Effective Field Theories for Post-Newtonian Gravity with a Spin (or two)

Michèle Lévi

Institut de Physique Théorique CEA, Université Paris-Saclay

CERN, TH workshop August 18, 2017

K ロ ▶ | K 何 ▶ | K ヨ ▶ | K ヨ ▶ |

 \equiv

Outline

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ (할) 900

1 [Formulating the tower of EFTs](#page-7-0)

- [Setup and goal](#page-7-0)
- **[Degrees of freedom](#page-10-0)**
- [Symmetries](#page-11-0)

2 [Formulating an EFT for a spinning particle](#page-12-0)

- [Worldline spin as a further DOF](#page-12-0)
- [Restoring gauge freedom of rotational variables](#page-13-0)
- [Nonminimal couplings with spin](#page-14-0)

3 [Integrating out the orbital scale](#page-17-0)

- [Disentangling particle and fields DOFs](#page-17-0)
- **NRG** fields and Schwinger's time gauge
- **EOMs** of spin from effective action

4 [Implementing the EFTs](#page-21-0)

- [LO cubic & quartic in spin sectors](#page-22-0)
- [NNLO spin-squared interaction](#page-23-0)
- **EFTofPNG** package

Gravitational Radiation

Prediction 1916 Einstein Indirect Evidence 1974 Hulse & Taylor Direct Observation 2015 LIGO!

Gravitational wave detectors:

- Ground-based
	- LIGO
	- $GEO 600$
	- Virgo
- Second generation ground-based
	- US Advanced LIGO 2015
	- **EU Advanced Virgo 2017**
	- Japan KAGRA 2019?
	- \blacksquare LIGO India 2024?
- Future space-based
	- ESA eLISA 2034 ?

メロト メ御 トメ きょ メきょ

 QQ

GW events – as of 2015!

1 GW150914 (shown in figure) 2 GW151226 **3 GW170104**

Michele Levi (IPhT, U. Paris-Saclay) **[EFTs of PNG](#page-0-0)** Business Control and August 2017 2 / 23

 299

メロメ メ御き メミメ メミメ

Sources of Gravitational Waves

Three phases in the life of a compact binary:

- 1 Inspiral
- 2 Merger
- **3** Ringdown

 299

メロト メ都 トメ ヨ トメ ヨト

Sources of Gravitational Waves

Three phases in the life of a compact binary:

- 1 Inspiral
- 2 Merger
- **3** Ringdown

Detection by matched filtering

- \Rightarrow Theoretical waveform templates
- \Rightarrow Phenomenological modelling of waveforms requires PN parameters of up to 6th order!

Michele Levi (IPhT, U. Paris-Saclay) **[EFTs of PNG](#page-0-0)** BETS of PNG August 2017 3/23

 Ω

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right.$

Methods to compute gravitational-wave templates

 \Rightarrow Effective-One-Body approach, Buonanno and Damour 1999

 299

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right\}$, $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right\}$

[Formulating the tower of EFTs](#page-7-0) [Setup and goal](#page-7-0)

EFTs and their Setup are Universal

 \blacksquare r_s , scale of internal structure, $r_s \sim m$ 2 r, orbital separation scale, r $\sim \frac{r_s}{c^2}$ v^2 $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$ λ , radiation wavelength scale, $\lambda \sim \frac{r}{\lambda}$ v

 $v \ll 1$, nPN $\equiv v^{2n}$ correction in GR to Newtonian Gravity

For an EFT of PNG proceed in stages corresponding to each scale

 Ω

We can use EFT!

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$

[Formulating the tower of EFTs](#page-8-0) [Setup and goal](#page-8-0)

Setup of EFT is Universal Bottom-Up or Top-Down?

