CP violating Dark Matter

Venus Keus

University of Helsinki & Helsinki Institute of Physics

In collaboration with

S. F. King & S. Moretti & D. Sokolowska
J. Hernandez & D. Rojas & A. Cordero

JHEP 1612, 014 (2016) & work in progress

RISE - March 2017

The Standard Model and its shortcomings

- A Higgs boson discovered
- No significant deviation from the SM
- No signs of new physics

But no explanation for

- Dark Matter
- Fermion mass hierarchy
- Extra sources of CPV
- Vacuum stability
- ...



JHEP 08 (2016) 045

Dark Matter (DM)

- Cold (non-relativistic at the onset of galaxy formation)
- Non-baryonic
- Neutral and weakly interacting

$\Rightarrow$ **W**eakly **I**nteracting **M**assive **P**article (WIMP)

- Stable due to a discrete symmetry

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{DM\ DM} \to \mathrm{SM\ SM}}_{\text{pair annihilation}}, \quad \underbrace{\mathrm{DM} \not\to \mathrm{SM}, \ldots}_{\text{stable}}$$

- Freeze-out (drop out of thermal equilibrium)
- Agree with the observed relic density

$$\Omega_{DM} h^2 = 0.1199 \pm 0.0027$$

BSMs to the rescue

Scalar extensions with and without a Z_2 symmetry:

- Higgs portal models: SM + scalar singlet
  - $\phi_{SM},\ S \quad \Rightarrow \quad$ CPV, ~~DM~~
  - $\phi_{SM},\ S \quad \Rightarrow \quad$ DM, ~~CPV~~
- 2HDM: SM + scalar doublet
  - Types I, II, III, IV: $\phi_1,\ \phi_2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad$ CPV, ~~DM~~
  - IDM - I(1+1)HDM: $\phi_1,\ \phi_2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad$ DM, ~~CPV~~
- 3HDM: SM + 2 scalar doublets
  - Weinberg model: $\phi_1,\ \phi_2,\ \phi_3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad$ CPV, ~~DM~~
  - I(1+2)HDM: $\phi_1,\ \phi_2,\ \phi_3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad$ DM, CPV
  - I(2+1)HDM: $\phi_1,\ \phi_2,\ \phi_3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad$ CPV, DM

CP-violating DM in 3HDMs

$$\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3$$

$$g_{Z_2} = diag(-1, -1, +1)$$

$$VEV = (0, 0, v)$$

The scalar potential with explicit CPV

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{3HDM} &= V_0 + V_{Z_2} \\
V_0 &= \sum_i^3 \Big[-\mu_i^2 (\phi_i^\dagger \phi_i) + \lambda_{ii} (\phi_i^\dagger \phi_i)^2 \Big] \\
&+ \sum_{i,j}^3 \Big[\lambda_{ij} (\phi_i^\dagger \phi_i)(\phi_j^\dagger \phi_j) + \lambda'_{ij} (\phi_i^\dagger \phi_j)(\phi_j^\dagger \phi_i) \Big] \\
V_{Z_2} &= -\mu_{12}^2 (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_2) + \lambda_1 (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_2)^2 + \lambda_2 (\phi_2^\dagger \phi_3)^2 + \lambda_3 (\phi_3^\dagger \phi_1)^2 + h.c. \\
&+ \lambda_4 (\phi_3^\dagger \phi_1)(\phi_2^\dagger \phi_3) + \lambda_5 (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_2)(\phi_3^\dagger \phi_3) + \lambda_6 (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_2)(\phi_1^\dagger \phi_1) \\
&+ \lambda_7 (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_2)(\phi_2^\dagger \phi_2) + \lambda_8 (\phi_3^\dagger \phi_1)(\phi_3^\dagger \phi_2) + h.c.
\end{aligned}
$$

The Z_2 symmetry

$$\phi_1 \to -\phi_1, \quad \phi_2 \to -\phi_2, \quad \phi_3 \to \phi_3, \quad \text{SM fields} \to \text{SM fields}$$

The CP-mixed mass eigenstates

The doublet compositions

$$\phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} H_1^+ \\ \frac{H_1^0 + iA_1^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} H_2^+ \\ \frac{H_2^0 + iA_2^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} G^+ \\ \frac{v + h + iG^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

