

Higgs Pheno Implications of the Aligned NMSSM

Nausheen R. Shah Wayne State University Feb 28, 2017

In Collaboration with:

M. Carena, H. Haber, I. Low & C. Wagner arXiv:1510.09137

B. Bhattacharya, M. Carena & C. Wagner, In preparation

S. Baum, K. Freese & B. Shakya arXiv:1703.XXXXX



WG3 NMSSM Benchmark Discussion, Feb 28, 2017

The Higgs Lamp Post: $m_h \sim 125 \text{ GeV} + \text{SM-like}$



Alignment SUSY → NMSSM

$W = \lambda S H_u H_d + \frac{\kappa}{3} S^3 \qquad -\mathcal{L}_{\text{soft}} = \lambda A_\lambda S H_u H_d + \frac{1}{3} \kappa A$

- 2 Doublets (H_u, H_d) + Singlet (S)
- Singlet couples only to the Higgs Sector.
- Singlet acquires vev: $\mu = \lambda v_s$
- 3 CP-Even Higgses:
 - Mixing between all three (H_u, H_d and S).
- 2 CP-Odd Higgses:
 - Mixtures of "MSSM" m_A and singlet.
- Charged Higgs
- Singlet-like CP-even and odd masses anti-correlated.
- Singlino mass: 2 $\kappa \mu / \lambda$

NMSSM Higgs Sector

mA

 m_a/m_h

$H_{SM} = \sin \beta \Phi_1 + \cos \beta \Phi_2$ $H_{NSM} = -\cos \beta \Phi_1 + \sin \beta \Phi_2$ $\langle H_{SM} \rangle = v$ $\langle H_{NSM} \rangle = 0$



Mixing between H_{SM} and H_{NSM},
 "cos (β - α)", gives non-SM behavior of observed h125.

 $\cos (\beta - \alpha) = 0$ SM-like HIGGS!!

ALIGNMENT

 $\tan\beta =$

 $\frac{v_1}{v_2}$

Higgs Basis

- Interaction basis: (H_u, H_d, S)
 - H_u : Couples only to up-type fermions
 - H_d: Couples only to down-type fermions
 - S: Only couples to Higgses



- Interaction basis: (H_u, H_d, S)
 - H_u: Couples only to up-type fermions
 - H_d: Couples only to down-type fermions
 - S: Only couples to Higgses
- "Extended" Higgs basis: (H_{NSM}, H_{SM}, S)
 - H_{NSM} : (down, up, V) = (y_d t_\beta, y_u/t_\beta, 0)
 - H_{SM} : (down, up, V) = (y_d, y_u, g_{hVV})





- Interaction basis: (H_u, H_d, S)
 - H_u : Couples only to up-type fermions
 - H_d: Couples only to down-type fermions
 - S: Only couples to Higgses
- "Extended" Higgs basis: (H_{NSM}, H_{SM}, S)
 - H_{NSM} : (down, up, V) = (y_d t_\beta, y_u/t_\beta, 0)
 - H_{SM} : (down, up, V) = (y_d, y_u, g_{hVV})
- Mass basis: (H³, H², H¹)
 - $H^{i} = \kappa^{i}_{NSM} H_{NSM} + \kappa^{i}_{SM} H_{SM} + \kappa^{i}_{S} S$

 $\langle H_{NSM} \rangle = 0$ $\langle H_{CM} \rangle = v$

- Interaction basis: (H_u, H_d, S)
 - H_u : Couples only to up-type fermions
 - H_d: Couples only to down-type fermions
 - S: Only couples to Higgses
- "Extended" Higgs basis: (H_{NSM}, H_{SM}, S)
 - H_{NSM} : (down, up, V) = (y_d t_\beta, y_u/t_\beta, 0)
 - H_{SM} : (down, up, V) = (y_d, y_u, g_{hVV})
- Mass basis: (H³, H², H¹) → (H, h125, hS)
 - $\mathbf{H}^{i} = \kappa^{i}_{NSM} \mathbf{H}_{NSM} + \kappa^{i}_{SM} \mathbf{H}_{SM} + \kappa^{i}_{S} \mathbf{S}$