Stage 1 Remove the scale r_S of isolated compact object, bottom-up approach

$$
S\left[g_{\mu\nu}\right] = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{g}R
$$

Integrate out strong field modes $g_{\mu\nu} \equiv g^s_{\mu\nu} + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$

$$
\Rightarrow S_{\text{eff}}\left[\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, y^{\mu}, e^{\mu}_{A}\right] = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{\bar{g}} R\left[\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\right] + \underbrace{\sum_{i} C_{i} \int d\sigma O_{i}(\sigma)}_{\equiv S_{\rho\rho}(\sigma) \text{ with Wilson coefficients}}
$$

Michele Levi (IPhT, U. Paris-Saclay) **[EFTs of PNG](#page-0-0)** BETS of PNG August 2017 6/23

Setup of EFT is Universal

Stage 2 Remove the orbital scale r of binary, top-down

$$
\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\equiv \eta_{\mu\nu}+\underbrace{H_{\mu\nu}}_{\text{orbital}}+\underbrace{\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}}_{\text{radiation}}
$$

$$
\partial_t H_{\mu\nu} \sim \frac{v}{r} H_{\mu\nu}, \quad \partial_i H_{\mu\nu} \sim \frac{1}{r} H_{\mu\nu}, \quad \partial_\rho \widetilde{h}_{\mu\nu} \sim \frac{v}{r} \widetilde{h}_{\mu\nu}
$$

Kenneth Wilson

$$
\mathcal{S}_{eff}\left[\bar{g}_{\mu\nu},y_{1}^{\mu},y_{2}^{\mu},e_{(1)}{}^{\mu}_{A},e_{(2)}{}^{\mu}_{A}\right]=-\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^{4}x\sqrt{\bar{g}}R\left[\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\right]+S_{(1)pp}+S_{(2)pp}
$$

Integrate out orbital field modes

$$
\Rightarrow e^{iS_{\text{eff}(\text{composite})}\left[\widetilde{h}_{\mu\nu},y^\mu,e^\mu_A\right]}\equiv\int\mathcal{D}H_{\mu\nu}\,\,e^{iS_{\text{eff}}\left[\bar{g}_{\mu\nu},y_1^\mu,y_2^\mu,e_{(1)}^\mu_A,e_{(2)}^\mu_A\right]}
$$

Stop here for effective action in conservative sector, that is WITHOUT any remaining orbital scale field DOFs

 $[ML 2010, ML & Steinhoff 2014]$ $[ML 2010, ML & Steinhoff 2014]$

Michele Levi (IPhT, U. Paris-Saclay) **[EFTs of PNG](#page-0-0)** BETS of PNG August 2017 7 / 23

To construct EFT – identify Degrees of Freedom

1 The gravitational field

- **The metric** $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$
- The tetrad field $\eta^{ab} \tilde{e}_a{}^{\mu}(x) \tilde{e}_b{}^{\nu}(x) = g^{\mu\nu}(x)$

2 The particle worldline coordinate

 $y^{\mu}(\sigma)$ a function of an arbitrary affine parameter σ Particle worldline position does not in general coincide with object's 'center'

3 The particle worldline rotating DOFs

Worldline tetrad, $\eta^{AB} e_A{}^\mu(\sigma) e_B{}^\nu(\sigma) = g^{\mu\nu}$

 \Rightarrow Angular velocity $\Omega^{\mu\nu}(\sigma)+$ conjugate Spin $S_{\mu\nu}(\sigma)$

 \Rightarrow Lorentz matrices, $\eta^{AB}{\Lambda_A}^a(\sigma){\Lambda_B}^b(\sigma)=\eta^{ab}+$ conjugate local spin, $S_{ab}(\sigma)$

 Ω

 $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B}$

To construct EFT – identify Symmetries

- **1** General coordinate invariance, and parity invariance
- 2 Worldline reparametrization invariance
- **3** Internal Lorentz invariance of local frame field
- 4 SO(3) invariance of body-fixed spatial triad
- 5 Spin gauge invariance, that is invariance under choice of completion of body-fixed spatial triad through timelike vector
- ⁶ Assume isolated object has no intrinsic permanent multipole moments beyond the mass monopole and the spin dipole

 Ω

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$

Worldline spin as a further DOF

Effective action of a spinning particle

[Hanson & Regge 1974, Bailey & Israel 1975]

■
$$
u^{\mu} \equiv dy^{\mu}/d\sigma
$$
, $\Omega^{\mu\nu} \equiv e_{A}^{\mu} \frac{De^{\mu\nu}}{D\sigma} \Rightarrow L_{\text{pp}} [u_{\mu}, \Omega^{\mu\nu}, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}]$
\n■ $S_{\mu\nu} \equiv -2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial \Omega^{\mu\nu}}$ spin as further worldline DOF – classical source
\n $\Rightarrow S_{\text{pp}}(\sigma) = \int d\sigma \left[-m\sqrt{u^2} - \frac{1}{2} S_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu} + L_{\text{SI}} [u^{\mu}, S_{\mu\nu}, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} (y^{\mu})] \right]$

