

Search for Supersymmetry using opposite sign dileptons with the CMS detector

SPS/ÖPG Meeting 25/8-17 Geneva



Leonora Vesterbacka

ETH Zürich

Leonora Vesterbacka, SPS/ÖPG Meeting 25/8-2017, Geneva ETHzürich The Large Hadron Collider and CMS



Total weight Overall length Magnetic field



CERN Accelerator Complex and the LHC

CRYSTAL ELECTROMAGNETIC



ETHzürich Supersymmetry Standard particles







 P_2

ETHzürich SUSY with opposite sign dileptons Strong or electroweak SUSY can produce final states with opposite sign leptons



- a cascade decay resulting in kinematic edge in invariant mass
- or an off-shell Z boson decaying to two opposite sign leptons





 P_2

 P_1

ETHzürich SUSY with opposite sign dileptons Strong or electroweak SUSY can produce final states with opposite sign leptons



- a cascade decay resulting in kinematic edge in invariant mass
- or an off-shell Z boson decaying to two opposite sign leptons







ETHzürich SUSY with opposite sign dileptons

Gluino induced:

Resonant Z production



Strong or electroweak SUSY can produce final states with opposite sign leptons



ETHzürich SUSY with opposite sign dileptons

Gluino induced:

Resonant Z production





Strong or electroweak SUSY can produce final states with opposite sign leptons



ETHzürich SUSY with opposite sign dileptons Strong or electroweak SUSY can produce final states with opposite sign leptons

- Resonant Z production
- In association with a Z/W or H boson, depending on the model







Leonora Vesterbacka, SPS/ÖPG Meeting 25/8-2017, Geneva ETHzürich SUSY with opposite sign dileptons Strong or electroweak SUSY can produce final states with opposite sign leptons "EWK On-Z" search Resonant Z production In association with a Z/W or H boson, depending on the model $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ р $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ D $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ · · · G W^{\pm} $\cdots \widetilde{G}$ $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$





Leonora Vesterbacka, SPS/ÖPG Meeting 25/8-2017, GenevaHistory of the analysis: EdgeCMS excess in Run I:



In the LHC Run I CMS reported an excess of 2.6 σ at an invariant mass of 78 GeV





ETHzürich History of the analysis: On-Z







In the LHC Run I ATLAS reported an excess of 3.0 sigma, and in the beginning of Run II an excess of 2.2 σ

Leonora Vesterbacka, SPS/ÖPG Meeting 25/8-2017, Geneva



ATLAS excess in Run I:

ATLAS excess in start of Run II:













ETH zürich Search strategy



Edge:

- ${\ensuremath{\, \circ }}$ search for a kinematic edge in the $m_{\|}$ spectrum
- main background ttbar
- ttbar and non ttbar-like discriminator
- \odot bins in m_{II}
- detailed signal region definitions in backup



2 opposite sign, same flavour leptons
 > 1 jet
 m_{T2} > 80 GeV
 E_T^{miss} > 100 GeV
 third lepton veto

On-Z:

- Search for an excess in the E_T^{miss} tails and a resonant decay compatible with a Z boson
- main background ttbar + Z+jets
- ${\ensuremath{\circ}}$ signal regions in number of b-jets and jets, bins in ${E_T}^{miss}$
- o different signal regions for strong and EWK searches



ETHzürich Background prediction

- - reconstruction efficiencies





ETHzürich







ETHzürich Results and interpretation: On-Z strong search





- ATLAS Run I/early Run II excess not confirmed
- ATLAS excess gone in full Run II data
- Exclusion from 900–1100 GeV (Run I) to 1500-1770 GeV, depending on the 200 mass of the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$

Leonora Vesterbacka, SPS/ÖPG Meeting 25/8-2017, Geneva







ETHzürich Results and interpretation: On-Z electroweak search



- Electroweakly produced SUSY
- Charginos excluded up to 610 GeV

Leonora Vesterbacka, SPS/ÖPG Meeting 25/8-2017, Geneva







ETHzürich Summary

A search for Beyond Standard Model Physics has been presented (<u>CMS-SUS-16-034</u>) SUSY resulting in final states with two leptons of opposite charge and same flavor One of the most sensitive leptonic analyses using mainly data driven methods Run I excesses in ATLAS and CMS gone exclusions are set on various SUSY particles Ready to analyze 2017 LHC data and also discover/exclude direct slepton production!