$$= v_{u}$$
$$= v_{d}$$
$$t_{\beta} = v_{u}/v_{d}$$
$$~~= \mu / \lambda~~$$



Alignment:

$$\kappa^{h125}_{NSM} = 0$$

 $\kappa^{h125}_{S} = 0$





Alignment (No-Mixing): $m_h^2 \simeq \lambda^2 \frac{v^2}{2} \sin^2 2\beta + M_Z^2 \cos^2 2\beta + \Delta_{\tilde{t}}$ $\Delta_{\tilde{t}} = -\cos 2\beta (m_h^2 - M_Z^2)$

Well Known

- 125 GeV Higgs
 - Tree-level contribution to Higgs mass from $\,\lambda$.
 - $\lambda \sim 0.65-0.7$
- Low tan β
- Light Stops

125 GeV Higgs Naturally!

Nausheen R. Shah

- Perturbative up to GUT scale.
 - $\lambda_{\text{max}} \sim 0.7$, $\kappa_{\text{max}} \sim \lambda/2$

Not so well known:

• Leads to excellent Alignment (very little mixing with Heavy Higgs) in the m_A - tan β plane.







• Apart from tt, significant decays into H2+H1 and neutralino/charginos



- Light Neutralinos:
 - Relic Density can be obtained via resonances or NMSSM "welltempered" Neutralinos
 - Generically large direct detection cross-section via h125
 - Need "Blind-spot" cancellations. E.g.

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{SI} \propto & \left\{ \left(\frac{2}{t_{\beta}} - \frac{m_{\chi}}{\mu} \right) \frac{2 t_{\beta}}{m_{h}^{2}} + \frac{t_{\beta}}{m_{H}^{2}} & \text{C. Cheung, M. Papucci, D. Sanford, NRS, K. Zurek, '14} \\ & + \frac{1}{m_{h_{S}}^{2}} \left(2 S_{h,s} + \frac{\lambda v}{\mu} \right) \left[\frac{\lambda v}{\mu^{2}} m_{\chi} + S_{h,s} \left(\frac{2}{t_{\beta}} - \frac{m_{\chi}}{\mu} \right) + \frac{\kappa \mu}{\lambda^{2} v} \right] \right\}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Conditions: $\mu > 0$ $\mu \sim m_{\chi} t \beta / 2$ (h125 coupling reduced) h_s < h125 (need alignment for μ and m_A) 13

- LSP could be $\sim 0(10 \text{ GeV})$ or $\sim 0(100 \text{ GeV})$
- h_s < h125
 - Mixing can change total width of h125 significant mixing still allowed
 - If $h_s < h125/2$, $h125 \rightarrow h_s h_s Exotic decays of h125$
 - h_s can have significant production cross-section ~ pb
 - if $h_{\rm S} > 2 \chi$, BR(hS -> $\chi \chi$) ~ 100%
 - Otherwise decays with SM-like BR
- For low tan β , m_A can be few hundred GeV
 - Significant decays of both H and A into Higgses and Neutralinos
 - $H \rightarrow h_{s} h_{s} / h_{s} h_{125}$ etc.
 - Note: H -> h125 h125/ WW/ZZ and A -> Z h125 all suppressed by alignment/ decoupling

Cosmology Benchmark

- LSP could be stable on detector time-scales but unstable on universe time-scale
- Consider collider phenomenology independent of cosmological constraints
- First question: Alignment with or without decoupling
 - Most interesting LHC phenomenology when all states light (no decoupling)
 - Pursue NMSSM parameter space using "alignment"
 - Pinpoint promising signatures
 - In particular will focus on **mono-Higgs**

Heavy Higgs Pheno



NMSSMTools Scans asking for SM-like h125

Misalignment?