For an EFT with spin the gauge of both rotational variables should be fixed at the level of the point particle action

[ML 2×PRD 2010, ML & Steinhoff JCAP 2014]

Start in the covariant gauge: $e^{\mu}_{[0]} = \frac{p^{\mu}}{\sqrt{p^2}}, \qquad S_{\mu\nu}p^{\nu} = 0$

Linear momentum $\rho_\mu \equiv -\frac{\partial L}{\partial u^\mu} = m \frac{u^\mu}{\sqrt{u^2}} + \mathcal{O}(S^2)$

KED KARD KED KED E VOQO

Gauge freedom of rotational variables can be restored

[ML & Steinhoff, JHEP 2015]

Introduce gauge freedom in rotational variables

Transform gauge of $e^{A\mu}$ from $e_{[0]\mu}=q_\mu\ \to\ \hat e_{[0]\mu} = w_\mu$ with a boost-like transformation in covariant form:

 $\hat{e}^{A\mu}=L^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(\nu,q)e^{A\nu},\quad q_{a},\ \nu_{a}$ timelike unit 4-vectors

$$
\Rightarrow \text{ Generic gauge:} \quad \hat{e}_{[0]\mu} = w_{\mu}, \ \hat{S}^{\mu\nu} \left(p_{\nu} + \sqrt{p^2} \hat{e}_{[0]\nu} \right) = 0
$$

Ernst Stueckelberg

\Rightarrow Extra term in action appears!

- For minimal coupling $\frac{1}{2}S_{\mu\nu}\Omega^{\mu\nu}=\frac{1}{2}\hat{S}_{\mu\nu}\hat{\Omega}^{\mu\nu}+\frac{\hat{S}^{\mu\rho}\rho_{\rho}}{p^2}\frac{D\rho_{\mu}}{D\sigma}$
- \blacksquare Extra term contributes to finite size effects, yet carries no Wilson coefficient
- Beyond minimal coupling $S_{\mu\nu} = \hat{S}_{\mu\nu} \frac{\hat{S}_{\mu\rho}p^{\rho}p_{\nu}}{p^2} + \frac{\hat{S}_{\nu\rho}p^{\rho}\rho_{\mu}}{p^2}$

 QQ

 $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B}$

Nonminimal coupling action with spin must be constrained

[ML & Steinhoff, JHEP 2014, JHEP 2015]

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$

Building blocks \sim Riemann \times Spin-induced higher multipoles

Spin-induced higher multipoles

$$
S^{\mu} \equiv *S^{\mu\nu} \frac{p_{\nu}}{\sqrt{p^2}} \simeq *S^{\mu\nu} \frac{u_{\nu}}{\sqrt{u^2}}, \quad *S_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow S_{\mu} S^{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2} S_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu} \equiv -S^2
$$

\nSO(3) invariance of body-fixed triad
\n
$$
\Rightarrow Spin \text{ multipoles are } SO(3) \text{ irrep tensors}
$$

\nInitially, covariant gauge eq₀
$$
^{\mu} = u^{\mu}/\sqrt{u^2}, e_{[i]}^{\mu} u_{\mu} = 0
$$

 \Rightarrow Spin-induced higher multipoles are symmetric, traceless, and spatial, constant tensors in body-fixed frame

 \Rightarrow From parity invariance: Even and odd combinations of spin vector S^{μ} couple to even and odd parity electric and magnetic curvature tensors, and their covariant derivatives, respectively.