Leonora Vesterbacka, SPS/ÖPG Meeting 25/8-2017, Geneva

ETHzürich



Backup

ETH zürich On-Z strong detailed signal region

- $|M_{\parallel} M_{Z}| < 5$ GeV to reduce FS backgrounds
- \odot M_{T2} to suppress ttbar
- 3rd lepton veto to suppress WZ and ttZ
- Lowest signal region starts at $E_T^{miss} > 100 \text{ GeV}$
- ${\ensuremath{\circ}}$ Binning in H_T , njets and btag

B-veto				
N_{jets} 2-3 4-5 ≥ 6				
Η _T	> 500	No Cut		
MT2	> 80 GeV			





With bs				
2-3 4-5 ≥ 6				
> 200 GeV No Cut				
> 100 GeV				



ETHzürich On-Z EWK detailed signal region

 $|M_{\parallel} - M_7| < 5 \text{ GeV}$ to reduce FS backgrounds

3rd lepton veto to suppress WZ and ttZ





ZZ/WZ:

- 0 b-tagged jets,
- O M_{T2} > 80 GeV, and
- $M_{ii} < 110 \text{ GeV},$
- where M_{ii} is the invariant mass of the two jets closest in φ.





- ==2 b-tagged jets
- $M_{T_2}(bb) > 200 GeV, and$
- \odot M_{bb} < 150 GeV,

where M_{bb} is the invariant mass of the two b-tagged jets.



ETH zürich Edge detailed signal region

- With the full dataset new binning needs to be introduced to keep the sensitivity
- $\odot E_T^{miss} > 150 \text{ GeV}$
- \odot New cut on $M_{T2}~>80$ GeV and binning in $m_{\rm H}$
- Signal Regions with 7 mass bins [20-60, 60-86, 96-150, 150-200, 200-300, 300-400, 400+] and ttbar and non-ttbar like classification as signal regions

mແ [GeV]	20-60	60-86	96-1
ttbar			
non-ttbar			







ETH zürich Summary of signal regions

			1		1 .
Strong-production on-Z (86 < $m_{\ell\ell}$ < 96 GeV) signal regions					
Region	Njets	N _{b-jets}	$H_{\rm T}$ [GeV]	$M_{\rm T2}(\ell\ell)$ [GeV]	p ^{miss} binning [GeV]
SRA b veto	2–3	= 0	> 500	> 80	100–150, 150–250, > 250
SRB b veto	4–5	= 0	> 500	> 80	100–150, 150–250, > 250
SRC b veto	≥ 6	= 0	-	> 80	100–150, > 150
SRA b tag	2–3	≥ 1	> 200	> 100	100–150, 150–250, > 250
SRB b tag	4–5	≥ 1	> 200	> 100	100–150, 150–250, > 250
SRC b tag	≥ 6	≥ 1	-	> 100	100–150, > 150
		Electroweak	-production on-Z (8	$6 < m_{\ell\ell} < 96 \text{GeV}$) si	gnal regions
Region	Njets	N _{b-jets}	Dijet mass [GeV]	M _{T2} [GeV]	p ^{miss} binning [GeV]
VZ	≥2	= 0	$m_{ii} < 110$	$M_{\rm T2}(\ell\ell) > 80$	100–150, 150–250, 250–350, > 350
HZ	≥ 2	= 2	$m_{\rm bb}^{''} < 150$	$M_{\rm T2}(\ell b \ell b) > 200$	100–150, 150–250, > 250
Edge signal regions					
Region	N _{jets}	$p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ [GeV]	$M_{\rm T2}(\ell\ell)$ [GeV]	tī likelihood	$m_{\ell\ell}$ binning [GeV]
Edge fit	≥2	> 150	> 80	-	> 20
tī-like	≥2	> 150	> 80	< 21	20–60, 60–86, 96–150, 150–200, 200–300, 300–400, > 400
not-tt-like	≥ 2	> 150	> 80	> 21	same as tī-like