- $m_{A2} \sim m_{H3}$
- Completely "MSSM"-like A_2 has xsec ~ 2 "MSSM"-like H_3
- Mixing with singlets will reduce these xsec
- A_2 can mix significantly with A_1 consistent with alignment conditions
 - Still comparable xsec to H₃
 - Significant BR into non-standards: $\chi_{i}\chi_{1}$, A1 h125, h_s Z
- H_3 mixes less with singlet, but enough to also have significant non-standard decays:
 - $\chi_{i} \chi_{1}$, h_s h125, A₁ Z





Non-Standard BR

If A_1 or $h_S > 2\chi$, BR($\chi\chi$) large Otherwise SM-like BR





- A. Can add up contributions from both H_3 and A_2
- B. Expect stronger sensitivity -h125 back-to-back with MET



S. Baum, K. Freese, NRS & B. Shakya (preliminary)



Depending on mass spectrum, can have sensitivity ~sub-fb Reach?

Nausheen R. Shah





As expected much better reach for channel (B) – even when tt is open

NMSSM

21

S. Baum, K. Freese, NRS & B. Shakya (preliminary)

- $A/H \rightarrow Z h_s/a \rightarrow Z bb$ (CMS -- arXiv:1603.02991)
- A/H-> Z h_S/a > Z $\chi \chi$ (mono-Z) (CMS -- arXiv:1701.02042)
- A/H -> $h_s/a h125 -> bb \gamma \gamma$, 4b?







Conclusions and Outlook

• $m_h = 125 \text{ GeV} + \text{SM-like}$

- Alignment: Decoupling or Prediction for parameters.
- NMSSM Higgs sector at low tan β .
 - Perturbativity and the requirement of alignment with the singlet
 - light singlets (both CP-even and odd) and singlino + higgsinos (charged and neutral).
 - Consistency with Cosmology provides further guidance
 - singlet scalar lighter than h125 not decoupled
 - Large BR of non-SM Higgs into singlet like states + neutralinos
 - Mono-Higgs reach studied in detail
 - Complimentary channels:
 - mono-Z
 - 2b 2 γ, 4b?



BACKUP SLIDES

Nausheen R. Shah

TABLE I: NMSSM parameter ranges used in NMSSMTools scans.

- How much "non-standardness" is allowed by h125 measurements??
- $\kappa_{\text{NSM}} H_{\text{NSM}} + \kappa_{\text{SM}} H_{\text{SM}} + \kappa_{\text{S}} S$
- Singlet: Only couples to Higgses
- Ratios to SM:
 - $g_{hgg} = (\kappa_{SM} + \kappa_{NSM}/t_{\beta})$
 - $g_{hdd} = (\kappa_{SM} \kappa_{NSM} t_{\beta})$
 - $g_{hVV} = \kappa_{SM}$
- Significant $\kappa_{\rm S}$ OK
- Large $\kappa_{\rm NSM}$ from sign change of $g_{\rm hdd}$

Contamination allowed in h125 ??



- CMS 1505.03831
- Strong constraints on SM-like Higgs decay to VV \sim 12-6% SM value for masses 160-500 GeV.



- Strong constraints on SM-like Higgs decay to V V \sim 12-6% SM value for masses 160-500 GeV.
- Only κ^{i}_{SM} couples to V V
- What does this imply for SM and NSM components of extra Higgs??
 - $160 \text{ GeV} < m_{hS} < 350 \text{ GeV}$
 - BR(WW+ZZ) ~ 1 •
 - gF production XS impacted.
- With $\kappa^{h125}_{NSM} \sim 0$
 - $\mathcal{K}^{hS}_{SM} \sim \mathcal{K}^{h125}_{S}$
 - κ^{h125} smaller than allowed by h125 measurements!

Direct Searches for heavy resonances?



