

2) [endif]Backup material #2

Is a hybrid journal – articles can be published OA on request, institution is billed.

Free to read in 2017 and 2018: it means whether copyrighted or OA, the articles can be freely downloaded and read.

ShareIt <http://www.springernature.com/gp/researchers/sharedit?countryChanged=true>

Example: <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1140%2Fepjp%2Fi2011-11014-0>

Looking out for sponsored OA: SCOAP3 or similar initiatives.

[if !supportLists]3) [endif]Backup material #3 – der essentielle Teil des Referee Letters (ist aber auch in EM)

As part of your report that you will finally submit through the on-line form, please address briefly (yes/no and/or a short statement) the following issues:

[if !supportLists]- [endif] Does the paper contain enough new content to warrant publication in an archival scientific journal?

[if !supportLists]- [endif] Alternatively, if the main aim of the paper is to be tutorial, is it reasonably substantial and self-contained?

[if !supportLists]- [endif] Is the paper scientifically/technically sound and not misleading?

[if !supportLists]- [endif] Is the paper clearly written, concise and understandable?

[if !supportLists]- [endif] Do you recommend additional refereeing on some specific aspects of the paper?

[if !supportLists]- [endif] What overall rating do you give the paper on a scale from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent)?

Further, as part of your report, you are asked to give a recommendation by choosing one option from the following list:

- Acceptable without revision
- Acceptable after the authors have considered the optional revisions mentioned in the report
- Acceptable after the authors have made the revisions mentioned in the report
- Rejected because the content does not correspond to journal standards

- Rejected because it contains basic errors
- Rejected because it is out of scope for this journal

Please do also let us know - if you recommend a revision of the paper
- whether you would be willing to review the revised version.