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NA64 is searching for Dark Photons, a promising candidate for Dark Matter.

Fixed target experiment combining the active beam dump technique with missing energy measurement.

Searching for invisible decays of massive $A'$ produced with mixing strength $10^{-6} < \varepsilon < 10^{-3}$ and masses $M_{A'} < 100$ MeV in the reaction $eZ \rightarrow eZA'$, of 100 GeV electrons dumped against an ECAL, a sandwich of lead and scintillators (34 $X_0$), through scattering with the heavy nuclei. [http://na64.web.cern.ch](http://na64.web.cern.ch)

**Experimental Signature:**

In: 100 GeV electrons, tagged with Trackers (momentum) and Synchrotron Radiation (particle identification)

Out: $E_{ECAL} < 50$ GeV, No interaction in Veto and HCAL (missing energy)

Background: Hadrons, muons, **low energy electrons with incoming energy < 50 GeV.**

NA64: Search for dark sector physics in missing energy events

• High energy beam to trigger the reaction: 100 GeV e\- beam from the CERN SPS H4 beamline.
• High intensity beam > $10^5$ e\- /sec/cm\(^2\)
• Main impurities of H4 beam: π\-, low energy e\- (~1\%) μ\- and K\- (≤0.1\%)
NA64: Search for dark sector physics in missing energy events

Main contribution of ETH group: Particle identification (SRD) and tracking (Micromegas) including analysis and extensive simulation

- Synchrotron radiation detection to reject beam hadron and muon background (see E. Depero’s talk 25.08.2017)
- Tracker to reject background from low energy beam tail. (Focus of this talk)
Resistive Multiplexed Micromegas for NA64

- Measure momentum to reject background from low energy beam tail
- Tracking system made of 4 MicroMegas modules together with 2 MPBL magnet ~7 T·m to measure momentum of incoming particles.
Micromegas Tracker

- Micromegas detectors are two stage parallel plate avalanche chambers.
- Narrow amplification gap ~ few 100 µm
- Wider drift gap ~ few mm.
- Charged particle drift towards the micro-mesh under an E-field ~ 0.6 kV/cm (HV1).
- Produces avalanche of secondary electrons under high amplification field ~ 50 kV/cm (HV2).
Resistive Modules

- High efficiency requires high gas gain.
- Prone to sparks at high flux environment leading to dead times.
- Introduction of Resistive layer leads to spreading of charge $\rightarrow$ slows down spark development
  

- Signal generated via capacitive coupling between resistive and readout strips.
- Similar width X and R strips with thinner Y strips to compensate for weaker capacitive coupling to X strips found optimal.
  *JINST 7 C02060, 2012*
Readout Multiplexing

- Connect multiple strips to one readout channel to save electronics cost for large systems.

- Exploits the feature that a signal is collected on several neighbouring strips.

- For our specific prototype multiplexing factor of 5 chosen for first tests in a high flux environment.

- APV25 chip with 128 channels used for readout.

- Multiplexing done such that clusters $\leq 6$ strip size does not cause repetition of two consecutive strip connections.
• 8 cm x 8 cm micromegas modules with 5 mm drift gap, 128 µm amplification gap produced.
• Resistive XY modules with 250 µm pitch for all layers.
• Width of $X = R = 200 \, \mu m$; Width of $Y = 50 \, \mu m$.
• Multiplexed technology used to multiplex 320 strips/layer to be read by 64 electronic channels.
• One 128 channel APV chip used per module.
Gain calibration with Fe55 source
Gas used —> Ar/CO2 (93-7%)

Gain ~ $2 \times 10^4$ achieved
Multiplexing ambiguity

- Ambiguities expected due to loss of information from multiplexing
- E.g. particle pile up may lead to fake combinations of clusters
- Also larger charge spread may lead to fake consecutive strip combinations
  - e.g. in our case clusters > 6 strip size can lead to repetition of consecutive strip connection.
- Ambiguity can be controlled decreasing the multiplexing factor.
- On the other hand using additional information of cluster size and integrated charge is also an efficient way to limit ambiguities as done in the presented study.
• Accepted signal size for the test was at least two strip wide.

• All signal clusters per plane (X and Y) sharing same readout channel was compared and clusters with smaller integrated charge and size in terms of # of strips was suppressed from that group.

• Real signal clusters expected to have the largest size and integrated charge.