- NMSSMTools + HiggsBounds/Signals
- Allowed "misalignment"

 $\tan \beta = 2$

 $\tan \beta = 2.5$

 $\tan \beta = 3$



M. Carena, H. Haber, I. Low, N.R.S., C. Wagner, '15

Misalignment

Density of scan not relevant



M. Carena, H. Haber, I. Low, N.R.S., C. Wagner, '15

Singlet Spectra

• Decay BR depends on tan β



• Apart from tt, significant decays into H2+H1 and neutralino/charginos



• Into singlet-like H1/H2-Z and inos

M. Carena, H. Haber, I. Low, N.R.S., C. Wagner, '15



Nausheen R. Shah



XS factor \sim 4 at 14 TeV compared to 8 TeV



XS factor \sim 4 at 14 TeV compared to 8 TeV





• Tree-level mass matrix in the (H_{NSM}, H_{SM}, S) basis:

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_A^2 + s_{2\beta}^2 \left(m_Z^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 v^2 \right) & s_{2\beta}c_{2\beta} \left(m_Z^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 v^2 \right) & -\frac{\lambda v\mu}{\sqrt{2}}c_{2\beta} \left(\frac{m_A^2}{2\mu^2}s_{2\beta} + \frac{\kappa}{\lambda} \right) \\ c_{2\beta}^2 m_Z^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 v^2 s_{2\beta}^2 & \sqrt{2}\lambda v\mu \left(1 - \frac{m_A^2}{4\mu^2}s_{2\beta}^2 - \frac{\kappa}{2\lambda}s_{2\beta} \right) \\ & \frac{\lambda^2 v^2 s_{2\beta}}{4} \left(\frac{m_A^2 s_{2\beta}}{2\mu^2} - \frac{\kappa}{\lambda} \right) + \frac{\kappa\mu}{\lambda} (A_{\kappa} + \frac{4\kappa\mu}{\lambda}) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Alignment: Mixing between HNSM-SM=0 & SM-S =0
- Alignment conditions, (+ stop corrections to always obtain h125):

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{S}^{2}(1,2) &= \frac{1}{t_{\beta}} \left[c_{2\beta} m_{Z}^{2} - \mathcal{M}_{S}^{2}(2,2) + \lambda^{2} v^{2} s_{\beta}^{2} + \frac{3m_{t}^{4} X_{t} \left(X_{t} - Y_{t}\right)}{4\pi^{2} v^{2} M_{S}^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{X_{t}^{2}}{6M_{S}^{2}}\right) \right] = 0 \\ \mathcal{M}_{S}^{2}(2,3) &= 2\lambda v \mu \left(1 - \frac{m_{A}^{2} s_{2\beta}^{2}}{4\mu^{2}} - \frac{\kappa s_{2\beta}}{2\lambda} \right) = 0 \\ \text{NSM-SM mixing cancels for} \qquad \lambda_{\text{alt}}^{2} = \frac{m_{h}^{2} - M_{Z}^{2} c_{2\beta}}{v^{2} s_{\beta}^{2}} \end{split}$$

"Extended" Higgs Basis

X. Lu, H. Murayama, J. Ruderman, K. Tobioka '13

$$W \supset \lambda \, SH_u H_d + rac{M}{2} S^2 + \mu \, H_u H_d \qquad V_{
m soft} \supset m_S^2 |S|^2$$

$$V \supset |F_S|^2 = |\lambda H_u H_d + MS|^2$$



 It is well known that in the NMSSM there are new contributions to the lightest CPeven Higgs mass,

$$W = \lambda S H_u H_d + \frac{\kappa}{3} S^3$$

$$m_h^2 \simeq \lambda^2 \frac{v^2}{2} \sin^2 2\beta + M_Z^2 \cos^2 2\beta + \Delta_{\tilde{t}}$$

 It is perhaps less known that it leads to sizable corrections to the mixing between the MSSM like CP-even states. In the Higgs basis,

$$M_S^2(1,2) \simeq rac{1}{ aneta} \left(m_h^2 - M_Z^2 \cos 2eta - \lambda^2 v^2 \sin^2eta + \delta_{ ilde{t}}
ight)$$

- The last term is the one appearing in the MSSM, that are small for moderate mixing and small values of aneta
- So, alignment leads to a determination of lambda,
- The values of lambda end up in a very narrow range, between 0.65 and 0.7 for allvalues of tanbeta, that are the values that lead to naturalness with perturbativity up to the GUT scale

