator, in which it is possible measuring EDM at 10⁻²⁹ e⋅cm
- We have developed and tested experimentally the method of how to achieve a long spin coherence time using sextupoles
- We learned how to measure the spin frequency with absolute accuracy 10⁻⁶rad /sec in one fill
- We have developed the concept of quasi-frozen spin lattice and learned how to adapt the concept of QFS to COSY ring
- We have developed the methodology how to take into account the systematic errors







Spare slides in case of questions



Spin Coherence and Depolarisation

One of the main challenges is to have spin coherence time (SCT) of ~1000 seconds

What is SCT?





$$\vec{\Omega}_{MDM} = \frac{e}{m} \left[G \vec{B} - (G - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1}) \frac{\vec{E} \times \vec{\beta}}{c} \right]$$

$$\Delta \delta_{equil} = \frac{\gamma_s^2}{\gamma_s^2 \alpha_0 - 1} \left[\frac{\delta_m^2}{2} \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{\gamma_s^2} + \frac{1}{\gamma_s^4} \right) + \left(\frac{\Delta L}{L} \right)_{\beta} \right]$$

$$\left(\frac{\Delta L}{L} \right)_{\beta} = \frac{\pi}{2L} [\epsilon_x v_x + \epsilon_y v_y] \qquad v_x, v_y \text{ and }$$

$$\Delta \delta_{equil} = \Delta \left(\frac{\Delta p}{p} \right)_{equil} \qquad \epsilon_x, \epsilon_y \text{ and }$$

The averaged Lorentz factor over all particles will be called the generalized Lorentz factor

 v_x , v_y are horizontal and vertical betatron tunes

 ϵ_x , ϵ_y are horizontal and vertical emittances

- Different orbit lengths for different particles in the beam
- X and Y emittance sizes play the main role
- ΔP/P effects

Solutions:

- X and Y correction with sextupoles and beam cooling
- ΔP/P correction with RF









Contribution of vertical oscillation into the horizontal plane should be negligibly small in comparison with EDM signal which we expect in vertical plane:

$$\partial \Omega_{decoh}^{2} < 2 \Omega_{EDM} \Omega_{B_{x}}$$

Similarly for longitudinal plane its contribution into the horizontal plane should be negligibly small in comparison with EDM signal:

$$\Omega_{B_z}^{2} < 2\Omega_{EDM}\Omega_{B_z}$$



1. FS concept with no misalignments and no MDM decoherence



At presumable value of EDM ~ 10^{-29} e·cm after 10^9 turns (~1000 sec) S_y grows up 10^{-6} rad



2. FS concept with no misalignments and with MDM decoherence





The proposed method of searching for EDM is based on:

- measuring the sum and difference frequency of spin precession in the vertical plane due to EDM and MDM, correspondingly, for the CW and CCW cases with absolute accuracy 10⁻⁷ rad per second in one fill;
- independence of the absolute error in determining the spin precession frequency from the frequency itself;
- Unchangeable position of the accelerator elements and as a consequence the constant ratio of the leading field By to the component of the field that determine the fake signal Bx;
- the non-influence of spin precession frequencies in other planes on the spin precession frequency in the vertical plane. Certain relations are fulfilled between them, having the character of an approximate value.
- For the transition from CW to CCW it is suggested to use the calibration of the equilibrium Lorentz factor in terms of the precession of the spin in the horizontal plane, which is then used in the vertical plane.

3. FS concept with misalignments B_x \neq 0 and <u>MDM</u> decoherence

$$\frac{d\vec{S}}{dt} = \vec{S} \times \left[\vec{\Omega}_{MDM} + \vec{\Omega}_{EDM}\right] \text{ and } \begin{array}{l} \Omega_x = \Omega_{EDM} + \Omega_{Bx} \\ \Omega_y = 0 + \partial \Omega_{decoh} \end{array}$$

At $S_x = S_y = 0, \ S_z = 1$

Misalignment, Bx≠0:

Due to magnet rotation relative to the longitudinal axis the horizontal component of the magnetic field arises and causes the spin rotation $\Omega_x = \Omega_{Bx}$. In COSY simulation we took the magnets misalignment 10 µm, which corresponds to the rotation angle of magnet around the axis about $\alpha_{max} = \pm 10^{-5}$ rad and causes MDM spin rotation $\Omega_{Bx} = 3$ rad/sec in vertical plane



10. März 2017

IÜLICH



3a. **FS concept**: Conversion of decoherence from horizontal plane into vertical plane

Due to oscillation in Bx field $\langle S_x(t) \rangle = \frac{\langle \partial \Omega_{decoh} \rangle}{\Omega_{Bx}} \cdot \sin \Omega_{Bx} \cdot t$ the decoherence in horizontal plane remaines to be on the level $\langle S_x(t) \rangle = \frac{\langle \partial \Omega_{decoh} \rangle}{\Omega} \sim 0.0001$



Due to misalignments spin oscillates in a vertical plane with frequency Ω_{χ} (in our case 3 rad/sec) and restricts the decoherence in the horizontal plane at the level of ~0.01 degrees. Simultaneously due to dependence $\Omega_x = \frac{e}{\gamma m} (1+G\gamma)\vec{B}_x$ on γ we have the spin decoherence already in vertical plane.