• Level of ambiguity checked after this correction for different beam fluxes for single and double particle events.

• Level of ambiguity defined as No. of events giving >1 clusters for a single particle event or >2 clusters for a double particle event.

• Position of hit calculated from weighted mean of the signal cluster charge.

• Efficiency, spatial resolution, timing and tracking performance of the modules was also checked.
Beam Time Micromegas Performance
Level of Ambiguity

Level of ambiguity checked before the correction for single and double particle events and different beam fluxes.

Level of Ambiguity in single particle events mainly due to signal spread (cluster size) —> Not dependent on beam flux.

Level of Ambiguity in double particle events is a function of particle pile up (7-9 % double particle pile up/run) —> Dependent on beam flux.
Level of Ambiguity

To check the level at which a fake cluster was selected after the correction:

Position of the signal clusters on MM 3 and MM 4 compared (dx) after selecting a parallel incoming track within the beam spot using the position information from MM 1 and 2, having energy in the range 100 GeV/c ± σ_{ECAL} (σ_{ECAL} is the energy resolution of the ECAL ~ 2 GeV/c).

The distribution has a flat background with < 2 % of the events with a difference > 4 σ_{MM} (where σ_{MM} is the the Micromegas hit resolution)

Efficient way to limit ambiguities using signal cluster information from ~ 50 % to < 2 % chance of wrong cluster identification.
Cluster Size

Size of X cluster < Size of Y cluster
Expected due to higher capacitive coupling to the Y plane than the X
XY Hit Efficiency

XY hit efficiency of modules checked for different amplification voltages for beam flux $\sim 3.3 \times 10^5$ e$^-$/sec/cm$^2$

Average hit efficiency $\sim 96\%$ at beam flux $\sim 3.3 \times 10^5$ e$^-$/sec/cm$^2$

XY hit efficiency of modules checked for different beam fluxes at a fixed amplification voltage $\sim 555$ V. Efficiency drop with higher beam flux
Spatial Resolution checked from the distribution of the hit position difference of an undeflected beam on the modules.

Uncertainties due to beam divergence and detector misalignment not taken into account.

**Spatial Resolution ~ 100 µm achieved**
Timing Resolution

Fit parameters $r_0$, $t_0$ and $a_0$ obtained from calibration runs of latency scan.

Timing Resolution checked sampling the signal with the APV chip.
Three time sample 25 ns apart recorded per channel.

**Timing Resolution $\sim 15$ ns achieved**
Incoming particle tracked with four modules under a B field ~ 7 T.m

Momentum reconstruction performed with Genfit.

**Momentum resolution ~ 1 % for a 100 GeV/c beam**

**85 % tracking efficiency**
Tracking

Collinearity of beam crucial for NA64

Reconstructed momentum vs Incoming Angle

Initial deflection towards +x axis

Initial deflection towards -x axis
Beam Time Results
2.75 x 10^9 electrons on target with beam intensity of 1.4 x 10^6 e^-/ 4.8 s spill for a 2 cm diameter beam:

- No event observed in the signal box from the July’2016 data.
- New limits set on the γ-A’ mixing strength.
- In the meanwhile BABAR also completely excluded the A’ favoured parameter space for (g-2)_μ anomaly. *arXiv:1702.03327*
October 2016 run and immediate plans

- October 2016 run
  - Good performance at $5 \times 10^6$ e-/spill
  - $4 \times 10^{10}$ eot collected.
  - Data analysis in progress.

- 2017 run
  - Improved e- tagging: 8 Micromegas trackers (instead of 4) + SRD
  - Tests at intensity $(7–8) \times 10^6$ e-/spill
  - Goal $(2–3) \times 10^{11}$ eot.
  - Test visible decay mode arXiv:1308.6521