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{m_h^2 - M_Z^2 \cos 2\beta}{v^2 \sin^2 \beta}$$



M. Carena, I. Low, N.R.S, C. Wagner, '13

It is clear from these plots that the NMSSM does an amazing job in aligning the MSSM-like CP-even sector, provided lambda is of about 0.65

Nausheen R. Shah

• In the ("MSSM m_A", Singlet) basis:

$$\mathcal{M}_P^2 = \begin{pmatrix} m_A^2 & \frac{\lambda v}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{m_A^2}{2\mu} s_{2\beta} - \frac{3\kappa\mu}{\lambda} \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 v^2 s_{2\beta} \left(\frac{m_A^2}{4\mu^2} s_{2\beta} + \frac{3\kappa}{2\lambda} \right) - \frac{3\kappa A_{\kappa\mu}}{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$m_A^2 = \frac{\mu}{s_\beta c_\beta} \left(A_\lambda + \frac{\kappa \mu}{\lambda} \right).$$







• H1 H1 /H2 H2



H3 BR

• tt + a Z



BR H3

• A1 H1 / A1 H2



A2 BR

• tt + chargino/neutralinos

$$16\pi^{2} \frac{d\lambda^{2}}{dt} = \lambda^{2} \left(3h_{t}^{2} + 3h_{b}^{2} + h_{\tau}^{2} + 4\lambda^{2} + 2\kappa^{2} - g_{1}^{2} - 3g_{2}^{2} \right) \\ + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{16\pi^{2}} \left(-10\lambda^{4} - 9h_{t}^{4} - 9h_{b}^{4} - 3h_{\tau}^{4} - 8\kappa^{4} - 9\lambda^{2}h_{t}^{2} - 9\lambda^{2}h_{b}^{2} \\ - 3\lambda^{2}h_{\tau}^{2} - 12\lambda^{2}\kappa^{2} - 6h_{t}^{2}h_{b}^{2} + 2g_{1}^{2}\lambda^{2} + \frac{4}{3}g_{1}^{2}h_{t}^{2} - \frac{2}{3}g_{1}^{2}h_{b}^{2} + 2g_{1}^{2}h_{\tau}^{2} \\ + 6g_{2}^{2}\lambda^{2} + 16g_{3}^{2}h_{t}^{2} + 16g_{3}^{2}h_{b}^{2} + \frac{23}{2}g_{1}^{4} + \frac{15}{2}g_{2}^{4} + 3g_{1}^{2}g_{2}^{2} \right), \\ 16\pi^{2} \frac{d\kappa^{2}}{dt} = \kappa^{2} \left(6\lambda^{2} + 6\kappa^{2} \right) + \frac{\kappa^{2}}{16\pi^{2}} \left(-24\kappa^{4} - 12\lambda^{4} - 24\kappa^{2}\lambda^{2} \\ - 18h_{t}^{2}\lambda^{2} - 18h_{b}^{2}\lambda^{2} - 6h_{\tau}^{2}\lambda^{2} + 6g_{1}^{2}\lambda^{2} + 18g_{2}^{2}\lambda^{2} \right). \\ \lambda = 0.65 \\ 0.30 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.30 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.00 \\ 1.5 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.00 \\ 1.5 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.00 \\ 1.5 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.00 \\ 1.5 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.00 \\ 1.5 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.00 \\ 1.5 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.00 \\ 1.5 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0$$

- 1. The gluon fusion production cross section of the would be heavy MSSM states (A and H) is enhanced due to the top Yukawa contributions at low tan β , and can be of the order of a few *pb*.
- 2. The non-standard Higgs bosons can have relevant decays into the lighter singlet like Higgs bosons as well as into the light electroweakinos.
- 3. The decay of non-standard Higgs bosons into tops, taus and bottoms will be suppressed due to the small values of tan β and the presence of additional decays.

Phenomenological Consequences