The mass eigenstates

$$S_1 = \frac{\alpha H_1^0 + \alpha H_2^0 - A_1^0 + A_2^0}{\sqrt{2\alpha^2 + 2}}, \quad S_2 = \frac{-H_1^0 - H_2^0 - \alpha A_1^0 + \alpha A_2^0}{\sqrt{2\alpha^2 + 2}}$$

$$S_3 = \frac{\beta H_1^0 - \beta H_2^0 + A_1^0 + A_2^0}{\sqrt{2\beta^2 + 2}}, \quad S_4 = \frac{-H_1^0 + H_2^0 + \beta A_1^0 + \beta A_2^0}{\sqrt{2\beta^2 + 2}}$$

$$S_1^\pm = \frac{e^{\mp i\theta_{12}/2}}{\sqrt{2}}(H_2^\pm + H_1^\pm), \quad S_2^\pm = \frac{e^{\mp i\theta_{12}/2}}{\sqrt{2}}(H_2^\pm - H_1^\pm)$$

S_1 is assumed to be the DM candidate

Input parameters and constraints

DM mass m_{S_1}, Mass splittings $\delta_{S_2-S_1}, \ \delta_{S_1^\pm-S_1}, \ \delta_{S_2^\pm-S_1^\pm}$,
Higgs-DM coupling $g_{S_1 S_1 h}$, CPV phases $\theta_2, \ \theta_{12}$

Constraints taken into account include:

- Stability of the potential
- Positive-definitness of the Hessian
- Limits from gauge bosons width:
- Limits on charged scalar mass and lifetime:
- Null DM collider searches excluding simultaneously:
- S,T,U parameters

Relevant DM scenarios

In the low mass region ($m_{S_1} < m_Z$):

- Scenario A: no coannihilation

$$m_{S_1} \ll m_{S_2}, m_{S_3}, m_{S_4}, m_{S_1^\pm}, m_{S_2^\pm}$$

- Scenario B: coannihilation with S_3

$$m_{S_1} \sim m_{S_3} \ll m_{S_2}, m_{S_4}, m_{S_1^\pm}, m_{S_2^\pm}$$

- Scenario C: coannihilation with all neutral particles

$$m_{S_1} \sim m_{S_3} \sim m_{S_2} \sim m_{S_4} \ll m_{S_1^\pm}, m_{S_2^\pm}$$

Low DM mass region

Higgs-mediated and Z-mediated (co)annihilation





Medium DM mass region

Higgs-mediated and quartic (co)annihilation





Filling the plot

C-type scenarios are the winners!



Relevant DM scenarios

In the heavy mass region ($m_{S_1} > 400$ GeV):

- Scenario G: coannihilation within the "family"

$$m_{S_1} \sim m_{S_3} \sim m_{S_1^\pm} \ll m_{S_2} \sim m_{S_4} \sim m_{S_2^\pm}$$

- Scenario H: coannihilation with all inert particles

$$m_{S_1} \sim m_{S_3} \sim m_{S_2} \sim m_{S_4} \sim m_{S_1^\pm} \sim m_{S_2^\pm}$$

Heavy DM mass region





LHC bounds on CPV DM

Higgs invisible branching ratio and total decay

From ATLAS and CMS

$$\mathrm{Br}(h \to \mathrm{inv}) < 0.23 - 0.36$$

for $m_{i,j} < m_h/2$ if long lived

$$\mathrm{BR}(h \to \mathrm{inv}) = \frac{\sum_{i,j} \Gamma(h \to S_i S_j)}{\Gamma_h^{\mathrm{SM}} + \sum_i \Gamma(h \to S_i S_j)}$$

The total decay signal strength

$$\mu_{tot} = \frac{\mathrm{BR}(h \to XX)}{\mathrm{BR}(h_{\mathrm{SM}} \to XX)} = \frac{\Gamma_{tot}^{SM}(h)}{\Gamma_{tot}^{SM}(h) + \Gamma^{inert}(h)}$$

We use $\mu_{tot} = 1.17 \pm 0.17$ at 3σ level.

Relic density vs. Higgs decay bounds



$h \to \gamma\gamma$ signal strength bounds

From ATLAS and CMS: $\mu_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.16^{+0.20}_{-0.18}$

$$\mu_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\Gamma(h \to \gamma\gamma)^{\rm 3HDM}\, \Gamma(h)^{\rm SM}}{\Gamma(h \to \gamma\gamma)^{\rm SM}\, \Gamma(h)^{\rm 3HDM}}$$

Modified by

- charged scalars contribution to $\Gamma(h \to \gamma\gamma)^{\rm 3HDM}$
- light neutral scalars contribution to $\Gamma(h)^{\rm 3HDM}$

Relic density vs. $\mu_{\gamma\gamma}$ - scenario C



Relic density vs. $\mu_{\gamma\gamma}$ - scenarios G & H





LHC signatures of CPV DM

Inert cascade decays at the LHC

When there is a large mass splitting between DM and other inert particles:



When there is a small mass splitting between DM and other inert particles (winning scenarios):