Nonminimal coupling action with spin must be constrained [ML & Steinhoff, JHEP 2014, JHEP 2015]

Curvature tensors

Electric component, $E_{\mu\nu}\equiv R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}u^{\alpha}u^{\beta}$, Magnetic component, $B_{\mu\nu}\equiv \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu}R^{\alpha\beta}_{\ \ \ \delta\nu}u^{\gamma}u^{\delta}$, and their spatial body-fixed covariant derivatives, $D_{[i]} = \mathsf{e}_{[i]}^\mu D_\mu$

- Field is vacuum solution at LO, properties of Riemann, Bianchi identities $\Rightarrow E_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$, symmetric, traceless, and orthogonal to u^{μ} , also when projected to body-fixed frame, where they are spatial
- At most linear in Riemann
	- \Rightarrow Time derivative $D_{[0]}=u^\mu D_\mu\equiv D/D\sigma$ can be ignored
- Analogous to Maxwell's equations

$$
\epsilon_{[ik]} D_{[k]} E_{[ij]} = \dot{B}_{[ij]} \Rightarrow D_{[i]} E_{[ij]} = D_{[i]} B_{[ij]} = 0,\epsilon_{[ik]} D_{[k]} B_{[ij]} = -\dot{E}_{[ij]} \qquad \Box E_{[ij]} = \Box B_{[ij]} = 0
$$

 \Rightarrow Indices of covariant derivatives would be symmetrized with respect to indices of electric and magnetic tensors \Rightarrow Covariant derivatives of these tensors are als[o t](#page-14-0)r[ac](#page-16-0)[e](#page-14-0)[les](#page-15-0)[s](#page-16-0) Michele Levi (IPhT, U. Paris-Saclay) **[EFTs of PNG](#page-0-0)** August 2017 13 / 23

LO nonminimal couplings to all orders in spin are fixed [ML & Steinhoff, JHEP 2014, JHEP 2015]

LO nonminimal couplings to all orders in spin

New spin-induced Wilson coefficients:

$$
L_{\text{SI}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} \frac{C_{E{S^{2n}}}}{m^{2n-1}} D_{\mu_{2n}} \cdots D_{\mu_3} \frac{E_{\mu_1 \mu_2}}{\sqrt{\mu^2}} S^{\mu_1} S^{\mu_2} \cdots S^{\mu_{2n-1}} S^{\mu_{2n}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} \frac{C_{B{S^{2n+1}}}}{m^{2n}} D_{\mu_{2n+1}} \cdots D_{\mu_3} \frac{B_{\mu_1 \mu_2}}{\sqrt{\mu^2}} S^{\mu_1} S^{\mu_2} \cdots S^{\mu_{2n-1}} S^{\mu_{2n}} S^{\mu_{2n+1}}
$$

LO spin couplings up to 4PN order

 $nPN \equiv v^{2n}$ correction in GR to Newtonian Gravity ${\cal L}_{ES^2}=-\frac{C_{ES^2}}{2m}\frac{E_{\mu\nu}}{\sqrt{u^2}}$ $\frac{uv}{u^2}S^{\mu}S^{\nu}$, Quadrupole @2PN $L_{BS^3} = -\frac{C_{BS^3}}{6m^2}$ $\frac{D_{\lambda}B_{\mu\nu}}{\sqrt{u^2}}$ S $^{\mu}$ S $^{\nu}$ S $^{\lambda}$, Octupole @3.5PN $\mathcal{L}_{ES^4} = \frac{\mathcal{C}_{ES^4}}{24m^3} \frac{D_{\lambda}D_{\kappa}E_{\mu\nu}}{\sqrt{u^2}}$ $\frac{\kappa}{u^2}$ E μ S $^{\nu}$ S $^{\lambda}$ S $^{\kappa}$, Hexadecapole @4PN **KORK EX KEY EL SORA**

Michele Levi (IPhT, U. Paris-Saclay) The Contract C

Particle DOFs should be disentangled from field DOFs

[ML, 2×PRD 2010, ML & Steinhoff, JCAP 2014, JHEP 2015]

Worldline tetrad contains both rotational and field DOFs

- $\hat e_A{}^\mu=\hat\Lambda_A{}^b\tilde e_b{}^\mu\!:\,\eta^{AB}\hat\Lambda_A{}^a\hat\Lambda_B{}^b=\eta^{ab}$, tetrad field $\eta_{ab}\tilde e^a{}_\mu\tilde e^b{}_\nu=g_{\mu\nu}$
- $\frac{1}{2}\hat{S}_{\mu\nu}\hat{\Omega}^{\mu\nu}=\frac{1}{2}\hat{S}_{ab}\hat{\Omega}^{ab}_{\text{flat}}+\frac{1}{2}\hat{S}_{ab}\omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}u^{\mu},~~\omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}\equiv\tilde{\mathrm{e}}^{b}{}_{\nu}D_{\mu}\tilde{\mathrm{e}}^{a\nu}$ Ricci rotation coefficients \Rightarrow New rotational variables: $\hat{\Omega}^{ab}_{\text{flat}} = \hat{\Lambda}^{Aa} \frac{d \hat{\Lambda}_A{}^b}{d \sigma}$ $\frac{{\hat \Lambda_A}^b}{d\sigma}$, $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathsf{ab}}$