*Expected sensitivity*
Future Prospects of NA64

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>New Physics</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. $e^+Z \rightarrow e^+Z + E_{\text{miss}}$</td>
<td>Dark photons, hidden sectors, $(g-2)_\mu$, new particles, milli-q</td>
<td>$10^{-4} &lt; \epsilon &lt; 10^{-5}$, $M_{A'} \sim \text{sub-GeV}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rightarrow e^+e^-$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rightarrow \text{invisible}$</td>
<td>$z_{\mu \rightarrow \nu \nu}$, $\mu^+\mu^-$  $\rightarrow \tau$</td>
<td>$\alpha_{\mu} &lt; 10^{-11} - 10^{-9}$, $&lt; 10^{-8} - 10^{-8}/\mu$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rightarrow \pi^0, \eta, \eta'$</td>
<td>$\rightarrow K_S$ and $K_L$; A probe of new physics and test using the Bell-Steinberger relation</td>
<td>$\sim 10^{-5}$, $\text{Br} &lt; 10^{-8}$, $&lt; 10^{-8} - 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rightarrow \text{invisible}$</td>
<td>$\rightarrow \text{invisible}$</td>
<td>$\sim 10^{-5}$, $\text{Br} &lt; 10^{-8}$, $&lt; 10^{-8} - 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. $pA \rightarrow X + E_{\text{miss}}$</td>
<td>Leptophobic $X + h$</td>
<td>$&lt; 10^{-7} - 10^{-8}/p$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. On detection of narrow angle $e^+e^-$ pairs from dark photon decays
   A.V. Dermenev, S.V. Donskov, S.N. Gninenko et al;

2. The $K_L$ invisible decays as a probe of new physics
   S.N. Gninenko and N.V. Krasnikov;

3. Search for invisible decays of $\pi^0, \eta, \eta'$, $K_S$ and $K_L$; A probe of new physics and test using the Bell-Steinberger relation
   S.N. Gninenko;
   Phys. Rev. D91 (2015) 015004;

4. Muon $g-2$ and searches for a new leptophobic sub-GeV dark boson in a missing-energy experiment at CERN
   S.N. Gninenko, N.V. Krasnikov, V.A. Matveev;
   Phys. Rev. D91 (2015) 095015;
Summary

- First test of multiplexed micromegas modules in a high flux beam.
- Level of ambiguity reduced from ~ 50 % to < 2 % chance of wrong cluster identification using signal spread information.
- Hit efficiency checked for different beam fluxes with ~ 96% efficiency for a beam flux of $3.3 \times 10^5$ e$^-$/sec/cm$^2$.
- Spatial resolution ~ 100 µm for 250 µm strip pitch achieved.
- Timing resolution ~ 15 ns with three time samples 25 ns apart achieved.
- Tracking of incoming particle done with four modules and 7 T.m B-field with 1 % momentum resolution for 100 GeV/c beam.
- Tracking was a key contribution to reject background from the low energy beam tail, and select collinear beam to achieve the results published from the 2016 beam time.
- The 2016 beam results set new limits on the $\gamma$-$A'$ mixing strength and excluded $A'$ as an explanation for the $(g-2)_\mu$ anomaly.
- Four more modules planned to be used for the next NA64 beam time in September’2017 to improve efficiency of tracking to ~ 92 % as opposed to present case of 85 %.
- The efficient and excellent performance of the trackers which is a requirement for all prospective NA64 measurements present an optimistic step for the future.
Thank You!!
Backup
Future Prospects of NA64 and requirement of tracker

- A' Visible search: $e^-Z \rightarrow e^-Z + A'$, $A' \rightarrow e^-e^+$
- Signal signature = S1 x Target x S2 x ECAL x $\overline{V2xV3xHCAL}$
- two separated showers in ECAL and tracked with the trackers.
- beam energy $E_0 = E_{\text{target}} + E_{\text{ECAL}}$
• New gauge boson, $Z_\mu$, search: $\mu Z \rightarrow \mu Z + Z_\mu$, $Z_\mu \rightarrow \nu\nu, \mu\mu$

• Signal signature
  • Incoming 150 GeV $\mu$ beam, tagged with trackers
  • Outgoing < 100 GeV $\mu$ beam, tagged with trackers
  • No energy in ECAL, Veto and HCAL
Future Prospects of NA64 and requirement of tracker

- $K_L \rightarrow \text{invisible search, } K^\pm + p \rightarrow M^0 + n, M^0 = K_L, K_S$

- Signal signature
  - Incoming $\sim 50$ GeV $K^\pm$, tagged with trackers
  - No energy in ECAL, Veto and HCAL
    
    Complete disappearance of beam energy.
Future Prospects of NA64 and requirement of tracker

- GeV Dark Matter Search, \( pA \rightarrow X + Z', Z' \rightarrow \Xi \Xi \)
- Signal signature
  - Incoming \( \sim 200 \text{ GeV} \) \( p \), tagged with trackers
  - Missing energy downstream of the target.