ETHzürich On-Z strong results





$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	SRA, b veto	$p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ [GeV]	100-150	150-250	> 250
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		DY+jets	13.6 ± 3.1	2.5±0.9	3.3 ± 2.4
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		FS	$0.4^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	$0.2^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$0.2^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		$Z+\nu$	0.8±0.3	1.4 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 0.8
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Total background	14.8 ± 3.2	$4.0{\pm}1.0$	5.9 ± 2.5
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Data	23	5	4
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SRA, b tag	$p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ [GeV]	100-150	150-250	> 250
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		DY+jets	8.2 ± 2.1	1.2 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.3
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		FS	2.3±0.8	$1.7^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$	$0.1^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		$Z+\nu$	1.9 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 0.5	1.8 ± 0.6
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Total background	12.4±2.3	4.9±1.0	2.5 ± 0.7
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Data	14	7	1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SRB, b veto	$p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ [GeV]	100-150	150-250	> 250
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		DY+jets	12.8 ± 2.3	0.9±0.3	$0.4{\pm}0.2$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		FS	$0.4^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	$0.4^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	$0.1^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$Z+\nu$	0.3 ± 0.1	0.7±0.2	1.2 ± 0.4
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Total background	13.6 ± 2.4	2.0 ± 0.5	1.6 ± 0.4
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Data	10	4	0
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	SRB, b tag	$p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ [GeV]	100-150	150-250	> 250
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		DY+jets	7.7±3.2	4.0 ± 3.4	0.1±0.1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		FS	$1.4^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$	$1.1^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$	$0.2^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$Z+\nu$	2.0 ± 0.5	2.3±0.6	1.0 ± 0.3
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Data & 10 & 5 & 0 \\ \hline SRC, b veto & p_T^{miss} [GeV] & 100-150 & > 150 \\ \hline DY+jets & 1.2\pm0.4 & 0.1\pm0.1 \\ FS & 0.4^{+0.3}_{-0.2} & 0.1^{+0.2}_{-0.1} \\ Z+\nu & 0.1\pm0.1 & 0.5\pm0.2 \\ \hline Total background & 1.7\pm0.5 & 0.7^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \\ \hline Data & 4 & 0 \\ \hline SRC, b tag & p_T^{miss} [GeV] & 100-150 & > 150 \\ \hline DY+jets & 0.1\pm0.4 & 0.0\pm0.3 \\ FS & 0.0^{+0.1}_{-0.0} & 0.3\pm0.2 \\ \hline Z+\nu & 0.6\pm0.2 & 0.6\pm0.2 \\ \hline Total background & 0.8\pm0.5 & 0.9^{+0.5}_{-0.4} \\ \hline Data & 2 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$		Total background	11.1±3.3	7.4+3.5	$1.3^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Data	10	5	0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SRC, b veto	$p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ [GeV]	100-150	> 150	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		DY+jets	1.2±0.4	0.1 ± 0.1	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		FS	$0.4^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	0.1+0.2	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Ζ+ν	0.1 ± 0.1	0.5±0.2	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Total background	1,7±0.5	$0.7^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Data	4	0	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SRC, b tag	p _T ^{miss} [GeV]	100-150	> 150	
FS $0.0^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$ 0.3 ± 0.2 $Z+\nu$ 0.6 ± 0.2 0.6 ± 0.2 Total background 0.8 ± 0.5 $0.9^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$ Data22		DY+jets	0.1±0.4	0.0±0.3	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		FS	0.0+0.1	0.3±0.2	
Total background 0.8±0.5 0.9 ^{+0.5} _{-0.4} Data 2 2		Z+v	0.6±0.2	0.6±0.2	
Data 2 2		Total background	0.8±0.5	$0.9^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$	
		Data	2	2	



ETHzürich On-Z EWK results

- first EMT bin used for normalization
- over prediction in high MET bins in EWK ZZ/ WZ region
- causing better observed limits than expected