Separation of field from particle worldline DOFs is not complete

- $\hat{\Lambda}_{[0]}{}^{\mathfrak{a}}=w^{\mathfrak{a}}=\tilde{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathfrak{a}}{}_{\mu}w^{\mu}$ may contain further field dependence
- $\hat{\mathsf{S}}_{0i}$ contain further field dependence
	- \Rightarrow Field completely disentangled from worldline DOFs only once gauge for rotational variables is fixed

Michele Levi (IPhT, U. Paris-Saclay) The Contract C

KORK EX KEY EL SORA

Fixing the gauge of rotational variables

[ML & Steinhoff, JHEP 2015]

$$
\hat{\Lambda}_{[0]a}=w_a,\qquad \hat{S}^{ab}\left(p_b+\sqrt{\rho^2}\hat{\Lambda}_{[0]b}\right)=0
$$

3 sensible gauges

1 Covariant gauge

$$
\hat{\Lambda}_{[0]_a} = \frac{p_a}{\sqrt{p^2}} \Rightarrow {\Lambda_{[0]}}^a = \frac{p^a}{\sqrt{p^2}}
$$

2 Canonical gauge

$$
\hat{\Lambda}_{[0]}^{\ a}=\delta^a_0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{\Lambda}_A{}^{(0)}=\delta^0_A \Rightarrow \qquad \hat{S}^{ab}\left(p_b+\sqrt{p^2}\delta_{0b}\right)=0
$$

Generalization of Pryce-Newton-Wigner SSC from flat spacetime **3** No mass dipole gauge

$$
\hat{\Lambda}_{[0]}^a = \frac{2p_0\delta_0^a - p^a}{\sqrt{p^2}} \Rightarrow \qquad \hat{S}_{a0} = 0
$$

Michele Levi (IPhT, U. Paris-Saclay) **[EFTs of PNG](#page-0-0)** BETS of PNG August 2017 16 / 23

NRG fields and Schwinger's time gauge Reduction over time dimension à la Kaluza-Klein

$$
ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} \equiv e^{2\phi} (dt - A_i dx^i)^2 - e^{-2\phi} \gamma_{ij} dx^i dx^j
$$

 $\phi, A_i, \gamma_{ij} \equiv \delta_{ij} + \sigma_{ij}$, Non Relativistic Gravitational (NRG) fields Advantageous, preferable e.g. over Lorentz covariant, ADM decompositions... [w spin ML, PRD 2010 etc.]

NRG tetrad field gauge

[ML & Steinhoff JHEP 2015]

Schwinger's time gauge

$$
\tilde{e}_{(i)}^0(x)=0
$$

Using internal Lorentz symmetry

$$
\tilde{e}^{a}_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\phi} & -e^{\phi} A_{i} \\ 0 & e^{-\phi} \sqrt{\gamma}_{ij} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sqrt{\gamma}_{ij} \text{ symmetric square root of } \gamma_{ij}
$$

Michele Levi (IPhT, U. Paris-Saclay) The Contract C

EOMs for the precession of spin

[ML & Steinhoff, JCAP 2014]

From variation of the final effective action – like those of position

$$
S_{eff(spin)}=\int dt\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{I=1}^{2}S_{Iij}\Omega_{I}^{ij}-V\left(\vec{x}_{I},\dot{\vec{x}}_{I},\dot{\vec{x}}_{I},\ldots,S_{Iij},\dot{S}_{Iij},\ldots\right)\right]
$$

Lorentz matrices and spin are independent variational variables!

$$
\Rightarrow \dot{S}_I^{ij} = -4S_I^{k[i}\delta^{j]j}\frac{\delta \int dt V}{\delta S_I^{kl}} = -4S_I^{k[i}\delta^{j]j}\left[\frac{\partial V}{\partial S_I^{kl}} - \frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial V}{\partial \dot{S}_I^{kl}} + \dots\right]
$$

