Cosmology with voids

A look at the underdense side of the Large Scale Structure

Tommaso Ronconi September 26, 2017

SISSA - International School for Advanced Studies



- 1. Cosmic voids in the Large Scale Structure
- 2. Towards a reliable cosmic void statistics
- 3. Conclusions & future developments

Cosmic voids in the Large Scale Structure

Cosmic Voids

Theoretical definition:

- \approx spherical top-hat shape (Icke, 1984)
- faster than Hubble flow $v_{exp} \propto \overline{
 ho}(< r_{shell})$
- $v_{inner} > v_{outer} \Rightarrow \text{shell crossing:}$ $\delta_v = -2.71$
- formed void \Rightarrow Hubble expansion





- simple structure/shape
- spherical expansion
- $\delta_{min} = -1 \Rightarrow$ mildly non-linear

Potential cosmological probes:

AP-test, ISW, DE equation of state, theories of gravity, coupled DE, nature of DM, redshift space distortions...

Cosmic void size function

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{d \mid n \ r_{L}}{\text{der}} = \frac{\rho}{M} \ f_{\ln \sigma}(\sigma, \delta_{c}, \delta_{v}) \frac{d \mid n \ \sigma^{-1}}{d \mid n \ r_{L}} = \frac{f_{\ln \sigma}(\sigma, \delta_{c}, \delta_{v})}{V(r_{L})} \frac{d \mid n \ \sigma^{-1}}{d \mid n \ r_{L}} \\ & \delta_{v} = -2.71 \ \rightarrow \ \delta_{v}^{NL} = -0.8 \ \rightarrow \ r/r_{L} = 1.71 \end{aligned}$$



Void finders



geometry Voronoi tessellation field estimator (ZOBOV-Neyrinck, 2008; VIDE-Sutter et al., 2014)

dynamics recover underlying velocity field (Lavaux & Wandelt, 2010; Elyiv et al., 2015)





- are the models reliable?
 - calibrate δ_v with simulations
- are the void finders doing their job?
 - search for objects coherent with models

Towards a reliable cosmic void statistics

Algorithm

output: new void catalogue (spherical, shell-crossed, not overlapping)

- 1. clean the catalogue
 - $r \in [r_{\min}, r_{\max}]$
 - $\delta_{\rm in} < \delta_{\rm v}^{\rm NL}$
- 2. find shell-crossing radius
 - get density profile
 - find r; $\delta(r) \equiv \delta_v^{\sf NL}$
- 3. remove overlapping voids



Validation on unbiased tracers



(Ronconi et al., in prep.)

When it comes to bias...

Void-catalogue in a biased sample

- fix threshold: $\delta_{h,v}^{\rm NL} \equiv \delta_v^{\rm NL}$
- find radius: $r_{eff,h} \neq r_{eff,DM}$

Void size-function

Underdensity threshold: $\delta_{v,\text{DM}}^{\text{NL}} = b_{eff} \, \delta_{v,\text{tr}}^{\text{NL}}$ (Pollina et al., 2016) Vdn model:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\ln r} = \frac{f_{\ln\sigma}(\sigma, \delta_c, \delta_v)}{V(r)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln\sigma^{-1}}{\mathrm{d}\ln r_L} \bigg|_{r_L(r)}$$



(Ronconi et al., in prep.)

Conclusions & future developments

Summary

- Developed an algorithm capable of
 - finding cosmic voids as coherent as possible with the theoretical definition (spherical, gone through shell-crossing, not overlapping)
 - allow comparison between different void-catalogues
- Validated the Vdn model without free parameters
- Extended the formalism to biased samples

Future developments:

- Parameters extraction from cosmic void number counts (Fisher information matrix)
- Void detection in HI intensity mapping (M.Viel, A.Lapi)
- Growing interest for the Euclid mission (In preparation: White paper on voids from the Euclid Void Group)