$E^T_{miss} + e^+e^-$ cross section at the LHC

- Higgs-strahlung at tree level:
  $q\bar{q} \to Z \to S_1 S_{2,3,4} \to S_1 S_1 Z^* \to S_1 S_1 e^+ e^-$ with $\sigma \sim 10^{-2}$ pb
- Higgs-strahlung at loop level:
  $q\bar{q} \to Z \to S_1 S_{2,3,4} \to S_1 S_1 \gamma^* \to S_1 S_1 e^+ e^-$ with $\sigma \sim 10^{-3}$ pb
- Gluon-fusion at tree level:
  $pp \to h \to S_1 S_{2,3,4} \to S_1 S_1 Z^* \to S_1 S_1 e^+ e^-$ with $\sigma \sim 10^{-5}$ pb

Other CPV observables (JHEP1605,025(2016))

ZZZ and ZWW vertices





Summary

- CP-Violation in I(1+2)HDM
  - IDM-like inert sector: CPC DM
  - CPV in the active sector: $\tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2, \tilde{H}_3$
  - Interesting LHC phenomenology, however, very limited CPV
- CP-Violation in I(2+1)HDM
  - SM-like active sector: $H_3 \equiv h^{SM}$
  - Unbounded CPV in the inert sector: $H_{1,2}, A_{1,2} \to S_{1,2,3,4}$ CPV DM
  - opens up new regions of parameter space
  - New observables at the LHC: $S_i S_j Z$ vertices

BACKUP SLIDES

Parameters of the model

- no new phenomenology from $\lambda_4, \cdots, \lambda_8$ terms $\to \lambda_{4-8} = 0$
- "dark" parameters $\lambda_1, \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{22}, \lambda_{12}, \lambda'_{12}$
- "dark democracy" limit
  $\mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2, \quad \lambda_3 = \lambda_2, \quad \lambda_{31} = \lambda_{23}, \quad \lambda'_{31} = \lambda'_{23}$
- fixed by the Higgs mass $\mu_3^2 = v^2 \lambda_{33} = m_h^2/2$

7 important parameters

- CPV and mass splittings $\mu_{12}^2 = |\mu_{12}^2| e^{i\theta_{12}}, \ \lambda_2 = |\lambda_2| e^{i\theta_2}$
- Higgs-DM coupling $\lambda_2, \lambda_{23}, \lambda'_{23}$
- Mass scale of inert particles μ_2^2

In the CPC limit

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= \frac{-|\mu_{12}^2|\cos\theta_{12} + v^2|\lambda_2|\cos\theta_2 - \Lambda}{|\mu_{12}^2|\sin\theta_{12} + v^2|\lambda_2|\sin\theta_2} \quad \to \infty \\
\beta &= \frac{|\mu_{12}^2|\cos\theta_{12} + v^2|\lambda_2|\cos\theta_2 - \Lambda'}{|\mu_{12}^2|\sin\theta_{12} - v^2|\lambda_2|\sin\theta_2} \quad \to \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda &= \sqrt{v^4|\lambda_2|^2 + |\mu_{12}^2|^2 - 2v^2|\lambda_2||\mu_{12}^2|\cos(\theta_{12}+\theta_2)}, \\
\Lambda' &= \sqrt{v^4|\lambda_2|^2 + |\mu_{12}^2|^2 + 2v^2|\lambda_2||\mu_{12}^2|\cos(\theta_{12}+\theta_2)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Relevant DM scenarios and sum of the CPV phases



Benchmark scenarios

$$
\begin{aligned}
A1: \ \delta_{12} &= 125 \text{ GeV}, \delta_{1c} = 50 \text{ GeV}, \delta_c = 50 \text{ GeV}, \theta_2 = \theta_{12} = 1.5 \\
B1: \ \delta_{12} &= 125 \text{ GeV}, \delta_{1c} = 50 \text{ GeV}, \delta_c = 50 \text{ GeV}, \theta_2 = \theta_{12} = 0.82 \\
C1: \ \delta_{12} &= 12 \text{ GeV}, \delta_{1c} = 100 \text{ GeV}, \delta_c = 1 \text{ GeV}, \theta_2 = \theta_{12} = 1.57
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G1: \ \delta_{12} &= 2 \text{ GeV}, \delta_{1c} = 1 \text{ GeV}, \delta_c = 1 \text{ GeV}, \theta_2 = \theta_{12} = 0.82 \\
H1: \ \delta_{12} &= 50 \text{ GeV}, \delta_{1c} = 1 \text{ GeV}, \delta_c = 50 \text{ GeV}, \theta_2 = \theta_{12} = 0.82
\end{aligned}
$$