If rotational gauge is not fixed

$$
S_{\text{(spin)}} = \int dt \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{I=1}^{2} S_{lab} \Omega_I^{ab} - V \left(\vec{x}_I, \dot{\vec{x}}_I, \dot{\vec{x}}_I, \dots, S_{lab}, \dot{S}_{lab}, \dots \right) \right]
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \dot{S}_I^{ab} = 4 S_I^{c[a} \eta^{b]d} \frac{\delta \int dt V}{\delta S_I^{cd}} = 4 S_I^{c[a} \eta^{b]d} \left[\frac{\partial V}{\partial S_I^{cd}} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \dot{S}_I^{cd}} + \dots \right]
$$

Michele Levi (IPhT, U. Paris-Saclay) The Contract C

LO sectors beyond Newtonian

Feynman graphs of non-spinning sector to 1PN order

One-loop diagram – absent from 1PN with NRG fields

LO Feynman diagrams with spin $-$ to quadratic in spin

Michele Levi (IPhT, U. Paris-Saclay) **[EFTs of PNG](#page-0-0)** BETS of PNG August 2017 19 / 23

 2990

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$

LO cubic and quartic in spin sectors [ML & Steinhoff, JHEP 2014]

Feynman diagrams of LO cubic in spin sector

■ On left pair – quadrupole-dipole, on right – octupole-monopole ■ Note analogy of each pair with LO spin-orbit

Feynman diagrams of LO quartic in spin sector

- On left and right quadrupole-quadrupole and hexadecapole-monopole
- Each is analogous to LO spin-squared
- In middle octupole-dipole analogous to LO spi[n1](#page-21-0)-[sp](#page-23-0)[in](#page-21-0)[2](#page-22-0)

Michele Levi (IPhT, U. Paris-Saclay) **[EFTs of PNG](#page-0-0)** Business Control 2017 20 / 23

NNLO spin-squared sector

[ML & Steinhoff, JCAP 2016]

Feynman diagrams of order G^3 with two loops

Five 2-loop topologies actually fall into 3 kinds

 \blacksquare H topology (graphs c1,c2) – irreducible kind – is the nasty one!

 Ω

 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{A} . The \mathcal{A}

[Implementing the EFTs](#page-24-0) [EFTofPNG package](#page-24-0)

EFTofPNG package version 1.0

[ML & Steinhoff, arXiv:1705.06309]

メロメ メ御き メミメ メミメ

Public repository URL: https://github.com/miche-levi/pncbc-eftofpng

Michele Levi (IPhT, U. Paris-Saclay) **[EFTs of PNG](#page-0-0)** Business Control and August 2017 22 / 23

 299

Conclusions

EFT of PNG with Spin: Summary of formal results

[ML & Steinhoff, JHEP 2015; ML, arXiv:1705.07515]

- \blacksquare EFT formulation for spinning gravitating objects
- **Spin-induced nonminimal coupling to all orders in spin**
- **EOMs and Hamiltonians straightforward to derive**

EFT of PNG with Spin: Summary of applications

[ML, arXiv:1705.07515]

- NLO S1-S2, SO [ML, 2×PRD 2010], S^2 [ML & Steinhoff, JHEP 2015]
- NNLO S1-S2 [ML, PRD 2011], SO, S^2 [ML & Steinhoff, 2×JCAP 2016]
- LO $S^3 + S^4$ [ML & Steinhoff, JHEP 2014]
- **Public package with spin + observables pipeline [ML & Steinhoff, 2017]**
- \Rightarrow Spinning & non-spinning PN accuracy unprecedently in sync!

Prospective work

- Formal matching of spin-induced Wilson coefficients
- Radiative sector with s[p](#page-24-0)in: For[m](#page-26-0)ula[t](#page-25-0)[io](#page-20-0)[n](#page-21-0) of EFT \rightarrow [Im](#page-26-0)p[le](#page-25-0)m[e](#page-23-0)[nta](#page-24-0)tion

Michele Levi (IPhT, U. Paris-Saclay) **[EFTs of PNG](#page-0-0)** Business Control and August 2017 23 / 23 / 23