	<u> </u>			I	Count	
VZ	$p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ [GeV]	100-150	150-250	250-350	> 350	10 ³
	DY+jets	29.3±4.4	2.9±2.0	1.0±0.7	0.3±0.3	' <mark>-</mark>
	FS	11.1±3.6	3.2±1.1	$0.1^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$0.1^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	10 ² =
	$Z+\nu$	14.5 ± 4.0	15.5 ± 5.1	5.0 ± 1.8	2.2±0.9	
	Total background	54.9±7.0	21.6 ± 5.6	6.0±1.9	2.5±0.9	
	Data	57	29	2	0	10
HZ	$p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ [GeV]	100–150	150-250	> 250		
	DY+jets	2.9±2.4	0.3±0.2	0.1±0.1		1 ₌
	FS	4.0±1.4	4.7±1.6	0.9±0.4		
	$Z+\nu$	0.7±0.2	0.6±0.2	0.3±0.1		1
	Total background	7.6±2.8	5.6 ± 1.6	1.3 ± 0.4		10 ⁻ 50
	Data	9	5	1		2-

Data Prediction ²0









ETH zürich Edge results

- first EMT bin used for normalization
- over prediction in high MET bins in EWK
 WZ region
- causing better observed limits than expe



$m_{\ell\ell}$ range [

- 20–60 60–86
- 96–150
- 150-200
- 200-300
- 300-400
- > 400

GeV1	FS	DY+iets	Z+1/	Total background	Data
001	10	<u></u> 		Total Duckground	Dutu
		tt-11k	ke		
	291^{+21}_{-20}	$0.4{\pm}0.3$	$1.4{\pm}0.5$	293^{+21}_{-20}	273
	181^{+16}_{-15}	0.9 ± 0.7	8.8 ± 3.4	190^{+16}_{-15}	190
)	176^{+15}_{-14}	1.1 ± 0.9	6.0 ± 2.4	182^{+16}_{-15}	192
0	73^{+10}_{-9}	0.1±0.1	$0.4{\pm}0.2$	74^{+10}_{-9}	66
0	$46.9^{+8.4}_{-7.3}$	< 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1	$47.3^{+8.4}_{-7.3}$	42
0	$18.5^{+5.7}_{-4.5}$	< 0.1	< 0.1	$18.6^{+5.7}_{-4.5}$	11
)	$4.3^{+3.4}_{-2.1}$	< 0.1	< 0.1	$4.5^{+3.4}_{-2.1}$	4
		Not-tī-	like		
	$3.3^{+3.2}_{-1.8}$	0.7±0.5	1.4±0.5	$5.3^{+3.3}_{-1.9}$	6
	$3.3^{+3.2}_{-1.8}$	1.6 ± 1.3	6.9±2.7	$11.8^{+4.4}_{-3.5}$	19
0	$6.6^{+3.9}_{-2.6}$	1.9±1.5	6.8±2.7	$15.3^{+5.0}_{-4.1}$	28
0	$5.5^{+3.7}_{-2.4}$	0.2±0.3	0.7±0.3	$6.4^{+3.7}_{-2.4}$	7
0 <	$3.3^{+3.2}_{-1.8}$	0.2±0.2	0.5±0.2	$3.9^{+3.2}_{-1.8}$	4
0	$3.3^{+3.2}_{-1.8}$	< 0.1	0.2±0.1	$3.5^{+3.2}_{-1.8}$	0
	$1.1^{+2.5}_{-0.9}$	< 0.1	0.4 ± 0.2	$1.6^{+2.5}_{-0.9}$	5
Aggregate SRs (not-tī-like)					
	$6.5^{+3.9}_{-2.6}$	2.3 ± 1.5	8.3±3.2	$17.1^{+5.3}_{-4.4}$	25
	$19.6_{-4.6}^{+5.8}$	2.4±1.6	8.5 ± 3.4	$30.6^{+7.0}_{-6.0}$	44