4. QFS concept: Effect of Coherent component of spin precession





So passing to the measurement of EDM in the vertical plane, we almost lose the distinction between FS and QFS method 10. März 2017 Folie 28



COSY Inf+MODE simulation of systematic errors due to magnet rotation around the longitudinal axis



Coherent component



Main steps of EDM search using CW+CCW procedure



To split out the EDM signal from the sum signal we use **CW+CCW procedure**:

- 1. Calibration of Bx through By
- 2. *Measurement of the total spin frequency* in the experiment with a counter clock-wise (**CW**) direction of the beam $\Omega_{CW} = \Omega_{Bx}^{CW} + \Omega_{EDM}$
- 3. <u>Calibration of **Bx** through **By**</u> and installation of B field after the polarity change
- 4. Measurement of the total spin frequency in the experiment with a counter clock-wise (CCW) direction of the beam $\Omega_{CCW} = -\Omega_{Bx}^{CCW} + \Omega_{EDM}$
- 5. Compare CCW with clock-wise (CW) measurements

$$\Omega_{EDM} = (\Omega_{CW} + \Omega_{CCW}) / 2 + (\Omega_{Bx}^{CCW} - \Omega_{Bx}^{CW}) / 2$$

6. The difference $\Delta\Omega_{Bx} = \Omega_{Bx}^{CCW} - \Omega_{Bx}^{CW}$ determines the accuracy of the EDM measurement. Calibrating **Bx** we can minimize $\Delta\Omega_{Bx}$ up to value of calibration accuracy.



Bx and By calibration procedure



First, we suggest calibrating the field of the magnets using the relation between the beam gamma and the spin precession frequency in the horizontal plane, that is, determined by the vertical component By. Since the magnet orientation remains unchanged, and the magnets are fed from one power supply, the calibration of By will restore the component Bx with the same relative accuracy 10^(-9), that is the difference $\Omega_{Bx}^{CCW} - \Omega_{Bx}^{CW}$ as well. Such procedure does not involve EDM signal.

If we assume that we can measure the spin frequencies Ω_{CW} , Ω_{CCW} with an accuracy of 10^(-9) and reach the calibration accuracy of **Bx** up to 10^(-9) we will be able to determine the EDM frequency up to 10^(-9) rad/sec, which corresponds to the EDM measurement on the level of 10^(-28)÷10^(-29) e-cm



the results of a numerical simulation of the EDM measurement procedure, we took the EDM 10⁽⁻²¹⁾, that is $\Omega_{EDM} = 0.1 rad/sec$



Bx coil



Nevertheless, an additional question of how to calibrate the field By using the spin tune measurement in a horizontal plane, if due to misalignments the spin rotates in the vertical plane with relatively high frequency ~3 rad/sec, remains. To solve this problem, we plan for the calibration time only to introduce the inhibitory vertical field, for example by means of a horizontal coil. Having inhibited rotation in the vertical plane to the reasonable value of ~0.1 rad/sec and calibrated, then we turn off the coil. In this case we do not need to know the value of the field in the coil

Nevertheless introducing the coil we can modify the integral value of the guiding magnetic field By. Let us estimate this value. We know that due to misalignment of magnets with an accuracy of 10 micrometer, we have in Bx/By = $10 ^{(-)6}$. Obviously the coil can be installed with the same accuracy and By(coil)/Bx(coil)= $10^{(-6)}$. Thus, the coil introduces in By of ring $10^{(-12)}$



Sensitivity of EDM experiment

$\sigma_{d_p} \approx$	3ħ		
	$PAE_R \sqrt{N_{Beam} fT_{Tot} \tau_{Spin}}$		

P = 0.8 A = 0.6 $E_R = 12 \text{ MV/m}$ $N_{Beam} = 2 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ p/fill}$ f = 0.55% $T_{Tot} = 10^7 \text{ s}$ $\tau_{Spin} = 10^3 \text{ s}$ Beam polarization Analyzing power of polarimeter Radial electric field strength Total number of stored particles per fill Useful event rate fraction (polarimeter efficiency) Total running time per year Polarization lifetime (Spin Coherence Time)

 $\sigma_{d_p} \approx 3 \cdot 10^{-29} \mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{cm}$ for one year measurement