Leonora Vesterbacka, SPS/ÖPG Meeting 25/8-2017, Geneva ETHzürich Results and interpretation: On-Z electroweak search In electroweak CMS CMS 35.9 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) ່ 10³ ⊨⊺ 10³ neutralino-CL [pb] y limit at 95% CL [pb] $pp \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{i}^{0,*} \widetilde{\chi}_{j}^{0,*} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} + X_{soft} \rightarrow HZ\widetilde{G}\widetilde{G} + X_{soft}$ neutralino $BR(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow H\widetilde{G}) = BR(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow Z\widetilde{G}) = 50\%$ 10² 10² o limit at 95% production, $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_s} \approx m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_s} \approx m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_s} m_{\widetilde{c}} = 1 \text{ GeV}$ Observed neutralino Expected 10 Expected ± 1 s.d. masses up to Expected ± 2 s.d. Theoretical $\sigma_{NLO+NLL}$ (scenario 1) 500 - 650 GeV Theoretical $\sigma_{_{\rm NLO}}$ (scenario 2) are excluded 10⁻¹⊧ 10⁻¹ depending on the decay 10⁻² 10⁻² mode assumed 10-3 200 300 500 600 700 100 400





ETHzürich ttbar discriminant

Background rejection:

In the edge/ counting search, ttbar is ~the only background. Top likelihood classification:

- Use four characteristic ttbar variables:
 - \bigcirc dR between the leptons, di-lepton p_T, E_T^{miss}, sum of the two m_{lb}'s
 - Extract these events in data by selecting opposite flavour leptons (~100% ttbar)
- The NLL variable is defined as -2log(Likelihood)
 - where the likelihood is the product of the probabilities from the four ttbar pdf's

This NLL allows us to bin in ttbar efficiency

ttbar like (95% efficiency) and non-ttbar like (5%) efficiency)

Leonora Vesterbacka, SPS/ÖPG Meeting 25/8-2017, Geneva



Diagram of a fully leptonic ttbar process:

Diagram of a signal SUSY process: P_2 χ_2° \widetilde{b} 28



ETHzürich ttbar discriminant

The four characteristic ttbar variables used as input in the NLL variable: \odot dR between the leptons, di-lepton p_T , E_T^{miss} , sum of the two m_{lb} 's





ETH zürich ttbar discriminant



Leonora Vesterbacka, SPS/ÖPG Meeting 25/8-2017, Geneva

Σm_n (Gev)





ETHzürich M_{T2}

The M_{T2} is a generalization of the transverse mass for decay chains with two unobserved particles

- Division of events into two massless pseudo jets
- $= M_{T2}(m_{c}) = \min_{\vec{p}_{T}^{c(1)} + \vec{p}_{T}^{c(2)} = \vec{p}_{T}^{miss}} \left[max(M_{T}^{(1)}, M_{T}^{(2)}) \right]$
- this gives $M_{T2} < E_T^{miss}$ for SUSY events and $M_{T2} \rightarrow 0$ for multijet-like events
- \odot If all masses are known, M_{T2} will have an endpoint at the parent mass (~ M_T)

Very efficient to reduce ttbar and other backgrounds





Leonora Vesterbacka, SPS/ÖPG Meeting 25/8-2017, Geneva ETHzürich SUSY with opposite sign dileptons

involving W/Z bosons and/or sleptons

Our search targets two opposite sign same flavour leptons, jets and high missing transverse momentum, E_{T}



GMSB (gluino induced):

- some jets
- miss \odot large E_{T}
- two leptons originating from an onshell Z boson



- some jets
- \odot large E_{τ}
- either a cascade decay of a Neutralino and a slepton resulting in two opposite sign leptons, kinematic edge in m_{II}
- or an off-shell Z boson giving two opposite sign leptons

- Final states with opposite sign dileptons can occur in both strongly or electroweakly produced SUSY decay chains
 - miss





EWK (Chargino/Neutralino induced):

- some jets
- miss \odot large E_{T}
- 2 leptons from the Z boson



ETH zürich Systematic uncertainty

Source of uncertainty Integrated luminosity Lepton reconstruction ar Fast simulation lepton ef b tag modeling Trigger modeling Jet energy scale ISR modeling Pileup Fast simulation p_T^{miss} mo Renorm./fact. scales Statistical uncertainty Total uncertainty

C
\rightarrow

	Uncertainty (%)
	2.5
nd isolation	5
fficiency	4
	0–5
	3
	0–5
	0–2.5
	1–2
odeling	0–4
	1–3
	1–15
	9–18

